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## PREFACE.

THE aim of the present edition of the De Corona is threefold: First, to present in the most concise form possible the latest results of study and criticism upon this masterpiece of Athenian oratory, especially as found in the best approved editions of the German scholars. Of all these editions Westermanu's and Voemel's have been most freely consulted and used, particularly in points of historical and textual criticism. The text is that of Baiter and Sauppe's Oratores Attici, which follows most closely the reading of $\Sigma$, the oldest and best of the manuscripts. A few departures from this text are noticed as they occur.

A second aim of this edition is to lead the student to study the oration as a finished rhetorical and literary production. No encouragement is given to such as would make it mainly a vehicle for teaching grammar. The grammatical notes are, therefore, few, referring in the main only to the nature of conditional and to the structure of relative sentences. On the other hand, frequent attention is called to the rhetorical order of words, the emphasis of position, the use of metaphors, the rhythm of diction, and
kindred points in rhetoric. The opportunity for using illustrative material is exceedingly limited in an ordinary text-book ; but the editor hopes that the few specimens of illustration referred to in the Notes may stimulate a more general comparison of Demosthenes with other orators, both ancient and modern.

A third aim, quite akin to the second, is to enable the student to appreciate the oration as a skilful plea and a masterpiece of argumentation. To realize this aim it is essential that he have some acquaintance with the rival oration of Æschines. But in most of our colleges this oration is not read; and the student reads a speech that was made as a rejoinder to one of which he has but the slightest, if any, knowledge. To meet this difficulty, in part at least, extracts from the oration of Æschines are appended at the foot of the text to illustrate those points which Demosthenes makes directly in reply to his opponent. The editor has found, upon repeated trial, that such a comparison, almost point for point, of the rival orations, gives new interest and value to the study of the De Corona. It has not been thought best to make any comments upon the Eschines, as it would be difficult to fix any limit here. Generally it will be found sufficient for the illustration of the text of Demosthenes, that the pupil simply read these extracts. To supplement them, and to enable the student to follow and compare the chain of argument of each orator throughout its entire length, a brief abstract of the speech of Eschines has been added in an Appendix. The course
of the argument of Demosthenes can be obtained from the divisions designated in the Notes. The student is recommended to write out for himself a full abstract of this oration. The editor allows himself one or two more suggestions. Let the pupil be required to notice carefully all the references to other parts of the oration, and so learn how certain expressions may often recur, and how the use of a word or phrase in one connection may explain its use in another. In a word, let the Notes be carefully studied. The student will find it greatly to his advantage to read, in preparation for the study of this oration, Chapters LXXXIX. and XC. of Grote's "History of Greece." It remains to be added, that, on account of the generally acknowledged spuriousness of the inserted documents, and their irrelevancy to the points under discussion, it has not been thought worth the while to write comments upon more than the first four, - a sufficient number from which to gain some idea of the internal evidence against their genuineness, and to illustrate peculiar and technical uses of words.

The Introduction is substantially taken from Anton Westermann's fourth edition.

While in the midst of my preparation there comes to hand Professor W. S. Tyler's excellent revision of the edition of Holmes. To both the original and the revising editor I have acknowledged repeated indebtedness for notes on the use and meaning of words. Besides the sources already named, my material has been drawn chiefly from

Whiston, Lord Brougham, Professor Larned, Reiske, Schaefer, Dissen, Bremi, Rehdantz, and from Arnold Schaefer's exhaustive work, Demosthenes und seine Zeit. I am also under obligatious to Professor J. H. Lipsius, of the University of Leipzig, for valuable lectures on this oration. My special thanks are due to my scholarly colleague, Professor Albert H. Pattengill, for valuable suggestions and critical assistance in preparing this work for the press.

I shall esteem it a favor if any one using this book shall call my attention to any error whatsoever.
M. L. D'OOGE.

University of Michigan, January, 1875.

## PREFACE TO THE THIRD REVISED EDITION.

In preparing this edition, references have been made to Goodwin's New Grammar, and many suggestions and corrections, kindly offered by teachers and students who have used the earlier editions, have been adopted.

To all who have thus aided me in presenting an improved book, I desire to express my sincere thanks.

> M. L. D'OOGE.

University of Michigan, June, 1880.

## INTRODUCTION.

THE news of the defeat at Chæronea excited no small consternation at Athens. In the supposition that Philip would prosecute his victorious career and attack Athens itself, it was determined in all haste to place the city in a better state of defence. The unexpected clemency of Philip in his dealings with the Athenians, and the peace brought about soon after through the agency of Demades, interrupted, it seems, these hurried defensive preparations. But the importance of an extensive and thorough repair of the walls and fortifications had thus been made evident; and before the close of the year 338 a proposal was successfully made by Demosthenes, without exciting opposition, as it appears, from the Macedonian party, that the building of the walls and defences be at once carried forward. The work was apportioned, as usual, by sections among the ten tribes, each of which elected a commissioner ( $\tau \epsilon \iota$ оотоьós), as administrator of the funds set apart by the state for this purpose, and as general overseer of the work.

The tribe of Pandionis elected Demosthenes to discharge this trust. Demosthenes expended from his own resources a hundred minas over and above the ten talents given him by the state for this object (cf. Esch. c. Ctes., §§ 23, 31; Dem. § 113, below). This, together with his generous donation to the Theoric Fund (cf. Dem. § 113 , below), furnished Ctesiphon, his personal friend and supporter, with an opportunity to obtain a public recognition of the general as well as special merits of De -
mosthenes as a citizen and politician. Accordingly, Ctesiphon proposes, in 337 в. с., a decree that the state should honor Demosthenes with a golden crown, not only for these benefactions, " but also especially because he constantly says and does what is for the best interest of the people" (cf. Esch. § 49); furthermore, that the herald should proclaim this crown in the theatre before the assembled Greeks, at the time of the great Dionysia.

The Macedonian faction saw in this proposal only a stroke of policy on the side of the Patriotic party, which party interests bade them thwart at all costs. When, therefore, the Senate brought this proposal, which appears to have passed this body without serious opposition, for confirmation before the Assembly, Eschines entered protest, and declared his purpose, under oath (ef. note, § 103, below), to bring a Complaint for Illegality ( $\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \phi \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu o ́ \mu \omega v$ ) against the author of the proposal. The immediate sequence of this declaration was the suspension of the bill until this question should be decided. The complaint, according to Eschines, § 219, was formally brought prior to the death of Philip, which occurred in July, 336.

In the bill of indictment Eschines attacks the legality of the proposal (now a $\pi \rho \circ \beta$ ov́ $\lambda \epsilon \nu \mu a)$ at two points: (1) that the statement contained therein, that Demosthenes constantly aims in his words and deeds at the best interests of the state, is false, and to insert a false statement in the public documents is forbidden by law (cf. Æsch. §50) ; (2) that the proposed crowning was a violation of the existing laws in two respects, inasmuch as Demosthenes had not yet given account of the offices for which it was alleged he had deserved so well, and again in that the proclamation was to be made in the theatre at the great Dionysia.

It is plain that Ctesiphon is not the man against whom such a formidable attack must needs be directed. The real antagonist whom Æschines wishes to encounter is Demosthenes; the man who for so many years had defiantly opposed him, had
crossed and thwarted all his purposes, had compelled him to enter into a formal defence against a charge of treachery and misconduct in an embassy to Philip, had all along defended the common fatherland against the encroachments and schemes of Macedon ; and who, even when the unavoidable calamity had come, and Athens, in common with the other Greek states, had fallen under the Macedonian sway, had with unwearied strength and unfailing courage devoted himself wholly to the promotion of the welfare of the state. Now, when the influence of the Patriotic party was so greatly crippled by the disastrous result of the late struggle for independence, now was the favorable moment for crushing the hated rival and for annihilating his political existence. Such was the spirit in which Æschines undertook this prosecution. His oration against Ctesiphon is, in point of rhetorical finish, one of the masterpieces of ancient oratory, but in moral tone a detestable abortion, the fruit of blind and unbridled passion. In full measure he pours upon his opponent the accumulated and distilled poison of his party hatred; every means is legitimate to assuage his thirst for revenge ; not one of the measures of Demosthenes - and he passes them all in review - finds mercy in his sight. Demosthenes, he charges, has crouched before Philip; has received bribes from every quarter; the unfortunate peace of Philocrates is of his doing ; to him the unhappy Phocians and Thebans owe their ruin ; in short, all the calamities that have befallen Greece lie at his door, - a caricature which, in its exaggeration, reproves and corrects itself. Thus challenged, Demosthenes cannot have hesitated to enter upon the contest. For, aside from the fact that he was the natural defender of Ctesiphon, and also that even under much less provocation it was not in the nature of an Athenian to let personal injuries go unresented, nothing could have seemed to him more desirable than this opportunity to vindicate publicly his entire political career. Indeed, to destroy the fabric of lies woven by Æschines, there was no need of such powerful elo-
quence as Demosthenes possessed ; there was, however, need of a character as pure and of as great moral dignity as was his to do this with certainty, with confidence in the good cause he espoused, and with success in the face of a credulous, fickle, and excitable populace.

To be sure, his oration also, perfect as it is in its structure, has its shadows. Such are the personal assaults upon Eschines, comments upon which are to be found in §§ 129, 258 ff . And here we may not pass by unnoticed the fact that Demosthenes does not always candidly and simply limit himself to the bare statement of facts, especially is this the case when he wishes to expose the weak points of his antagonist's policy or to conceal those of his own ; but, on the contrary, occasionally he gives to his representation a plausible and sophistical coloring. In this, however, he differs in no wise from all his contemporaries ; and, at all events, these sophisms, unjustifiable though they are when taken by themselves, employed in a good cause are not able to weaken at all the impression of truthfulness which the oration produces as a whole.

Unquestionably the weakest part of the defence lay in the answer to the technical and legal points that formed the basis of the indictment. Eschines was too wary a man to enter upon this contest without feeling sure of his ground in at least one respect. The peril of Demosthenes was really this; that his opponent would argue solely the legal question in the case, and, arguing this successfully, would seriously implicate his political career and ruin his public reputation and influence. Had Æschines limited himself to the illegality of this proposal on the score of Demosthenes's accountability (cf. §§ 112, 119, and notes), there is every reason to believe that Ctesiphon would have been condemned, and Demosthenes would have remained uncrowned. But this did not satisfy the hatred of Eschines. Blinded by his passion for revenge, he weakened the force of his legal argument not only in connecting with it a second
technical question of exceedingly doubtful nature (that of the place of Proclamation), but also in concentrating the strength of his attack upon an examination of the public career of his opponent, in order to prove how unworthy he is in every point of view of the proposed distinction. Thus the legal question falls at once into the background ; it is no longer Ctesiphon, but Demosthenes, who is on trial. And Demosthenes does not fail to avail himself of the advantage that is thus offered him ; but, placing the legal points in the middle of his speech, to borrow the expression of the old critics, as a good general arranges his weakest troops in the centre of his line of battle, he devotes nearly all his efforts to the refutation of the personal reproaches and charges that were brought against himself.

The trial of this suit did not come off at once, but, according to various authorities (cf. Æsch. § 254 ; Plut. Dem., § 24 ; Cic. De opt. gen. orat., § 7 ), was postponed until the latter part of the summer of 330 B. c., a period of at least six years after the indietment was first brought. What occasioned this unparalleled delay is wholly unknown ; neither orator makes the slightest allusion to it. Had there been on either side any artful design in this postponement, the opposite party would doubtless have mentioned it in its own favor. The time for renewing the complaint and bringing it to trial was doubtless well chosen ; for Alexander's recent victories in the East, and the destruction of the Peloponnesian league by Antipater, the Macedonian general, must have newly elated the partisans of Macedon at Athens, and given Eschines and his associates fresh hopes of success in their attack upon Demosthenes.

The fame of the trial and the reputation of the rival orators attracted strangers from all parts of the Hellenic world (cf. note on § 196). Eschines, being the prosecutor, spoke first. If the usual custom was followed, Ctesiphon, as the defendant, made the first reply. What this was is unknown; it was probably nothing more than a formal denial of the charge.

The case was closed with the speech of Demosthenes on the defence.

That we possess these orations in the exact form in which they were delivered, no one supposes. But to point out the changes that were introduced in their revision is largely a matter of conjecture. Some of them may at least be inferred in comparing the two orations; especially is this true of the speech of Æschines. Demosthenes, on the one hand, alludes to topies which his opponent has just treated (cf. $\S \S 95,238$ ), but which are not found in the oration of Eschines; while, again, the speech of Æschines contains quite a number of points for a reply to which we search Demosthenes in vain. It must not, of course, be expected that Demosthenes would discuss all the statements of his rival, point for point ; a few charges in the great number may have escaped his notice, many deservell no attention, and the right to pass by such as were of minor importance was doubtless exercised. But that he should have left unanswered entire portions of the speech of Eschines, as, for example, that in which the latter depicts the last of the four periods into which he divides his rival's public career (cf. Abstract in Appendix), and have passed by in silence those scornful insinuations of Æschines in treating this period, not even noticing them so much as to say that their consideration was wholly irrelevant to the case, - this exceeds all probability.

These considerations lead to the suspicion that Eschines subsequently rewrote his speech, omitting in the revised edition what best suited his purpose (cf. note, § 95), and adding to it parts which in their full extent can no longer be definitely distinguished. This suspicion is fully confirmed in the case of two passages. One of the most common artifices of the ancient orators was the use of what is called $\dot{v} \pi$ oфoopá or suljectio, ly which the arguments or illustrations of an opponent are anticipated either as a matter of conjecture or of report, and the attack or defence is thereby made more difficult. Asschines
makes frequent use of this rhetorical artifice ; but in two places, $\$ \S 189,225$, the apparent artifice is really plagiarism. Upon comparing these two passages with § 319 and $\S 243$ of Demosthenes (in which connection they are cited), we find the closest similarity. Now, all due allowance being made for what each orator may have heard in advance of the other's line of argument, through the discussions of their adherents and the reports of talebearers, to suppose that in such unessential and minute respects as the form of an illustration one orator should exactly anticipate the other, is most improbable, not to say impossible. It admits, therefore, of hardly a doubt that Eschines copied both the similes in the passages referred to from the speech of his rival after its publication. Demosthenes, it is believed, published his oration, if not word for word, certainly in substance, as he spoke it, soon after the trial was over; Æschines, as it appears, published his somewhat later, taking advantage of the earlier publication of his opponent's speech. Could Demosthenes, when he published his own, have had before him the speech of Eschines in its revised form, we may suppose that his also would in some points have read differently.

The final issue of the trial makes some atonement for the malice to which it owes its origin. Eschines did not receive a fifth part of the votes, and was accordingly condemned to pay the fine established by law of one thousand drachmas, and to suffer inability ever again to institute a similar suit. His influence and reputation were destroyed; and unable to endure the sight of the hated victor, he went to Rhodes into voluntary exile. It is related that here he read his speech against Ctesiphon; and when his Rhodian audience expressed surprise that such a masterly oration should not have gained him the day, he gracefully remarked, "You would cease to wonder, if you had heard Demosthenes."
$\Delta$ HMOZ $\Theta$ ENHさ。

## $\Delta H M O \Sigma \Theta E N H \Sigma$ ．

## ҮПЕР KTH乏IФ $\Omega$ NTO乏 ПEPI TOY乏TEФANOY．
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 ov̉ $\mu$ óvov тò $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho о к а \tau \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \in ́ \nu a \iota ~ \mu \eta \delta \in ́ v$, ov̉ $\delta$ è


 хри́бaб日ai.






 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o v \sigma i a s ~ \mu о v ~ к а т \eta \gamma о \rho \epsilon i ̂ . ~ Є ̀ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \delta ’, ~ o ̀ ~ ф v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~$






 $\rho \in і$ їт.















 $\mu \in \nu O$ ．
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 $\tau \varphi$ тои̂тo $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha i \nu \eta, \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ סغ̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \rho^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
$\mu$ èv övт

 т ̀̀ тоルขิта картєрท́テєєєข ảкоv́шV；







єv̉voías каì $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a s$, ö $\sigma \omega \pi \epsilon \rho$ каì $\tau o ̀ ~ \tau v \chi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ 6 тои́т $\omega \nu$ н́́ $\gamma \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \nu$ є่ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тои́т $\omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ o้ $\nu \tau о \varsigma$


 $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ v́ovaı






 $\phi \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu$ каì $\tau \grave{a}$ то̂̂ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma о \nu \tau o s ~ v ̌ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \delta i ́ к а \iota a ~$
 каї коьขòv ả $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho о \iota s ~ a ̉ \kappa р о а т \eta ́ \nu, ~ о ข ゙ \tau \omega ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu ~ \delta \iota \alpha ́-~}$ $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu \pi о \iota \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\alpha}$ á $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
入ó $о$ о $\delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota ~ \tau \eta ́ \mu \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ к а i ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \kappa о \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, ßоv́ло $\mu a \iota \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ то̀̀s $\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \pi а р а к а \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma а \iota, ~$




 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu \quad \dot{v} \mu i \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \sigma i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \rho \alpha-$ $\phi \hat{\eta}$ र ${ }^{2} \omega \bar{\nu}$ aı.
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 $\theta \epsilon \nu$ 入óyous $\grave{\gamma} \mu \epsilon \in \nu o s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho \iota \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ v i \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$

 Io


 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \phi \omega \nu \eta ̀ \nu$ ả $\nu a ́ \sigma \chi \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon, \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i ̉ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa о \iota \nu \grave{a}$

 $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota o ́ v \omega \nu$ ，каì $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu$ òs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i \omega \nu$ ，${ }^{\nu} \nu a \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ̀ \nu$




 $\tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ ，каі $\nu v \nu \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha \rho a ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．какоŋ่ $\theta \eta \varsigma \delta^{\prime} \stackrel{\omega \nu}{\nu}$, II
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ каi $\pi \epsilon \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ 入óyovs áфévтa $\mu \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \lambda o ı \delta o \rho i a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \sigma o v ̂ ~ \tau \rho \epsilon ́-~$



















 $\tau \alpha ̉ \delta \iota \kappa \eta ́ \mu a \tau \alpha ~ \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ a s ~ a ̈ \xi \iota a ~$

 Sè $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi о \nu \tau a$ тара́ $о \mu a$, $\pi \alpha \rho a \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ үрафо́ $\mu \in \nu о \nu$.





















 גovs ả $\gamma \omega \nu i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi a \rho a \lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \varphi \delta^{\prime}$ ö $\tau \omega$ ка-
 тои̂тó $\gamma \epsilon$.







 єiрท́vŋs каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon i a s$ катє廿єv́батó $\mu о v, \tau \alpha ̀$ $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho а \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v \alpha$ є́avтิ̂ $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ Фıлокра́тоvs ảvaтıӨєis




18 Tov̂ $\gamma$ à $\Phi$ Фкккои̂ $\sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \tau o s ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v, ~ o v ̉ ~ \delta i ' ~$

 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ßои́лєб $\theta a \iota ~ \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota, к а i ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$ оv̉ ঠíкаца $\pi о \iota-$

















 raxias,












 $\rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \in v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau о$ каі̀ ката̀ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ є́фv́єто. ஸ́s $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \alpha$ -








 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \hat{\eta}$ каi $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu о v ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$,


































廿as каì モ́avtò̀ $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀$ тоv́тоv $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma a s$ є́ni $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$
 vós, oủX ò épós, oủ $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu$ ov̀ $\delta \iota a \rho \rho a \gamma \hat{n} s ~ \psi \epsilon v \delta o ́-$


 т $\omega \nu$ тоьои́т $\omega \nu$ ö้ $\nu \omega \nu$ каi $\epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ aủ $\bar{\eta} \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i a s$





















 тоя Фıлокра́тovs.
 єіттоь; ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ o ̋ \pi о v ~ \sigma \grave{v} \pi \alpha \rho \omega ́ \nu, ~ \tau \eta \lambda \iota к а v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \pi \rho \hat{a}-$


 ${ }_{23} \delta \iota \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$; каì $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ єi $\tau$ ò к $\kappa \lambda \hat{v} \sigma a \iota \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\text {e }} \mathrm{E} \lambda-$



 $\nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ov̉סєís. oṽтє $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ग̉ $\nu \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon i a$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon ́ v a ~$ $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau a \lambda \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu} \nu \nu$, ả $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu-$

 $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \grave{a} \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ є̇ע oîs $\psi \epsilon$ v́סєтаl. $\epsilon i$













 єí入єто $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu . ~ к а i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ̇ к ~ т о и ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon і ̈ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon ~ т i ́ s ~$
 $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu$ ن́т $\epsilon \rho$ ن́ $\mu \hat{\nu \nu}$ каi тò $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu$









 ё $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \pi a ́ \sigma a s ~ \epsilon ’ \xi \in \lambda u ́ \sigma a \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \grave{\varrho} \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma$
 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ є́ $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \tau о, \nu о \mu i \zeta \omega \nu$, ő $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ทै $\nu$ ả̀ $\eta^{-}$



 ऍо́ $\mu \in \nu o s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \psi \eta ́ \phi \iota \sigma \mu a ~ \tau о и ิ \tau o ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega, \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ є ่ \pi i ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~$


[^0] $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu, \tau \alpha v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \tau \grave{a} \chi \omega \rho i ́ \alpha ~ a ̀ ~ \nu v ̂ \nu$




 тои́т $\omega$ р $\mathfrak{a} \delta i ́ \omega s$ тоîs $\lambda о \iota \pi o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho o i ́ \eta ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a-~$

 $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ тov̀s $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota \varsigma \stackrel{\omega}{\varphi} \mu \eta \nu$ ठєiv, тойтó $\mu$ оv $\delta \iota a-$




 $\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau a \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ єै $\delta \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \phi \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, \tau \grave{\alpha}$

 $\sigma a \phi \hat{\omega} s$ ov̂тos єíठ̀̀s $\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \beta \eta$. 入є́ $\gamma \epsilon$.









## ПЕеPI TOT ミTEФANOT.

## $\Psi Н \Phi I \Sigma M A$.
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 Фí $\lambda \iota \pi \pi о v$, каì тò̀s öpкоvs $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i v ~ \tau \epsilon \pi a \rho ’$ aủtov̂ каì סoûval т̀̀v






 Макє






 $\tau$ à $\chi \omega \rho i ́ a$.

Tò $\mu$ èv $\tau \circ i ́ \nu v \nu$ ėv $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon i ́ a ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu ~ \kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \mu \alpha$




 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \mu о \sigma \epsilon ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta ́ \nu \eta \nu$ ó Фí入ıттоs $\pi \rho о \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$
 $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau \iota, \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \tau \alpha \iota \pi a \rho ’ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ö $\pi \omega s$ $\mu \grave{\eta}$








 каì таиิта $\pi \rho о є i \lambda \eta \phi o ́ \tau о \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau о \hat{v}, ~ \epsilon i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~$


 $\sigma \beta \epsilon \omega \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ' iסía ка $\theta^{\prime}$ avi




 $\delta^{\prime}$ aitials каi $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a l s ~ \alpha ̉ \mu a ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o v ~ к є \chi р \eta-~$

 $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ т о и ́ т о v ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma о \iota ~ \tau o ́ т \epsilon ~ \rho ீ \eta \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta i ’ ~ o v ̂ s ~$




 Sè фídos，тov̉vavtiov éX $\theta$ рóv．ov̉ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ đà $\rho{ }_{\rho} \eta \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a$ т̀̀s oǐкєเóтךтаs єैфŋ $\beta \epsilon \beta a \iota o v ̂ \nu$ ，$\mu a ́ \lambda \alpha ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \omega ̂ s ~ o ̉ \nu o-~$



 aủzov̂ $\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau o ́ \theta ’ ~ v ́ \pi o v ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon ́ \chi ~ Ө \epsilon \iota a \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~$ $\Theta \eta \beta a i ́ o v s . ~ \tau i ́ ~ o v ̉ v ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ ß \eta ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau a v ̂ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon v ̉ \theta v ́ s, ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~$




 $\tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i o u s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \Theta \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \lambda о \nu ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̂ ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́-~$ $\sigma \theta a \iota, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon ̀ \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$
 тov̂ Ka入入ıбӨध́vovs $\psi \eta ́ \phi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ~ к а i ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \lambda \eta ̀ \nu ~$



## $\Psi Н Ф І \Sigma M A$.
















 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$.

## ЕПI®TOAH ФІАIППOצ.













 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ є ́ a v \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s ~$


 є́ $\mu \circ i ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon, " ~ o v ̉ ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o \iota s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \rho ீ \eta ́ \mu a \sigma \iota ~ \gamma \rho a ́-~$

 ồv $\pi \rho \circ о \rho \hat{\rho} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ̀ ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ aí $\sigma \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$,






















 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ 亢ै $\sigma \omega s$ á $\rho \mu o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi a ́ v \epsilon \iota \mu \iota ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$













[^1]



 $\pi o s$ 'Iд入vрıoùs каi T $\rho \iota \beta a \lambda \lambda o v ́ s, ~ \tau \iota \nu a ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu$






 $\delta \iota \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ каi $\pi a \rho$ ’ $\dot{v} \mu i ̀ \nu$ ả $\epsilon i$ каi ő $\pi о \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \mu-$
 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ каì $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ठ $\omega \rho о \delta о к о v ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ каì


 тоьоvтоעí $\tau \iota \pi \alpha ́ \theta$ оs $\pi \epsilon \pi о \nu \theta o ́ \tau \omega \nu$ á $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$

 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \omega \hat{s} \sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$, ö $\tau a \nu$ ßoú $\lambda \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$. єiॅं oî $\mu \alpha \iota 46$ $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon$ тоîs $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ả $\nu \tau i ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ каì ảкаípov póa $\theta \nu \mu i ́ a s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \epsilon ُ \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a \nu ~ a ̉ \pi о \lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon к \epsilon ́-~$
 тoùs oiopévoıs $\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau o v s$ є́avtov̀s $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha$ -



 то仑̂ $\pi \rho \circ \delta \iota \delta o ́ v \tau o s ~ \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu ~ \zeta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta \prime \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ảva$\lambda i \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota$, ои̉ $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta a ̀ \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\nu \pi \rho i ́ \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ \kappa u ́ p \iota o s ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ $\tau \alpha \iota, \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \rho \circ \delta o ́ \tau \eta \quad \sigma v \mu \beta o v ́ \lambda \omega \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o \iota \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \not ้ \tau \iota$




 то́тє $\delta \dot{\eta}$, то́тє каі̀ $\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ каì à $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ каì $\pi \rho о \pi \eta$ $48 \lambda \alpha \kappa i \zeta \epsilon \iota . \quad \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon i \tau \epsilon ~ \delta \epsilon \in \cdot$ каі̀ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \epsilon i ̉ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda v \theta \epsilon \nu$
 тоьаиิта каıрòs $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon \hat{u} ~ ф \rho о \nu о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$. $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau о v ́ т о v ~ \Lambda a \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ v \eta s ~ ф i ́ \lambda o s ~ \grave{\omega \nu о \mu a ́ \zeta є \tau о, ~ \epsilon ̌ \omega \varsigma ~}$



 каì тí какò $\frac{0}{} \chi \grave{\imath} \pi a \sigma \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a$ ท̀ оiкоข $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$
 49 каі̀ тí Пєрí入aos є̇̀ Mєүápoıs; ov̉к ảmєррıц-
 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \quad \phi \nu \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́avтov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ \delta a$ каì $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}-$


## חЕРI TOY ミTEФANOT．




 $\delta \iota a ́ \gamma \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \alpha \iota ~ a ̈ \nu ~ a ̉ m o \lambda \omega ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon$.

 $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ єip $\hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$－aïтьos $\delta$＇ov̂тоя，$\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ €̇ $\omega \lambda$ дкрра－

 тov̀s $\nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o u s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ à $\pi о \lambda v ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．







 тov̀s ä̉入入o $\tau \iota \mu \iota \theta$ о̂ $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau a s$ фídovs каi $\xi \in \in-$

 $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \tau o ̀ \nu$ є́ $\gamma \omega$ ढ́ $\sigma \epsilon$ Фı入ímтov $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каi $\nu \hat{v} \nu$


 ठроv，үра́фєє $\psi \eta$ ŋ́фı $\sigma \mu$ ．


 'A $\lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha ́ \nu \delta \rho o v ; ~ a ̉ к о и ́ \epsilon \iota s ~ a ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma о v \sigma \iota \nu . ~$





 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \gamma \rho a \not \dot{\eta}_{\nu} \nu a \dot{\tau} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$.

## ГРАФН.
























 $\pi о \iota \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ тá ${ }^{\prime} \iota \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \pi \alpha ́ \nu-$







 тò $\delta$ è $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho о \sigma \gamma \rho a ́ \psi a \nu \tau a$ " $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta a ̀ \nu ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ن ̉ \theta v ́ v a s ~ 58 ~$

















 $59 \mu \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime}$ є่ $\pi$ ' аv̉тà à $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \rho а к т \alpha i ́ \mu о \iota$. каí $\mu \epsilon \mu \eta \delta \epsilon i \varsigma$
 'E入入ךขıка̀s $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega$ • ó $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ठьळ́кшу тồ $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ ध є \iota \nu ~ к а і ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu ~$

 є́ $\mu$ оì $\pi \epsilon \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ dóyovs oiкєíovs каi ảvaүкаious $\tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \kappa \omega ́ s$. єīга каì $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$



${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{A} \mu$ ѐे ov̉v $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma o-~$







 бтєфаvoûv.



 ${ }^{\text {}} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ，ov̉ $\tau \iota \sigma i ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ a ̈ \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ o ́ ~ \mu о i ́ \omega \varsigma, ~ \phi о р a ̀ \nu ~$

 $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu v i ̂ a \nu \cdot$ ov̀s $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\alpha} s$ каì бvขєруоѝs $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\nu}$ каì тро́тєроข какิิs тоѝs


 Sเє́ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ єis $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀$ évòs $\tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu \tau o \varsigma$

 62






 $\lambda o ́ \pi \omega \nu \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \quad \sigma v \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota$ Ф८入íтт $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ＇ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ ả $\rho \chi \eta ̀ \nu$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \gamma о ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ка入à каì Síkaıa ả้aıpєìv；$\hat{\eta}$ тои̂тo $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \pi o t \epsilon i \nu, ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ \nu$ $\gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \omega ́ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s, a \hat{a} \delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\omega \rho \alpha \sigma \nu \mu \beta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a, ~ \epsilon i \mu \eta \delta \epsilon i s$


64 таv̂тa $\pi \epsilon \rho u \delta \epsilon i ้ \nu \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$; $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{v} \nu$ єै $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$
 є́роі́ $\eta \nu, \tau \eta ิ s \pi o i ́ a s ~ \mu \epsilon \rho i ́ \delta o s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$




 65 ovs каi 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i o v s$ $\theta \epsilon i \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$. 人̉ $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каì тои́т $\omega \nu$ $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ́, \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \chi \epsilon i \hat{\rho} о \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{-}$

 $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ avi $\tau o \hat{v} \sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä̉ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu{ }^{'} \mathrm{E} \lambda$ -

 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ к а т \eta \gamma о р i ́ a \cdot \epsilon i ̉ \delta e ̀ ~ o ́ \mu о i ́ \omega s ~ a ̊ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̉ \xi i \omega \mu a, ~$


 $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$;
 $\sigma \chi i ́ \eta, \pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ каі̀ $\tau v \rho \alpha \nu v i ́ \delta \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$





 $\nu \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ \delta a$, каì $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega$ каì Хрท́ $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$










 $\kappa а i ~ \mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{̣}, ~ \tau о \sigma a v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda о \psi v \chi i a \nu ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta \kappa є \nu}$

 $\delta^{\prime}$ ои̉ $\sigma \iota \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i o \iota s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ к а \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu ~ є ̇ \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu ~$










 'А $\mu 申 i \pi о \lambda \iota \nu, ~ \Pi v ́ \delta \nu \alpha \nu, ~ \Pi о \tau i ́ \delta a l a \nu, ~ ' А \lambda o ́ v \nu \eta \sigma o \nu ' ~ o v ̉-~$



 тоитоvбí, Eủßov́रov каì 'A $\pi \epsilon i \theta$ Oovs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau o v i \tau \omega \nu \quad \psi \eta \phi \iota \mu \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \nu \quad \not ้ \nu \tau \omega \nu$,







 $\pi о \lambda \iota о \rho \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$, каì $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i ́ \delta a s$ ăs $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ả $\nu \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$,





 ỏ $\phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \zeta ढ \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ каі oै $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu, \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon i p-$






 $\tau \iota о v ́ \mu \eta \nu$, каì $\pi \rho о \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ каì $\delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \nu ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi \rho о і ̈ є-$ $\sigma \theta a \iota$ ठıєтє́入ov̀.
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## $\Psi Н \Phi I \Sigma M A$.


















75
Toûтo $\mu$ ย̀v $\tau \circ i ́ \nu v \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \psi \eta ́ \phi \iota \sigma \mu a ~ E v ै ß o v \lambda o s ~ \epsilon ै \gamma р а-~$


 ov̉סย̀̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau о ข ́ \tau \omega \nu . ~ \Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon . ~$

## $\Psi Н Ф І \Sigma М А Т А$.















 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau 0 \hat{v} \Phi \iota \lambda i ́ \pi \pi o v$.

## EПIİTOAH ФIлIППOY.
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 $\tau \alpha \iota$; ő $\tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \stackrel{\star}{\alpha} \nu$ є́ $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \tau о \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aข̇тov̂,









 $\mu a \chi o \iota . \hat{\epsilon} \xi \xi$ ஸ̂̀ $\dot{v} \mu i ̂ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha, ~ \not ้ \pi \alpha \iota \nu о \iota ~$




 éavtoîs ả入入à каі̀ фроvípovs ảvӨрळ́тоvs каì $\mu a ́ \nu-$





 $\mu \eta \delta \in ́ v a$ є́ $\xi \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \pi a \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{v}$ ，оv̉סєìs ảyvoєî，каì
 каì тои̂ Фı入ıбтíסov то́тє $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho ’$ ảфıкขои́－ $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota ~ \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \sigma о i ̀ ~ к а \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda v o \nu, ~ A i \sigma \chi \chi i \nu \eta$ ，каì $\sigma v ̀ ~ \pi \rho о u ̛-~$


[^2]ойтє ठíкаца ойтє $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau a ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma о \nu т а s ~ a ̉ \pi \eta ́ \lambda а \sigma \epsilon, ~$
 ov̉סє́v, ڤ̂̀ $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu \hat{\omega \nu} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \epsilon \epsilon \mu о \hat{v}$ каi $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ©̀s $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \omega ้ \nu, \beta o \omega ̂ \delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu a \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \alpha s$. ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ ov










## $\Psi Н Ф I \Sigma M A$.













 єiтєу 'Aриттóviкos Фрєápptos.]
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \beta \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ Sıà тоv̂тo тò $\psi \eta ́ \phi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ ทै $\chi^{\lambda \epsilon v-}$




 ov̉ $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov̉ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a s$.


 $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ каі $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \omega \nu, \tau \hat{\iota}$ катат $\rho a \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \rho \alpha-$













 рака $\beta a \lambda о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ к а i ~ \mu \eta \chi а \nu \eta ́ \mu а \tau ' ~$






 тís $\delta$＇ò $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega \nu ~ к а і ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu ~$


 $\rho a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．ó $\gamma$ à $\rho$ тóтє $\epsilon ้ \nu \sigma \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о s ~ a ̆ \nu \epsilon v ~ \tau o \hat{v}$


 $\sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o v ́ \sigma a \iota s ~ \epsilon ̉ \lambda \pi i \sigma \iota \nu, ~ ฝ ै \nu ~$
 тєбта ßov入ó $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ a i \tau \epsilon i \tau \epsilon, ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha-~$
 каi $\tau о \grave{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Bu弓avтí $\omega \nu$ бтєфávovs каì тоv̀s
 $\pi$ ó久ı $\nu$ ．







## $\Psi Н Ф І \Sigma M A$ BYZANTI 2 .





















 Oiov ċXapıनтiav.]

92 \є́ $\gamma \epsilon$ каì тov̀s $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ̉ \nu ~ X \epsilon \rho \rho о \nu \eta ́ \sigma \omega ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon-~$ фávovs.

## 世НФIEMA XEPPONHEITRN.





 ảmodov̀s тàs $\pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta a s, ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ v o ́ \mu o v s, ~ \tau \grave{\eta v ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon р i a v, ~ \tau a ̀ ~ i ́ \epsilon \rho a ́ . ~}$

 ßоvдєитпрі́ч．］

Ov̉кои̂̀ ov̉ $\mu$ óvò тò $\mathrm{X} \epsilon \rho \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma o \nu ~ к а i ~ B u \zeta a ́ \nu \tau \iota o \nu ~$ 93
 Фı入ímтఱ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon, ~ о v ̉ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \iota \mu a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 тєía $\delta \iota \epsilon \pi \rho a ́ \xi \alpha \tau о$, ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ к а i ~ \pi \alpha ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ้ \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \epsilon \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́-~$





 є้ $\mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ Хро́voıs，ov̉ $\mu$ о́ขov ov̉ $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota к а к о ข ิ \nu т є \varsigma ~$ ov̉ठє̀ $\pi \rho о і ̈ \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \tau о v ̀ s ~ a ̉ \delta \iota к о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma \omega ́-~$
 $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ є̇к $\tau \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．каì $\mu \eta ̀ \nu$ ö $\tau \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ то入入оv̀s є่ $\sigma \tau \epsilon-$




＂Iva тоívvข каì тàs $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i ́ a s, ~ a ̆ s ~ к а \tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu 95$


$\sigma \kappa \omega \nu, \sigma v \kappa o \phi a \nu \tau i ́ a s ~ o v ̈ \sigma a s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \xi \xi \omega ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \mu o ́ v o \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$廿єvסєis єîval ( $\tau \circ \hat{\tau} \tau о ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ v i \pi a ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ i ́ \mu a ̂ s ~ \epsilon i \delta o ́-~$




 каì $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \kappa о \iota \nu \eta ̂ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \kappa a ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu ~ v i \pi \alpha \rho \chi o ́ \nu-~$ $\tau \omega \nu$ ảєì $\delta \epsilon i ̂ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \lambda o \iota \pi a ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu . ~ \dot{v} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s$



 $\nu \alpha \nu, \mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu a ́ s, a ̈ \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{2} \nu \eta{ }^{\sigma} \sigma o v s$, ov̉ $\nu a \hat{s}$, ov̉ $\tau \epsilon i \chi \eta$
 тоע каì $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ o v ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda a i ̂ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \iota s ~ v o ~ v \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~$

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò $\nu \Delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu ~ \pi \rho а \chi \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \cdot \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime}$










 98
 $\tau \epsilon \rho o \iota$ ，ồ $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu o \nu i o v s$ ov̉ фídovs oै $\nu \tau a s$ ov̉ $\delta^{\prime}$




 $\pi \omega \nu$ кıрঠvvєv́бєєє $\delta \iota a \lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$ ．каì $\gamma$ áp $\tau \circ \iota 99$


 $\theta \epsilon \rho i a s ~ к i v \delta \delta v o ́ s ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̀ s ~ к а т а \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \eta, ~ о ข ้ \tau \epsilon ~$




 тои́тоıs，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ दُ $\theta \epsilon \lambda о \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau о ́ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \eta \rho \alpha ́ \rho \chi \omega \nu \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau о и ́ т \omega \nu . ~ к а i ̀ ~ к а \lambda o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \pi о ь ท ́ \sigma а т \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~} 10 \Omega$ $\sigma \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma o \nu, \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$ є้ть тоv́тov кá入入ıov



 $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega, \nu a v \mu a \chi i a s, ~ \epsilon ’ \xi o ́ \delta o v s ~ \pi \epsilon \zeta$ द́s, $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i a s ~ к а i$






 то七єiv; $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha \kappa \epsilon i \nu ~ \nu \grave{\nu}$ Día $\pi \rho$ òs тov̀s ßov入o-










 тov̀s $\mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \pi \lambda o v \sigma i o v s ~ a ̉ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu a \lambda \omega-$







 à $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi v \gamma о \nu$, каi тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho о s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \psi \eta ́ \phi \omega \nu$ ó $\delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ ои̉к є̈ $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \nu$. каі́тоь то́ба хрท́ŋата тоѝs ท̀ $\gamma \epsilon \mu$ ó-





 $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \nu о ́ \mu \omega \nu$ бvขєккаíठєка $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu \rho \gamma \epsilon i v$, av̉тоîs $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \mu \iota к \rho a ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ о и ̉ \delta e ̀ v ~ a ̉ \nu \alpha \lambda i ́ \sigma к о v \sigma \iota, ~ \tau о и ̀ s ~ \delta ' ~ a ̉ \pi o ́-~$




 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s . \quad \grave{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ S̀̀ $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau a \quad \lambda v \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ каì $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ Síкаıа


 т’ є́к той $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho о v ~ \nu o ́ \mu о v ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ к а \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\nu ~ \epsilon ̉ \mu о ́ \nu . ~}$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$.

## $\Psi$ HФİMA.






 ov̉ $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega \nu}$ ả $\pi \epsilon ́ \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \tau$ व̀s $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к о \sigma i a s ~ \delta \rho a \chi \mu a ́ s]$.

106 Фє́рє ठخ̀ каі тòv ка入òv ката́入оуор．

## KATAMOTOE．




 катá入oүov．

KATAMOTOZ．
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 $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\eta} \mu \iota \kappa \rho a ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \stackrel{a}{\nu} \nu \tau о \hat{v} \mu \eta े ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ Síкаьа тоוєi้ є่ $\theta$ Є́ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ oi $\pi \lambda o v ́ \sigma \iota o \iota ; ~ o v ̉ ~ \tau o i ́ \nu v \nu ~ \mu o ́ \nu o \nu ~ \tau \hat{~} \mu ~ \mu \eta े ~$


 $\gamma$ à $\tau$ òv $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega \nu}$ ả $\pi о \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ ү८ $\gamma \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$




 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ à $\nu \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$. каíто九 ката̀ тоѝs $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \varsigma ~ 108$
 тoîs $\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ท̂̀ $\tau$ ò $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma \epsilon i \nu \cdot \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ ठ̀̀ $\tau \grave{\alpha}$










 $\tau \grave{\varsigma} \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda o v \sigma i ́ \omega \nu$ Хápıгas $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \eta \hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \circ \iota \hat{\eta} \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \tau 0 \imath ̂{ }^{\text {T}}{ }^{\text {E }} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.




 $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ каi $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o \iota \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，ó $\mu o i ́ \omega s ~ \pi \alpha \rho ’$ ن́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ єீка́ $\sigma \tau \varphi$ тò $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \delta o ̀ s ~ v i \pi a ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \mu о \iota . ~$
1 II T $\hat{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov̉ $\nu$ 入ó $\gamma \omega \nu$ ，ov̂s oûtos aٌ้ $\omega \omega$ кaì кát $\omega$
 $\mu \omega \nu$ ，ov̂тє $\mu \grave{\alpha}$ тov̀s $\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ o i ̂ \mu a \iota ~ i ̂ \mu a ̂ s ~ \mu a \nu \theta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu ~$ ov้т av̉тòs ク̉ $\delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu$ бvveival тov̀s mod入ov́s．




[^3] ${ }_{\Phi}^{\omega} \nu \vec{\eta}$ ठıакєХєірıка $\vec{\eta} \pi \epsilon \pi о \lambda i ́ \tau \epsilon v \mu a \iota ~ \pi а \rho^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu i \nu$.




 $\pi i a s ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau o ́ s, ~ \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta o ́ v \tau a ~ \tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ i \delta i ́ \omega \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~$ $\pi о \iota \eta=\alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu \alpha$ ф८入áv $\theta \rho \omega \pi о \nu$ каi $\phi \iota \lambda o ́ \delta \omega \rho о \nu$





 $\nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ av̉тóv," $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$, "vi $\tau \epsilon$ v́ $\theta v \nu o \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \alpha$." ov̉ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~}$


[^4]





 тоîs $\nu o ́ \mu о \iota s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каì є̉v тоîs $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho о \iota s ~ \eta ̈ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$











 $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega ́ \nu . \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$.





 ঠךнократі́av."

## $\Psi Н Ф I \Sigma M A T A$.











## ETEPON $\Psi H \Phi I \Sigma M A$.


















入оүьбтаí, ov̉ катךүó $\epsilon є \iota$;
118

 ả $\nu a ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota$ тò $\psi \eta ́ \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ ö ó $о \nu$ тò $\gamma \rho a \phi$ év $\mu$ о८. oîs үà ои̉к є’ $\rho a ́ \psi a \tau о ~ \tau о \hat{\imath} \pi \rho о \beta о v \lambda є v ́ \mu a \tau o s, ~ \tau о v ́ т о \iota s, ~$ ¿ $\delta \iota \omega ́ к \epsilon \iota, ~ \sigma v к о ф а \nu \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \phi \alpha \nu \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota . ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$.

## $\Psi Н Ф I \Sigma M A$.












 ย่ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \imath$ тòv ả $\gamma \omega \nu 0 \theta \in \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \nu$.]






 ov̉ $\begin{gathered}\text { ó тoเov̂тos; } \\ \text {; }\end{gathered}$
 $\sigma \theta a \iota$, тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \nu \nu \rho \iota \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s ~ \mu v \rho i ́ o v s ~ к є к \eta \rho v ̂ \chi \theta a \iota ~ \pi a \rho a-$ $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$ каì тò $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ \kappa \iota s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu \omega ิ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́-~$
32. ' $\Omega$ s тoívvv каì $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ảvá $\rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota v ~ \tau о \hat{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ v o v ~ \pi a \rho a \nu o ́ \mu \omega s ~$





## NOMOE.







## $\Psi Н Ф I \Sigma M A$.














$\tau \epsilon \rho о \nu . \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ойт $\sigma \kappa \alpha i o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ̂ ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~$









 фávov т $\quad$ аүю

























 $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$.

## NOMOE.

["Oбovs $\sigma \tau \epsilon ф \alpha v o v ̂ \sigma i ~ \tau \iota v \epsilon s ~ \tau \bar{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \eta ́ \mu \omega \nu, ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ a ̉ v a \gamma o p \epsilon v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~$





 бvкофаขтєis; $\tau i$ 入óyovs $\pi \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \varepsilon \iota s ; ~ \tau i ́ ~ \sigma a v \tau o ̀ \nu ~$














 каì $\nu o ́ \mu$ ovs $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi о เ \omega ิ \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{3} a ̉ \phi \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$, ov̂s































 ov̉ тоîs трá $\mu \mu a \sigma \iota$ каi тоîs то入ıтєúpaбı $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega-$





入oıסopiav $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a s$, âs кагà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aví $\omega \hat{\nu}$ фv́бıv тоîs é $\chi$ Ө $\rho$ oîs $\pi \epsilon \rho i ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda \omega \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu . ~$








 $\sigma \epsilon ́ \tau \iota s$ ，Ai $\sigma \chi \chi i \nu \eta, \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ є́ $\chi \theta \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \stackrel{~}{\eta} \epsilon \in \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i v a \iota$




 $\sigma \mu i a ̨, \tau \hat{\varphi}$ кєкрí $\theta \theta a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ к ı s ~ \pi \rho o ́-~$ $\tau \epsilon \rho о \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \pi \omega \dot{\omega} \pi о \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i a, ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon i \nu a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \xi \eta \mathrm{s}$ ，







 $\gamma \in \iota \nu$ ，каì 入óyous тívas $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma v ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$ ，aủтòs єip $\kappa \omega ̀ s ~ a ̀ ~$ $\tau i \varsigma ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ \hat{a} \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \kappa \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ à $\theta \rho \rho \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu \phi \theta \epsilon ́ \gamma-$
 $\nu \omega s$ गิ $\nu$ ó катךүор⿳⺈ $\nu$ ，$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma o s, ~}$













 киі єір $\eta к а$ ．
$\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \sigma v i \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ є ̇ \pi \iota \kappa а \lambda о v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu, \hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \grave{~ \tau a ̀ ~ a i \sigma \chi \chi \grave{a} ~ \delta \iota a \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa є \tau \alpha \iota \cdot ~ \tau \alpha v ิ \tau \alpha ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho}$



 $\nu \alpha, \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ف́s $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \nu \chi \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ov̉ ${ }^{\prime}$ à $\nu$ єîs єi̋̃o८ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a i ́ \tau o v ̂ ~ \tau o \iota o \hat{\tau o \nu ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon ́ \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \kappa a ̉ \nu ~}$



 $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.

Ov̉к $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \tau \iota \chi \rho \eta े \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \sigma o \hat{v} \kappa a i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega ิ \nu 129$
 $\pi a \tau \eta ́ \rho ~ \sigma o v ~ T \rho o ́ \mu \eta s ~ \epsilon ̇ \delta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon v \epsilon ~ \pi a \rho ’ ~ ' E \lambda \pi i ́ a ~ \tau e ̂ ~ \pi ~ т o ̀ s ~$




 трiŋраи́дทs Фориíшv, ò $\Delta i ́ \omega \nu o s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ Ф \rho є а \rho \rho i ́ o v ~$

 ỏкขิ $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ бov̂ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~$



 —, ỏ $\psi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega ; ~ \chi \theta \epsilon ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o v ̂ \nu ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \eta \nu ~ a ̈ \mu ' ~$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̄ o s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \rho ீ \eta ं \tau \omega \rho ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma о \nu \epsilon, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta v ́ o ~ \sigma v \lambda \lambda a ß a ̀ s ~$
 'А $\tau \rho o ́ \mu \eta \tau о \nu, \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \omega ิ s ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu v ~ Г \lambda а v к о-~$


 ${ }^{131} \gamma$ à $\rho$ ä $\lambda \lambda o \theta \epsilon \nu$; ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ ö $\mu \omega$ s oṽ $\tau \omega s$ ả $\chi a ́ \rho \iota \sigma \tau o s ~ \epsilon \hat{i}$
 каì $\pi$ गоv́бьos є́к $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ ồ $\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau o v \tau o v \sigma i ̀ ~ \gamma є \gamma о \nu \grave{\varsigma s}$



 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon i ́ \chi \theta \eta \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu, \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ ả $\nu \alpha \mu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega$.
132 Tís $\gamma$ à $\rho$ vi $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̉к oî $\delta \epsilon$ тò $\nu$ ả $\pi о \psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma \theta \in ́ v \tau \alpha$







 $\pi a ́ \gamma o v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a ~ \alpha i \sigma \theta о \mu e ́ v \eta ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \nu \dot{v} \mu \in \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$



 $\mu \nu 0 \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \tau o v \tau o v i ́ \cdot \nu र ิ \nu ~ \delta ' ~ ن ́ ~ \mu \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \beta \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$







 $\tau \alpha \xi \epsilon \nu$. каì $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ ảmò $\tau 0 \hat{v} \beta \omega \mu о \hat{v}$ фє́ $\rho о v \sigma a ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 тои́т $\omega \nu$ тoùs $\mu$ á $\rho \tau v \rho a s$.

## MAPTYPE





 каì $\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \eta{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{Y} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i ́ \delta \eta s.\right]$

 $\tau \eta \nu$ єỉvaı каì како́ขоvข ข́ $\mu \mathrm{î} \mathrm{\nu}$ ả $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi \eta \nu \epsilon \nu$.



 $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{a} ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ av́тoर̂ $\sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon$





 є̇кєívov $\sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \iota \sigma \tau а \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ o ́ \mu o \lambda o-~$
 $\tau \cup ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \pi a \tau \rho i \delta \iota$, каì $\tau a v ̂ \tau \alpha \psi \in v \delta \hat{\eta}$.
137 Kaì ov̉к $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \chi \rho \eta \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$



 ката́бкотоs каì толє́цьоs $\tau \hat{\eta}$ татрíঠı. Kaì o̊ $\iota$
 тvpas.

## MAPTYPEZ.






## ПЕРI TOT ミTEФANO؟.


 $\mu$ évov.]


 עovs $\tau 0$ ט̀s Х








 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \cup ์ \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.

 $\pi \omega \hat{s} \gamma \grave{\rho} \rho$ ovै; катà тท̂s $\pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o \varsigma^{\circ}$ ठóтє $\delta^{\prime}, \epsilon i$












 єis $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu$ đà $\tau 0$ v́т $\omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon i \nu \omega$.







[^5]$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ov̂ $\tau 0$ v̀s $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \grave{a} \nu a ́ \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s, ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \omega ิ \nu$




 тov̀s $\theta \epsilon o \grave{s} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ к каi $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha s$, ö $\sigma о \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha \nu$

























 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả้óv $\eta \tau o ́ v \mu \epsilon \pi o \imath \eta \sigma \alpha \iota$.









#### Abstract

             $\psi \eta \dot{\phi} \boldsymbol{\iota} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$.


## $\Psi Н Ф І \Sigma M A$.










 ó $\sigma v \gamma к а \tau а \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \nu \grave{\eta} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\mu о \nu$ 'А $\Lambda \phi \iota \kappa \tau v о \nu \iota \kappa o ́ \nu "$ оi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ є́к $\pi а \rho а к \lambda \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~$







 тov̀s d̉єì $\pi v \lambda a \gamma o \rho o v ̂ v \tau a s ~ \pi о р є v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \epsilon i s ~ П u ́ \lambda a s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \epsilon i s ~ \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o v ̀ s ~$















 $\tau \omega \nu$, каì ті́роs єivєка таиิта $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \kappa є v a ́ \sigma \theta \eta ~ к а i ̀ ~$
 $\lambda v ́ \theta \eta \tau \epsilon \cdot$ каі̀ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ є $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ тро̀s ívторíà $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ коьข $\hat{\nu} \nu$,

145 Ov̉火 ท̉v $\tau$ ô̂ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ o v ̉ \delta ' ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \grave{\eta}$ Фıлím$\pi \omega, \epsilon i \quad \mu \grave{\eta} \Theta \eta \beta a i ́ o v s ~ к \alpha \grave{\imath} \Theta \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha-$入ov̀s é $\chi$ Opov̀s $\pi о \iota \eta \dot{\eta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon ~} \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \cdot \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каímє $\rho$ $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda i ́ \omega s$ каi как $\omega$ s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$
 каì т $\omega \nu \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \nu \rho i ́ a ~ є ̈ \pi a \sigma \chi \epsilon к а к \alpha ́ . ~ о и ̆ \tau \epsilon ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$


















 тoùs $\Theta \varepsilon \tau \tau \alpha \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \eta ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i o u s ~ \sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon i \theta_{\text {ot }} \beta a \delta i-$









 $\mu i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon$ каì тov̀s $\Theta \eta \beta a i o v s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \Theta \epsilon \tau \tau a \lambda o v ̀ s ~ к a i ̀ ~$















 үopos ov̂тos каi $\tau \rho \iota \omega \nu$ ते $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \chi є \iota \rho о \tau о \nu \eta-~$





 ${ }_{150}$ גov ov̉ $\pi \rho о о \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s, ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ i \epsilon \rho о \mu \nu \eta ́ \mu o \nu a s, ~ \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota ~$ $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu \tau \eta े \nu \chi \chi^{\omega} \rho \alpha \nu \eta^{\eta} \nu$ oí $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ' $\mathrm{A} \mu$ -


 оช̋тоs $\pi \rho \circ \phi а \sigma i \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ оv̉к $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$. $\gamma \nu \omega^{-}$




 ${ }^{151}$ Х $\rho \hat{\omega}$ каі̀ $\psi \epsilon v \delta \epsilon і . \quad \pi \epsilon \rho u o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau о i ́ \nu v \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu ~$



 мата каì то́лєرоs $\pi$ рòs то̀̀s 'A $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon ̇ \tau \alpha-~$









 є̇к $\tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ท̊ $\gamma \epsilon \mu \omega ́ \nu$. каì $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{3} \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \in \omega s$ रú$\nu a \mu \iota \nu \quad \sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi a s$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ ̀̀s є̇ $\pi i$ т $\eta \nu$ Kıp-



 povs $\stackrel{a}{ } \nu \stackrel{a}{a} \pi \alpha \nu$ тov̂тo $\tau \grave{~} \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a$ єis $\tau \eta ̀ \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$

 $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ тıvòs єv้̉oía $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v ̀ \mu a ̂ s, ~ \epsilon i ̂ \tau a ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o l, ~ к а i ̀ ~$



 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \mu \circ \iota \tau \grave{\alpha}$ סó $\gamma \mu a \tau a$.

## $\triangle$ OTMATA АМФIKTYON $2 N$.





 тov̀s öpovs, каì à $\pi \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon i ̂ v ~ \tau o i s ~ ' А \mu \phi \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \hat{v \iota \iota ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \lambda o \iota \pi o v ̂ ~ \mu \grave{\eta}}$ èr $\pi$ ßaiveıv.]

## ETEPON $\triangle O \Gamma M A$.







 тифоv тòv 'Арка́ба $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ Ф i ́ \lambda \iota \pi т о \nu ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ М а к є \delta o ́ v a, ~$



 фиктvóver.]

 $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon$.

## XPONOI.

 ка́т $\eta$.]
 oí Өךßaîol, $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̉ \nu ~ П \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta ́ \sigma \omega ~$
 $\sigma a \phi \hat{\omega} s$ ö $\tau \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ả $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ́ \phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́-$ $\tau \omega \nu, \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \alpha v ̂ \tau ' ~ Є ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\nu \nu ~ ' E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i o v s ~}$

 ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тàs ảфор $\mu a ̀ s ~ \tau а v ́ \tau \alpha s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho о ф а ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~$


## EHIミTOAH.




 'A








 $\sigma \nu \mu \pi а \rho а \sigma \kappa є v a ́ \sigma \alpha \Omega$ av̉т $\hat{\omega}$; тís ó $\tau$ às $\pi \rho о \phi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ таúтаs Є̉vסov́s; $\tau$ ís ó $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega ิ \nu ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \gamma є \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́-$ $\nu \omega \nu \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ aïтьos; oủX ov̂тos; $\mu \grave{\eta}$ тoívyข






 $\tau o ́ \pi \omega \nu, \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$. ó $\gamma$ à $\rho$ тò $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \chi \omega \dot{\nu}$,
 ov̉k $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} \mathrm{~S}$ í $\delta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{a}$ à $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon, \theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \omega \cdot \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ả $\eta \eta$ धєías.















 $\beta \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda$ ’ єiठ̀̀̀s 'A $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$ каì $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ Ev̉- 162 ßovגov $\pi a ́ v \tau \alpha$ тòv $\chi$ рóvov ßovגoućvovs $\pi \rho a ̂ \xi a \iota$ $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \phi \lambda i ́ a \nu, \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s$

 $\lambda о v^{\theta} \theta \iota \varsigma, \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \epsilon \omega \dot{\tau} \omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ои̉к ai$\sigma \theta a ́ v \epsilon \iota ~ к а \tau \eta \gamma о р \hat{\omega} \nu$.




 ẳ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ av̉ $\tau \hat{\omega} \tau \eta ̀ \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i o u s$

 $\epsilon i ̉ \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho о є \xi \alpha \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ \nu$, oủ $\delta^{\prime}$ ả $\nu a \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i \nu$ à $\nu$

入ovs, $\tau о v \tau \omega \nu \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ảкоv́баขтєऽ каі̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \rho і, \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ є $\iota \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$. Kaí $\mu$ оь $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega ́ \nu$.

## $\Psi Н Ф І \Sigma М А Т А . ~$








 каì тарака入є́ $\sigma о v \sigma \iota v ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \eta ̊ \mu a ̂ s ~ o ́ ~ \mu o ́-~$





## $\Psi Н \Phi І \Sigma М А$.














## AПOKPIEİ A@HNAIOİ.














## AПOKPISIS＠HBAIOI乏．


















 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Theta \eta \beta a i \omega \nu$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ тòv тóтє $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ Є̉ $\nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ Өópvßov 亢̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \stackrel{\circ}{a} \pi a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ，$\mu \iota \kappa \rho a ̀ ~ \delta ' ~$ ג̀кэv́батє ö $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ av̉тà 兀ảvaүкаเóтата．




 Sè тò̀s $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi о \nu \tau о ~ к а i ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma а \lambda-~$















 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ тov̀s $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ßov入o $\mu \in ́ v o v s ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda-$


















 $\tau \omega \nu$ каì $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ Є่ $\gamma \omega ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \epsilon v ̉ \nu o i ́ a s ~ \tau \alpha ́ \xi \iota \iota$ ėv roîs $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o i ̂ s ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon ̈ \lambda ı \pi о \nu, ~ \grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каì $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ каì













 $\mu \in ́ v o v s ~ o v ̉ \delta a \mu \hat{\omega} s \pi \epsilon i \sigma a l$ סv́vãal. $\tau i$ ov̉v ßov́入є-






 $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \rho а к \tau \alpha \iota ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i ́ o \iota s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ i ̀ \mu \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$, тov́тov $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{\eta}$ -


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$ aủ $\hat{̣}$ каì $\mu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu$ $\tau \omega \nu \quad \phi \iota \lambda \iota \pi \pi \iota \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ єis $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \quad$ 'А $\tau \tau \iota \kappa \eta े \nu \quad \stackrel{*}{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$

 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ үє́v $\eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$, oîpal каì $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ Séovт̣a $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ סó-



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu$ ทi $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є่ $\gamma \gamma \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega$, каi $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s$



 тò $\pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठıкаí $\omega \nu$, iठov̂$\sigma \iota \nu$





 píovs $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ бт $\rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi то̂̂ $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$
 $\theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\pi \rho \in ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon i s ~ \Theta \eta ́ \beta a s, \pi \omega ิ \varsigma ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$

 $\sigma \chi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o ́ ~ к а \iota \rho o ́ s) ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ \epsilon ่ \pi а \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \beta o \eta-~$








 $\kappa а \tau \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \nu . \quad \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ठє̀ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ каì оv̉ $\delta \epsilon$ -





 $\mu \circ \iota$ фє́ $\rho \epsilon$ тò $\psi \eta ́ \phi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ тò тóтє $\gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$.

 тòv $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$, o̊ $\nu \stackrel{a}{a} \nu \sigma \grave{v}$ خoıסopov́ $\mu \in \nu o s$ каì $\delta \iota a \sigma v ́ \rho \omega \nu$








 $\sigma \mu a ́ \mu о$.

ЧНФІミМА $\triangle$ HMOЕНENOYミ.

































 ả入入óтр七ov таîs $\pi$ ó $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda a s, ~ \beta о \eta \theta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ к а i ~ \delta v v a ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~$







 ovs $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ a ̉ \pi o \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о v \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ \Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о v v \eta o i ́ \omega v ~ \tau \hat{\jmath} s ~ \pi a \tau \rho ழ ̣ ́ a s ~$










 ả $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ к а \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta, ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon i s$ ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \alpha \nu$ каì $\mu i ̄ \sigma o s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \alpha ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \tau i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu ~ v i \pi \eta$ -















 $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ ש̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \nu \epsilon ́ \phi o s . ~ \hat{\eta} \nu ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \tau o i ́ v v \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta ı к a i o v ~$



 $\gamma \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu$ ámофаivєтal, каì



























 $\rho \circ \nu, ~ \tau i ́ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma v ́ \mu \beta o v \lambda o \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \eta ิ \nu ~ \pi o เ \epsilon i ้ \nu ; ~ o v ̉ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 Aioxìך, " $\tau i s$ ả ảopєv́єıv ßoú $\lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$;" ov̉ " $\tau i s$ aiтıâ$\sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta o ́ \tau \omega \nu$," ov̉סє̀ " $\tau i \varsigma$




 $\pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \phi \theta \eta$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ; ~ \tau i s ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \mu \alpha \chi i a, ~ \tau i s ~$

192 'A $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \eta ̀ \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta o ̀ s ~ \alpha ̉ \epsilon i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ ảфєíтaı, каi ov̉סєis $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тоv́тov $\pi \rho о \tau i \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ov̉-
 $\sigma v \mu \beta o u ́ \lambda o v ~ \tau \alpha ́ \xi ̆ \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \pi a \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ . ~ \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau о i ́ v v \nu ~ \tau \grave{a} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$

 $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{a} \sigma v \mu \beta$ áv $\tau \alpha$ бvкофа́ $\nu \tau \epsilon \iota$. тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \gamma$ д̀ $\rho \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a s$,
 Sè $\pi \rho \circ a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ av̉兀̀̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тov̂ $\sigma v \mu \beta o v ́ \lambda o v ~ \delta \iota a ́ \nu o l a \nu ~$







 $\mu o v . ~ \epsilon i ̉ \delta ’$ ó $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a ̀ s ~ \sigma \kappa \eta \pi \tau o ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ нóvov $\eta \not \mu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu 94$ à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каі $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega \nu{ }^{e} E \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\circ} \nu \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$











 є́кєivos $\pi a ́ \sigma a s ~ a ̉ \phi \eta ̂ \kappa є ~ \phi \omega \nu a ́ s ; ~ к а i ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu र ̂ \nu ~ \tau \rho \iota ิ ̂ \nu ~$

 $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu, \tau i ́ a ̉ \nu, \epsilon i ̉ \pi o v \tau \hat{\eta} s \chi^{\omega} \rho \alpha s \tau \alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} \tau o \pi \alpha ́ \theta o s$
 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu a l, \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, ả $\nu a \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a l$, $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ $\mu i ́ a$
$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta v ́ o ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \rho \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon ै \delta o \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta$ -

 $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi i \alpha \nu$, ŋ̂s $\sigma \grave{v} \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma о \rho \epsilon i ̄$.
$196{ }^{*} \mathrm{E} \sigma \tau \iota$ §è $\tau \alpha v \tau \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \mu o \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{a} \varsigma$,
 каì аंкрошлє́vovs, є́ $\pi \epsilon i ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ s ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ \tau о и ̂ \tau о \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ к а \tau \alpha ́-~$ $\pi \tau v \sigma \tau о \nu ~ \beta \rho a \chi \grave{\text { йs каi } \sigma a ф \eta ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \xi \eta ́ \rho к є \iota ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma о \varsigma . ~ \epsilon i ~}$ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \hat{\eta}^{\nu} \nu \sigma o \iota \pi \rho o ́ \delta \eta \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \alpha$, Ai $\sigma \chi i \nu \eta$,










 ö $\pi \epsilon \rho \delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu$ ó фаv入ótaтos каì $\delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau а \tau о s ~ a ̈ \nu$ $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ os $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi$ ó̀ $\epsilon \iota$, тои̂тo $\pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \kappa \omega ̀ s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \sigma \nu \mu-$

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma, ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu ~ к \rho i ́ v o v \sigma \iota ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o v s ~$









 Ai $\sigma \chi i \nu \eta \mathrm{~s}, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \grave{\alpha} \rho{ }_{\rho} \eta \prime \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ каì $\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \mu a \tau \alpha$, ő $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \iota$ какò $\tau \grave{̀} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ 入áß $\eta$, тóтє кıvєîтаı.
 ßоv́خонаí $\tau \iota$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \delta o \xi o \nu ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v . ~ к \alpha i ́ ~ \mu о v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$ $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ к а \grave{~} \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \delta \epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ v i \pi \epsilon \rho \beta о \lambda \eta े \nu \quad \theta a v \mu a ́ \sigma \eta \eta$, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} v o i ́ a s$ ồ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \tau \omega . \quad \epsilon i ̉ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ गै $\nu$

















 $\hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota$, каi таv̂та $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \pi \omega ́ \pi о \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~S}$


 $\beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega \nu$, о̊ть каі $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{a ̀ ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i ́ \omega \nu ~ к \alpha \grave{~} \pi \alpha \rho \grave{~}}$


 $\pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$, ő $\tau \iota$ ßоv́лєтаı 入aßov́бך каі̀ т̀̀ є́avтท̂s



 oủסєis ék $\pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \chi p o ́ v o v ~ \pi \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a l ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ i \sigma \chi u ́-~$ ovaı $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \mu \grave{~}$ ठíкаıa $\delta \grave{̀} \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о v \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$
 $\tau \epsilon i \omega \nu$ каì $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\jmath}$ каì $\delta o ́ \xi \eta s ~ \kappa ı \nu \delta v \nu \epsilon v ́ o v \sigma \alpha ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau а ~$ 204 тòv aî̂̀va Sıaтєтє́ $\lambda \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu$. каì таv̂ $\theta^{\prime}$ оv̋т $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{\alpha}$






 $\sigma v \mu \beta о v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \alpha$ Єє $\mu \tau \sigma \tau о \kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ \nu ~ \in ̇ \lambda o ́-~$


 vaîka aủтov̂. ov̉ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ द́ そ̌ंтovv oi тóт' 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ 205$




 тoîs $\gamma 0 \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \mu o ́ \nu 0 \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \nu о \mu i \zeta \omega \nu$ тòv $\tau \hat{\eta} s$




 $\theta a \nu a ́ t o v$.








 $\kappa \iota \nu \delta v ́ \nu \omega \nu$ aiтíc $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota, \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ єis $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi a \rho o ̀ \nu ~$



 $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau u ́ \chi \eta s$ ả $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \sigma \sigma u ́ \nu \eta$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \nu \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ $\pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i \nu$.
 'A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha \hat{\imath} o \iota, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ v i \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ a ́ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ̉ \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a s ~ к а i ̀ ~$ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s ~ к i ́ \nu \delta \nu \nu o \nu$ ả $a^{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota, \mu a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ M a \rho a \theta \theta ิ \nu \iota$






















 $\phi \omega \nu, \sigma \grave{v} \mu \epsilon ̀ v \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o v \tau \omega \nu \grave{~} \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$ каі̀ $\phi \iota \lambda a \nu$－


 $\gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon i \omega \nu$ $\sigma v ́ \mu \beta o v \lambda o \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$



 voías $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau a ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon$ iסías סíкаs каì тàs $\delta \eta \mu о \sigma i a s ~ к р i-~$ $\nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau о \hat{v} \kappa а \theta^{\prime}$ ทं $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$ ßíov $\sigma \nu \mu \beta$ ó－$^{-}$
 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta_{\epsilon} \kappa о \iota \nu a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o a \iota \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \circ \gamma o ́ v \omega \nu$


[^6]




 $\beta \eta \nu \kappa \alpha i \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi \rho \alpha \chi \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．$\epsilon \pi \pi \alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ ov̉v，$\delta \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon \nu$

 $\nu о \mu \epsilon \nu$ Ф८лímтоv каі̀ $\Theta \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ $\sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu$ таро́vтаs $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota$ ，каi $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \eta i ~ \eta \epsilon-~$ тépovs фíगovs ċv фó $\beta \omega$ ， ，тoùs $\delta^{\prime}$ є̇кєívov $\theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon i ̂ s$.

 $212 \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \grave{v}$ s oi $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \iota \varsigma$ ．каíтоь тобаv́тク $\gamma^{\prime}$ vं $\pi \epsilon \rho$－

 $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ aïт兀ov $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \omega \varsigma$ є̀ $\tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega s ~ \sigma \nu \mu-$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ є̇к $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho a-~$

[^7]


 є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \eta^{\nu}$.

## Emistonh.

 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \gamma o \nu$ є́кєívovs $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~$

 そоעтєऽ, $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ кат $\eta \gamma о \rho о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \pi \alpha ́ \nu \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\sigma \alpha$









 $\sigma \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ ímò $\tau о \hat{v} \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v$. каì ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o \iota \varsigma, ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau a v ̉ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon i v o \nu \tau ' ~ \epsilon ̈ \lambda ~(\epsilon-~$
 є́ $\gamma \grave{\omega} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \tau i ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \stackrel{a}{a} \nu ~ \tau \iota \mu \eta \sigma a i ́ \mu \eta \nu ~ \epsilon i ̉ \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o \hat{v}$


 тoùs $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тоv́т $\omega \nu$ 入óyovs $\nu о \mu i \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$ • ő $\tau \iota \delta^{\prime}$ oủ $\nu$
 $\Lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu \tau i ̀ \lambda a \beta \omega ́ \nu$ ．

## AIIOKPI乏İ＠HBAIRN．



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\pi \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ i $\pi \pi \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ö้ $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ єis $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ оiкias




 бv́vŋs．каì $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ тòv ả $\gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \nu a \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \in ̀ \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \pi о \iota \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̉ \mu \epsilon i v o v s ~$
 $\pi o v \cdot \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi a \rho ’$ aviтoîs кaì $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime}$ є’v









 таîs тарабкєvaîs，$\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \theta \nu \mu i ́ a$ ．＇$\epsilon \phi^{\prime}$ ois $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$



 $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ ，то́тєроข $\sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \theta v \epsilon$ каì $\sigma v \nu \epsilon v \phi \rho a i v \epsilon \tau о$ тоі̂s $\pi о \lambda \lambda о i ̂ s, \stackrel{\imath}{\eta} \lambda v \pi о v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ$ каi $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ каì $\delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha i ́-$
 $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \pi \alpha \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ каì $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \omega\rangle \nu$ aै $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ द́ $\xi \eta \tau \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \epsilon \tau о, \pi \hat{\omega} s$


 тov̀s ỏ $\mu \omega \mu$ ко́таs тov̀s $\theta \epsilon o u ́ s ; ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ ， $\pi \omega ̂ s ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ a ̉ \pi о \lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon ́ v a \iota ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ к ı s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i ~ \delta i ́ к а \iota o s, ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \epsilon ̇ \phi ’ ~$
 סウ̀ каì таv̂та т⿳亠 $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau a ́ \mu o \iota$.

## $\Psi Н Ф I \Sigma M A T A ~ \Theta Y \Sigma I \Omega N$ ．


 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\kappa \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \beta о \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \delta \epsilon \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \nu о \mu i \zeta o v \sigma \iota \nu ~}$





 $\pi \lambda \alpha ́ \nu о \iota ~ к а i ~ \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega р i ́ \alpha \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} ~ \psi \eta \phi i ́-$ $\sigma \mu a \tau a, ~ \stackrel{a}{a} \nu v ̂ \nu$ ov̂тos $\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \sigma v \rho \epsilon, ~ \tau i ́ ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma a ́ \sigma a \tau o . ~$

 Ka入入íбтратоs є́кєivos，＇Aрıбтоф̂̂̀，Kє́фа入os，


 $\sigma \beta \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ，ò $\delta є ̀ ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v ́ \omega \nu$ ov̉火 ${ }^{\alpha} \nu$ єै $\gamma \rho a \psi \epsilon \nu$ ．ن̇ $\pi \epsilon \in-$
 $220 \nu \eta \nu, \stackrel{a}{\mu} \mu \alpha \delta^{\prime}, \epsilon i ̈ \tau \iota \gamma \epsilon ́ v o \iota \tau$ ’，ảvaфорáv．$\tau i ́$ oủv； $\epsilon і ̈ \pi о \iota ~ \tau \iota \varsigma \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu, \sigma \grave{v} \tau о \sigma o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \rho a s$ คீ $\omega \mu \eta$ каi


 Хढ́pav ov̉סє̀ $\pi \rho o ́ \nu o l a \nu ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon \mu i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ i \delta i ́ a s ~ a ̉ \sigma \phi \alpha-~$



 $\tau \iota o \nu \mu \eta \delta \in ́ v a, \mu \eta \prime \tau \epsilon \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \alpha \pi \rho a \hat{\xi} \alpha \iota, \mu \eta \prime \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \epsilon-$

 $\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda a ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{a} \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ Ф \iota \lambda i ́ \pi \pi o v . ~$

## EIIIZTOAAI.




 viாò $\tau о v \tau \omega \nu i$, каì $\sigma \grave{̀} \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\nu} \nu$ ov̉к $\dot{a} \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \varsigma$, ó $\delta \grave{~}$
 є̈ $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \nu$. Kaí $\mu$ оє $\lambda a \beta \grave{~} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$

$\Psi$ HФİMATA.




 тò̀ $\Delta \eta \mu о \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ үрáфоעта каі̀ $\tau \grave{\nu}{ }^{\text {' } \Upsilon \pi \epsilon \text { - }}$ $\rho \epsilon i \delta \eta \eta \nu, \epsilon i ้ \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \mu о v \nu \hat{v} \nu$ катךүорє̂̂, $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \nu$




 $\chi \theta \in ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ катךүорєiv, каì $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ ё $\tau \epsilon \rho a \cdot$ то́тє $\delta^{\prime}$




 ко́vта тоѝs хрóvovs каì $\pi \rho о \phi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ a ̉ \nu \tau i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta$ -








 $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.













 катаүขи́тн $\pi \rho i ̀ v$ ảкоv́бך ov̉ үàp סíкаlov.


入óyov фаıvoú́voıs $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta$ éб $\theta a l$. $\theta \epsilon \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon$ тоí-
 $\mu \grave{\eta}$ Sıкаíws $\hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$. є̇к $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ av̉тov̂ $\tau 0 \hat{v} 228$

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s, a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta ' ~ v i \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \Phi i \lambda i ́ \pi \pi o v \cdot ~ o v ̉ ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$

 $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ö $\tau \iota \gamma \epsilon$ ov̉ Síkaıa $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu$








 ко́б८a $\sigma \tau a ́ \delta \iota a ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ B o \iota \omega \tau \omega ิ \nu$







 $\mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon v \theta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \kappa є ́ \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota ; ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~$ ои̉кє́ть $\pi \rho о \sigma-$

 poıs $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \beta \eta, \tau \hat{\eta} s$ Sè $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a s$, $\hat{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda о \iota \pi \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є́кєі̀vos $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \lambda o ́-$




 катךүо́рєь, тарабєі́үрата $\pi \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu ~ к а і ̀ ~ \rho \eta \mu а т а ~$ каì $\sigma \chi \eta ُ \mu а \tau а ~ \mu \iota \mu о v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s ~(\pi \alpha ́ \nu v ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{\tau} \tau о$,



 тódıs каì тivas $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota s$, ö $\tau$ ’ єis $\tau \grave{a} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau^{3}$






Cf. Æsch. §§ 166 and 167 , cited on page 58 .
 $\chi \rho \eta$ бонає т仑̣̂ $\lambda о ́ \gamma \varphi$ ．
 $\tau \alpha \varsigma$ ，oủ ${ }^{\circ} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha,{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ тoùs à $\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v s$.








 $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \alpha v ̂ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ẳ $\lambda \lambda o$ ov̉סє́v．$\tau a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau 0 \hat{v}$ Фı入ím－
 $\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau о \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảко入ovӨov́vт $\omega \nu$ aủ兀òs av̉то－ $\kappa \rho a ́ \tau \omega \rho$ ，ò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ єंs $\tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~$







 ov̉סєvós• av̉тò $\gamma$ à $\rho$ тò $\delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma о \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \pi \rho \omega ̂ \tau o \nu, ~ o v ์ ~$


 $\left.\tau \alpha, \delta i{ }^{\prime} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\eta} \nu_{\nu} \epsilon \in \alpha \sigma \tau o \nu \tau v ́ \chi o \iota \pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu\right), \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ vi $\pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho$


 ßaious, Мєүарє́as, Дєvкаסíovs, Kєркираíous, ảф’



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i o v s ~ \delta i ́ k a l a, ~ A i \sigma \chi i ̀ \eta, ~ \vec{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ B v$ -
 $\nu v \nu i ̀ ~ \delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \eta, \pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma \nu о є i ̂ s ~ o ̊ \tau \iota ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho o ́-~$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ трıท́ค $\omega \nu$, трıакобí $\omega \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \sigma \omega ิ \nu$,




 тоs av̉тخ̀ $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \iota a ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{้}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\mu} \nu \tau \omega \nu$

















 $\lambda \eta ́ \nu \omega \nu$ кúpıos, тó $\lambda \epsilon \mu$ оs $\delta^{\prime}$ ö оороs каi $\beta$ арùs єis







 Ө $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ оя, ả $\rho о v \rho a i ̂ o s ~ O i v o ́ \mu \alpha o s, ~ \pi а \rho a ́ \sigma \eta \mu о s ~ \rho ீ \eta ं т \omega \rho . ~$
 $\nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu$ Өót $\omega \nu$; $\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho{ }^{243}$


$\tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu o ́ \sigma o \nu, ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ Sè $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota s$;




 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ Ф \iota \lambda i \pi \pi o v \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \omega \nu$, ov̉к $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$

 тíov, ov̉к ä入入o $\theta \epsilon \nu$ ov̉ $\delta a \mu o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$, ov̉ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \alpha i ̂ a$








[^8]






 кà $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ \dot{a} \pi a ́ \sigma a \iota s ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu \alpha \gamma к а i ̂ a ~$

 Séovта $\pi о \iota \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ó $\rho \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho о \tau \rho \in ́ \psi a l$. каì $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ́ ~ \mu о \iota$

 $\nu 0 \hat{\nu}, \tau i \sigma \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̄ \sigma \tau \alpha$ Фí $\lambda \iota \pi \pi \frac{\varsigma}{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \in ́ \pi \rho \alpha \xi \epsilon$
 $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ SıSóvaı каì $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ тоѝs $\notin \pi i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha \alpha^{-}$ $\tau \omega \nu$. ov̉кои̂̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega \nu$ ov̂тє кúpıos oṽ $\theta^{\prime}$
 $\tau \alpha \pi \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ُ \mu \epsilon ́ . \quad к а і ~ \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\iota} \delta \iota a \phi \theta a \rho \hat{\eta}-$


[^9]

 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon ́$.






 $\tau \iota$ Өav $\mu a \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu \bar{\eta} \nu$ rov̀s $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon ́, ~ \pi \rho \omega ̂ \tau o \nu ~$

 є̇тра́ттєто, ท̇ $\delta \iota a ́ \tau a \xi ̌ \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \phi v \lambda a ́ к \omega \nu, ~ a i ~ \tau a ́ \phi \rho o \iota, ~$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \epsilon i s \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon i \chi \eta \quad \chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, $\delta i \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \in \mu \omega ิ \nu \psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \alpha^{-}$








 кра́тоvs ov้тє $\Delta \iota \omega ́ \nu \delta o v$ каì Mє入ávтov $\mu a \nu i ́ a ~ o v ̃ \tau ’ ~$


тоívvข тоv́тoıs $\pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o v ́ s, ~$

 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ v i \pi \grave{\rho} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ỏ $\mu \omega \mu$ ко́т $\omega \nu$ каі̀ $\gamma \nu$ о́vт $\omega \nu \tau$ à єv้орка


 $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot$ év oîs $\delta$ è $\tau$ às $\gamma \rho a \phi a ̀ s ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \ell-$

 á $\delta \omega \rho о \delta о к \eta \dot{\tau} \omega \varsigma \pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \hat{a} \chi \theta a i ́ \mu о \iota \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \mu$ оло-



 ô $\tau \eta े \nu ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta ं \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \beta \epsilon \beta \alpha \iota o v ̂ \sigma a \nu$;

 $\gamma \epsilon$. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau i ́ \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu$ ó $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ \kappa \iota s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \phi v \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \delta \epsilon-$



[^10]








 $\delta \epsilon \nu, \epsilon \mathfrak{i} \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \circ \iota a v ́ \tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota \tau \hat{\jmath} s{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \epsilon \in \rho a s, \pi \hat{\omega} s \chi \rho \eta े$
 Sŋ̀ $\delta^{\prime}$ ovíтos $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o ı s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon р i ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~$

 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каi ả้ $\theta \rho \omega \pi \iota \nu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \epsilon ่ \gamma \omega ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \cup ́ \chi \eta s$




 $254 \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{̇}{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ тapóvть $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon i ́ \rho a \tau a \iota ; ~ \tau o ̀ ~$ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau о i ́ v \nu \nu ~ \pi \rho о є \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ к a ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ к \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$




$\sigma v \mu \beta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ả $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu \tau v ́ \chi \eta s$ тò






 $\mu \iota \kappa \rho a ̀ \nu$ каì фаúdךv $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ảja $\theta \hat{\eta} s$ каi $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta s$. каì $\pi \omega ิ s$ є้̀ $\nu$ тоиิто $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota ;$



 каí $\mu$ оv $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta i o ̀ s ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i ́ a \nu ~ \psi v \chi \rho o ́ т \eta \tau а ~ к а \tau а \gamma \nu \bar{\omega}$



 єis тoьoútovs 入óyovs $\epsilon \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ả $\nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta о \mu a \iota$, oîs
 бораи.



 $\gamma \epsilon i \nu, \tau \rho \iota \eta \rho a \rho \chi \epsilon i \nu, \epsilon i \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu, \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu \iota a ̂ s$ фıлотьдías
$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ iठias $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \delta \eta \mu о \sigma i \alpha s \dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha u$ ，$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каì







 $\lambda a \tau \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda v \pi \eta ̂ \sigma a i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu a ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ o i ̂ s ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu v ́ v o \mu a \iota . ~$ $\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime}$ ó $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu v \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma ~ a ̉ \nu \grave{\rho} \rho$ каi $\delta \iota a \pi \tau v \cup \omega \nu$ то⿱亠乂s ä入入ous $\sigma \kappa o ́ \pi \epsilon є ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \pi o i ́ a ~ \tau \iota \nu \grave{~ к \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \eta \sigma a \iota ~}$

 $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \delta \rho \epsilon v ́ \omega \nu$ ，тò $\mu$＇́ $\lambda a \nu$ т $\rho i ́ \beta \omega \nu$ каì тà $\beta a ́ \theta \rho a$ $\sigma \pi о \gamma \gamma i \zeta \omega \nu$ каì тò $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \omega \gamma \epsilon і$ іо кор $\omega \nu$ ，оікє́тоv




 роıs каì ảv七бтàs ảmò $\tau о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \theta a \rho \mu о \hat{v} \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega \nu$










 уорєvó $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \varsigma, \mu \iota \sigma \theta o ̀ \nu \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \omega \nu$ тои́т $\omega \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \rho \rho v \pi \tau \alpha$
 ả $\lambda \eta \theta \bar{\omega} \varsigma ~ a v i \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon u ̉ \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \alpha u ́ \tau o ̂ ~ \tau u ́-~$




 катךүорєîs av̉тòs тоıท́баs, ov̉ кати́б $\chi v \nu a s ~ \mu a ̀ ~$





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$, ov̂s $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i \hat{\iota} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ท̉ $\gamma \omega \nu i-$


 $\kappa \iota \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu$ és $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \sigma \kappa \kappa ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \varsigma$. ảd入à $\gamma$ à $\rho \pi \alpha-263$


тà то仑 трóтоv бov $\beta a \delta \iota o v ̂ \mu \alpha \iota ~ к а т \eta \gamma о р \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha . ~$





 $\lambda i \omega \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi \sigma o \theta \alpha \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ è $\theta a ́ \rho \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon, \tau i ́$ ov̂тos





265 'E $\xi \in ́ \tau \alpha \sigma o \nu ~ \tau о i ́ \nu v \nu ~ \pi a \rho ' ~ a ̈ ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma o i ̀ ~ \kappa a ̉ \mu o i ̀ ~$








 ఢо $\mu a \iota$, тò $\delta$ є̀ $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ óтьov̂v ả $\delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \imath ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \omega \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \mu a \iota, ~$

 $\pi \epsilon \pi a \hat{v} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda a \beta o ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ тò $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau о \nu$ нє́ $\rho о \varsigma$
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \psi \eta \dot{\eta} \omega \nu$. ả $\alpha \theta \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon$, ov̉Х ópâs; $\tau v ์ \chi \eta ~ \sigma v \mu-$





каi

 $\tau \eta \nu$ каì т $\rho \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta \nu^{\prime}$.

Дє́ $\gamma є \tau$ тàs $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a s$.

## MAPTYPIAI.








 ठєî $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \chi \rho o ́ \nu o \nu, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi o \iota \eta ́-~$ $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \grave{v} \varsigma \epsilon \in \pi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota, \epsilon \hat{i} \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тòv $\mu \epsilon ̀ v \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$ тòv ठє̀ $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \mu \iota к р о 廿 v ́ \chi o v ~ \pi о \iota \epsilon ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ \rho \gamma о \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о v . ~$


 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \pi \omega s \pi o \theta^{\prime}$ v̇ $\pi \epsilon i \lambda \eta \mu \mu \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тои́т $\omega \nu$, ảpкєî $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$.
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ن ́ \mu a ̂ s ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к о \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$. єi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$


 ${ }_{2} 7 \mathrm{I} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega \nu$, ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega, \sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega}$

 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \pi \omega ́ \pi о \tau$ iठóvт $\omega \nu$ є $\mu \epsilon ̀ ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \phi \omega \nu \eta ̀ \nu$



 форáv тıva $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \eta ̀ \nu$ каi ov̉ $\chi$ oiav 272 Є้ $\delta \epsilon \iota ~ \tau о и ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ a i \tau i a \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota ; ~ \sigma v ̀ ~ \tau o i ́ \nu v \nu ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau ' ~$ ảфєis Є’ $\mu \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau о v \tau о \iota \sigma i ~ \pi \epsilon \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ a i-~$


 кра́тшр $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ Є่ $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon v o ́ \mu \eta \nu$, ग̉ $\nu$ à $\nu$

 є่v коเขผ̂ тò $\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \pi \rho о v ̉ \tau i \theta \epsilon \iota ~ \sigma к о \pi \epsilon i \nu$,







 $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\jmath} \pi о \iota s \delta_{\iota} \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha$ каi $\tau \epsilon \tau а \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v a ~ \pi \omega s$



 $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ éav $\frac{\partial ̀ \nu}{\nu}$ סov̀s ov̉ кат $\omega \rho \theta \omega \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$;
 Síкаıov, à $\lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu a ́ \chi \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l . ~ \phi а \nu \eta ं \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ 275 ~$
 фv́бıs aủzخ̀ тoîs ảypáфoıs vo $\mu i ́ \mu o \iota s ~ к а i ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~$


 $\chi \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є่ $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \tau о$, каi $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \not \mu о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma о \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.

Kaì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \iota s, ~ \omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ a ́ \pi \lambda \omega ิ s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ 276 ~$ $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ єv̉voías $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a s ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \kappa \grave{s} \tau o v ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s, ~ \phi v \lambda a ́ \tau-$








 $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha-\stackrel{้}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega$ रáp. каїто८ ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime} \delta \rho \hat{\omega}$ тウेs








 $\pi o v, \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тоv́т $\omega \nu$. ov̉ $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ av̉ $\hat{\eta}$ Sıкаícs, ov̉ ${ }^{\prime}$





 $\epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ ä $\rho^{\prime}$ ả $\nu a ́ \gamma к \eta, \pi \rho a ́ \omega s$ каì $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho i \omega s$ סıакєí $\epsilon \nu^{\prime}$




$\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i o v$ каì ảya $\theta$ ov̂ $\pi$ о入ítov. $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ a ̀ \delta t-279 ~$




 фӨóvov каi $\mu \iota \kappa \rho о \psi v \chi i ́ a s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i ~ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o v, ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~$ $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$. тò ठє̀ $\delta \grave{\eta}$ каì тov̀s $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ُ \mu \epsilon ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$



















$\mu \in \nu O s \pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ тòv ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon$ Х $\rho o ́ v o \nu \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $\lambda \iota \nu \epsilon ُ \xi a \pi a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ; ~ o ̛ ̉ \chi$ ó $\mu \grave{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu \stackrel{a}{a} \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} ; ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$


 $283 \sigma \grave{v}$ тoívvข oû̃os єن์pé $\eta \eta$ s. єî̃a $\sigma \grave{v} \phi \theta \in ́ \gamma \gamma \eta$ каi



 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \varphi, ~ к а \tau а р \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s ~ к а і ̀ ~ \delta ь о \mu \nu v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon \nu}$

 284 ov̉к ov̉ $\sigma \alpha \nu$ ả $\eta \eta \hat{\eta}$; $\quad$ ©s $\delta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \theta \eta \tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime}$
 $\gamma \epsilon \iota s$ каì $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \pi о \iota \frac{\imath}{} \phi \iota \lambda i ́ a \nu$ каì $\xi \in \nu i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu a i ́ ~ \sigma o \iota ~$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ́ v, ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \iota \sigma \theta a \rho \nu i ́ a ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \mu є \tau a \tau \iota \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s$



 $\tau о \nu \tau \omega \nu \grave{\imath} \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau \alpha$ ठ८aфөєípєıv. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ’ ö $\mu \omega \varsigma$


 $\tau \alpha s \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ airious $\epsilon \dot{\cup} \rho \eta \eta^{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \varsigma$.

По入入à каі̀ ка入а̀ каì $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ á入а $\dot{\eta}$ тó入ıs，Aí $\chi^{i} \nu \eta$ ， 285


 $\alpha v ̉ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma v \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ ov̉ $\sigma \epsilon e^{\epsilon} \chi \in \iota \rho о \tau o ́ \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \beta \lambda \eta$－








 $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau^{\prime} \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \rho а \tau \tau о \nu, \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ ả $\delta_{\iota \kappa i ́ a \nu}$.








 $\mu \in ́ v o \iota s, \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ є́кєi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ к $\omega \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ каì $\pi \alpha \iota \omega \nu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$
 $\chi \in i \rho \omega \nu$ тồ фóvov，$\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o \delta^{\prime}$ é $\lambda \theta$ óv $\tau \alpha ~ \tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$,














 $\tau \epsilon i \bar{\chi} \epsilon \nu$.





## EПITPAMMA.





 סov








 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ à $\sigma o i ̀$ каi тоîs боîs oi $\theta \epsilon o i$ т $\rho \in ́ \psi \epsilon \iota a \nu$ єis

 катךүорŋко́тоৎ $\alpha$ v̇тоv̂ каi катєұєvбнє́vov，$\mu a ́-$ $\lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ Є่ $\theta a \cup ́ \mu a \sigma a ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，ӧ $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa о ́ \tau \omega \nu$

 $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ ，ov̉＇${ }^{*} \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \tau$ оוov̂тov ov̉ $\delta \in ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}, ~ \alpha ’ \lambda \lambda ’$ є̇тápas тウ̀v ф $\omega \nu \eta ̀ \nu$ каi үєүך $\theta \grave{\omega}$ каi $\lambda \alpha \rho v \gamma \gamma i \zeta \omega \nu$


 $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \nu о ́ \mu \omega \nu$ каi тท̂s то入ıтєías фа́бкоута фроутi－








 $\eta_{\eta} \nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \omega \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\nu} \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} \pi \rho a \tau-$ $\tau о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta, \mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ à $\nu$ סo $\theta \epsilon i ́ \eta ~ \delta \omega \rho \epsilon a ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \pi a \sigma \omega ิ \nu ~ \stackrel{ิ}{\omega} \nu$



 $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ка入ิ̂$\nu \quad \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \beta \lambda \alpha \pi \tau \epsilon$ каi $\delta \iota \epsilon ́-$ $\beta a \lambda \lambda \in \nu$.







 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$ aiтià $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ à $\nu \alpha-$

 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ Ф८入ímтоv $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu а \tau \alpha$ каì ко $\mu \iota \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \iota \kappa р а ́$, $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ к \iota \varsigma \pi \rho о \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ท̀ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каі̀ таракалоข้vт $\omega \nu$




 $\kappa \iota \delta a ̂ s ~ ' I є \rho \omega ́ \nu \nu \mu о я ~ E u ̉ к а \mu \pi i \delta a s, ~ ' А \rho \gamma є i ́ o v s ~ M u ́ \rho т \iota \varsigma ~$
 'A
 'A $\Delta \eta \mu a ́ \rho \epsilon \tau о \varsigma, ~ М є \gamma а \rho є ́ a s ~ \Pi \tau о ь o ́ \delta \omega \rho о s ~ " E \lambda \iota \xi o s ~ П є р i ́-~$ $\lambda \alpha o s, ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i o v s ~ T ı \mu o ́ \lambda a s ~ \Theta є о \gamma є i т \omega \nu ~ ' A \nu є \mu о і т а я, ~$

 ỏvó $\mu a \tau a$. oûtoı $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon i \sigma i ́ v, ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota, ~$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ßоvגєv $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ લ̇v $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ a u ̛ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~$

 є̈кабтоь $\pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta a \varsigma, ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є̉ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a \nu ~ \pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \pi \omega к о ́ \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~$





 тov $\sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ каì какías, $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \delta^{\prime}, \bar{\omega}{ }_{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma$
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 ö $\tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota ~ \delta \iota \alpha-$ $\phi \theta \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ á $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \dot{\alpha} \rho \xi \alpha a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ả $\pi o ̀ ~ \sigma o \hat{v}, \pi \rho o ́-$







 Sıкаías каì ádıa申Өópov $\tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$, каi $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$
 $\sigma \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha$ таv̂та íyı$\omega \mathrm{s}$ каì ठıкаíшs $\pi \epsilon \pi о \lambda i ́ \tau \epsilon v-$


 $\pi o ́ \rho \rho \omega ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ \pi o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \mu \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\varrho} \pi \epsilon \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$







 $\phi \rho о \nu \hat{\omega} \cdot \alpha ̉ \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \in \alpha ̀ \nu \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \iota \sigma \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \beta o v ́ \lambda \eta ~ \delta に-~$











 $\pi a ́ \sigma \eta s$ тророías каì $\pi \rho о \theta v \mu i ́ a s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta ı к а \iota о \sigma v ́ v \eta s ~$



 $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta ; ~ o v ̉ ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \sigma \iota \tau о \pi о \mu \pi i a \nu$, ö $\pi \omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$


 тоьаиิ $\alpha, ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \Pi \rho о к о ́ \nu \nu \eta \sigma о \nu, ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ Х є \rho \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma о \nu, ~ \tau \grave{\nu}$


 $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau a s$ àфє $\lambda \epsilon i \nu,{ }_{\omega}^{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \nu \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$,




 каเобv́vך, каi тòv є́ка́бтоv каıрòv ov̉ тарєӨє́vта


 $i \sigma \chi \grave{v} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ фav入ótךs $\hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \delta \iota \delta o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$













 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \mu$ о८ $\tau \alpha v \tau i ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota ~ \lambda \alpha \beta \omega ́ \nu . ~$
$\Psi Н Ф І \Sigma M A T A$.

 $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau o \iota s ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ s $\dot{v} \pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \epsilon \nu$ єivaı каì $\tau \grave{̀}$



 $\mu \grave{a} \Delta \hat{i}{ }^{\prime}$ оv̉к $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \mu \phi \in \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́-$ $\lambda \epsilon \iota, \mu \iota \theta \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma a \nu \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ aíтòv $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̉ \nu a \nu \tau i o \iota s, ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~$

 $\lambda \epsilon \omega s$ viтобта́vта $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ каі $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$
 тоиิто $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к а i ~ т \eta \rho \epsilon i \nu, ~ о и ̉ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma ’ ~ \eta ̀ \sigma v \chi i a \nu ~$
















 $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu$ ’ $\epsilon \phi \alpha ́ \nu \eta$, каі̀ $\pi \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \alpha \sigma \kappa \eta \kappa \grave{\omega}$ каі $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \iota \lambda о \chi \grave{\omega} \varsigma$
 $\mathfrak{\alpha} \pi \nu \epsilon v \sigma \tau i$, oै ö $\eta \sigma \iota \nu$ $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon \mu i ́ a \nu ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu \tau a s ~ o v ̉ \delta ' ~$ ả $\alpha \theta 0 \hat{v} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \nu o ́ s, \sigma \nu \mu \phi о \rho \grave{\alpha} \nu$ §̀̀ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau v \chi o ́ \nu \tau \iota$

 є́к $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ठıкаías є́yíyvєто каі̀ $\tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s$ $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \eta \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s, \tau o v ̀ s ~ к а \rho \pi о \grave{s}$ єैठєє $\gamma \epsilon 1$ vaíovs каì ка入о̀̀s каì $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu ~ \grave{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda i ́ \mu o v s ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota, ~$








[^12]
 үà $\sigma \nu \mu \mu a \chi i ́ a ~ \sigma o \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \xi a \nu \tau o s ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \epsilon ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ;$
 $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ ía；тís $\delta \iota \alpha к о \nu i ́ a ~ \delta i ̀ ~ \hat{\eta} \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \epsilon ̉ \nu \tau \iota \mu о-~$






 $\theta v \mu i \alpha ; \pi o \hat{v}$ ；$\pi о ́ \tau \epsilon ; ~ \check{\sigma} \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma, ~ \grave{\omega} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon$,
 тои̂ ßクُцатоऽ，єis $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ \delta o \sigma a \nu, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~$

 ov̉ $\delta \in ́ \nu$ ，ov̉к $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \rho \bar{\omega} \nu, \pi \hat{\omega} s \gamma$ á $\rho$ ；ös $\gamma \epsilon \kappa є \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu o ́-$
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ v \omega \nu$ キ $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，ठוтá̀avтov $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon i \hat{\chi} \epsilon \varsigma$ є́ $\rho a \nu о \nu ~ \delta \omega \rho \epsilon \grave{\alpha} \nu \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \mu \mu о-$







бv̀ vєavias каì $\pi \eta \nu i k a ~ \lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ s ; ~ i ̣ \nu i k ’ ~ a ̀ \nu ~ к а \tau \grave{a}$

 крívŋs.

 Síкаıóv $\epsilon \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu, \stackrel{a}{a} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ \iota, ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s$






















 ү vo $\mu$ évas єis à $\chi a \rho \iota \sigma \tau i a \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \pi \eta \lambda а к \iota \sigma \mu o ̀ \nu ~$


 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho о \alpha i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma, ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ o ̉ \rho \theta \omega ิ \varsigma ~ \sigma к о \pi \hat{\eta}$,
 $\tau \alpha u ̉ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \beta o v \lambda o \mu e ́ v \eta ~ ф а \nu \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha l, \dot{\eta}$ ठє̀ $\sigma \grave{\eta} \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu$


 є́ $\pi \mathfrak{\eta} \nu о \nu \nu, ~ \beta a ́ \sigma \kappa \alpha \nu о \nu ~ \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu \alpha ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau a v ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi о \iota o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~$








189. Kaítot $\pi v v \theta \alpha ́ v o \mu a i ́ \gamma$ 'av̉ròv $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon t v} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota v$, ẁs ov̉ díkala



 ảyஸ̀v $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o u s, ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \delta ' ~ a ̉ \xi t o u ̂ \sigma t ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ф a v o v ̂ \sigma \theta a t ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ t \grave{v} v$


є́тє́ $\rho \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ à $\theta \lambda \eta \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$ à $\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in-$


 каi $\sigma \grave{v}$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \nu र ̂ \nu ~ o ̋ ~ \rho a ~ \mu \epsilon ~ \rho ீ \eta ́ \tau о \rho a s, ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$ бavтóv, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̆ \nu \tau \iota \nu a ~ \beta o v ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \cdot$ ov-








 тท̂s $\pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s ~ \mu \iota \sigma \theta a \rho \nu \epsilon i ̀ \nu$ єitoí $\mu \omega$ каі̀ тิิע ко入а-









 pía, тov̂ $\delta v ́ v a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ i \sigma \chi v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu ~ є ̇ \tau \epsilon \rho a . ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~$


 катара́тоvs тoúzovs $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\theta \eta \rho i ́ a ~ \mu о \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \beta a \lambda-~$

 каía $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ó óò $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi$ то入ьтєías єìó $\mu \eta \nu, \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \tau \iota \mu a ́ s, ~$
 $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu, \tau a v ́ \tau a s$ av̈ $\epsilon \iota \nu, \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тоv́т $\omega \nu$ єỉval.




 $\epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu, \overleftarrow{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oi $\delta v \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i$ is ov̂тou, oî $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$



 $\mu \in \nu \epsilon i ̂ \phi a \sigma \iota$ ठєīv $\tau \eta \rho \epsilon i \nu$.



#### Abstract

     


$\nu \epsilon v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu, \alpha ̉ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ каì тоv́тoเs $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i ́ \omega$



 є̇ $\pi \eta \rho \tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ фó $\beta \omega \nu$ סóтє каі̀ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a \nu$ ả $\sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta}$.

NOTES。

## ABBREVIATIONS.



## NOTES.

This oration was more commonly known among the ancients under the name of pro Ctesiphonte. Its merits were especially lauded by the ancient rhetoricians, particularly by Dionysius of Halicarnassus (de Antiq. Orat. Comm., VI. 953 ff, ed. Reiske, and de Verborum. Compositione, cap. XXV.), by Hermogenes (de Eloquentice Ratione), and by Quintilian (Orat. Inst., XI., XII.).

Exordicm, §§ 1-8. In this introduction the orator manifests a certain anxiety and timidity. Cf. Quint., XI. 3. 97.
 unusual among the Greeks. One notable instance is Lycurg. c. Leocr.:
 speaks of beseeching all the gods. But with the Romans this was not infrequent. Cf. Cic. pro L. Murena, pro C. Comelio. Servius remarks on Verg. En., XI. 301 : Majores nullam orationem nisi invocatis numinibus
 Dict. Antiq. sub Dicasterion, Dicastes; Grote, IV. 188 ff ; Schoemann, Greek Antiq. - тoîs : omitted by a few MSS. Dionys. Halic. shows that this word is essential to the rhythm of the sentence, wh. is anapostic. The same reason is given for the addition of $\iota$ in tov $o v{ }^{2}$ below. - $8 \sigma \eta \nu \ldots$ тooaúrךv : by reversing the order of relat. and demonstr. clause the orator makes more prominent the claim or ground upon wh. his request is based.
 $1580 ; \mathrm{Cu} . \S 590 .-\ell \pi \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ : the $\delta \epsilon$ correlative w. $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ is often omitted w. $\begin{aligned} & \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \tau \text {. Cf. H. 1046, b; Madv. Gr. Syn., § 188, Rem. 5. - } 8 \text { mép has }\end{aligned}$
 öpкov, thus : that the gods may put that into your hearts which is especially
 $\beta \varepsilon \iota a$ refers to their oath in particular ; $\delta \delta \xi a$ to equity in general. For $\delta \delta \xi a$
in $\S 8$ the orator substitutes evioogia as more explicit." Trler. - tov̂ belongs to the whole phrase. So Plat. Repub., 352 d : à àd̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ toû övtıva


§ 2. Tòv ópkov : the oath taken by the Heliuste. Isocr. 15, § 21, gives

 Dem. explains more fully in his Orat. c. Lept., §§ 94, 96. - ákpoáraöat: so reads $\mathbf{\Sigma}$; the other MSS. have áкоoãoөal. What is the difference? -
 фorepots, wh. follows in most MSS., is probably an interpolation from § 7.


 defence. The $\tau \dot{d} \xi$ cs is made prominent, as it was iu this particular that Æseh. sought to hamper Dem. in making his defence. - $\beta \in \beta$ ovi $\lambda_{\eta \tau a l}$ кal $\pi \rho \circ$ úp $\eta$ rat : the perf. tense is used to indicate the previous preparation in accordance w. the practice of the ancient orators. - ©s ...ovtcos : here again the relat. before the demonstr. clause. The attention of the judges is not called to oütcs until its explanation (ís) has first been given. - Dissen calls attention to the completeness of this first period, and analyzes it into three parts, of wh. the first prepares the way for the second, the second for the third; while in the importance of the thought the same order is preserved. The orator now gives $(\S \S 3,4)$ the special reasons for his previous request.
§ 3. oiv = igitur, and is used here, as often, to indicate transition in the
 wh. are especially great. - $\mathbf{\delta} \mathbf{\epsilon}$ introduces the principal, $\mu \mathbf{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$ the subordinate

 dictment; somewhat similar is our phrase, to get a verdict. Transl., to win luis case. The loss of Æsch. in case of defeat would only be a fine of - 1000 drachmæ and a forfeiture of the privilege of instituting similar suits. Cf. Boeckh's Publ. Economy of the Athen., I. p. 406 ; Meier and Schöm. Att. Proc., p. $734 .-\dot{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{ol} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$ : by an abrupt stop, called in rhetorical phraseology aposiopesis (ef. Quint. Orat. Inst., 1X. 2, § 54), the orator leaves his hearers to imagine the rest, since it would be unplecisant (סvoqe$\rho \epsilon s$ ) for him to allude to an unfavorable verdiet. Other instances are found in $\S \S 22,195$. W. supplies the thought in this way: but for $m e,-m y$ whole political career is at strke in dependence on your approbation. - $\boldsymbol{k} \mathbf{k}$ $\pi є p เ o v a l a s$, lit. from a superabundance; hence, needlessly, wantonly. We
understand Dem. to mean this: Æsch. jeopardizes nothing in this trial ; he has but little to lose in case of defeat, nothing to gain in case of success. This prosecution, therefore, iuvolving my dearest interests, has for its only gain my loss; hence, is undertaken in a spirit of simple wantonness and malice. Cf. oi $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa \pi \epsilon \iota o v \sigma i a s ~ \pi o \nu \eta \rho o l$, Dem. c. Steph., § 67. Plato in Theat., 154 D , uses this expression of rhetorical contests that were engaged
 these differ the orator shows in $\$ 123$.
 C'ı. §564. - $\epsilon^{\prime} v o x \lambda \in \hat{\imath}$ : this fact had not escaped Esch. ; cf. infra. - кd̈v
 бagdal... סakvívar: Diss. thinks the aor. is used w. reference to the single rharges (т $\dot{\alpha}$ кат $\quad$ rop $\eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu a$ ), each of wh. was to be refuted, and the pres. to demote the act that is to be continued throughout the oration-8 rt...d.a... ávaүкáłทn : cf. G M T. 529 ; H. 912,916 ; G. 1428, 1434 ; Cu. §554. The skill of the orator in making his opponent responsible for the odium of his self-laudation is commented on by Quint., XI. 1. 22, as follows: "Neque hoe dico non aliquando de rebus a se gestis oratori esse dicendum, sicut eidem Demostheni pro Ctesiphonte, quod tamen ita emendavit ut necessitatem id faciendi ostenderet, invidiamque omnem in eum regeret qui hoc se coegisset."
§s 5-8. In these paragraphs Dem. repeats the request for an impartial hearing, but from a different standpoint. "What before he prayed that the gods would inspire the judges to do as a matter of piety and reputation, he now claims also as a right, while he enforces the claim by a combination of new considerations with the former ones; such as the greatness of the interest at stake, etc." Larned. - kotvoेv elvar: while the legal prosecution was against C'tes., the persecution was aimed at Dem., and it was important for the orator to emphasize at the outset the fact that his own personal interests were involved in this issue. - $\pi$ ávtwv : in the sense of oútcvoroûv = cujusvis, as is seen fr. the opposition in $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a, ~ к . ~ \tau . ~ \dot{\epsilon}$.
 especially if. - фıोavөpwrias: a virtue by wh. the Athen. considered themselves distinguished from the Spartans and others. An adroit appeal to Athen. vanity. So Dem. c. Lept., § 109.
 ness or emphasis. Dem. seems fond of using pairs of words nearly synonymous. Dissen gives the following instances fr. this oration, besides the






 be careful to give the exact meaning of these terms so as to bring out the shades of difference in thought doubtless intended by the orator. - $\delta \mu \mathrm{\mu} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathrm{s}}$ belongs to $\pi$ á $\nu \tau \omega \nu$, as in $\S \S 61,208$. - $\delta \mathbf{\iota \kappa a} \mathbf{\omega} \omega$, impartially. Observe the emphatic position as far away as possible fr. its verb àкой $\alpha$. Its force is more fully explained by $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho, \kappa . \tau . \dot{\epsilon} .-\dot{\mathbf{o}} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\theta} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{s}$, the legislator, the
 substantively. - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \mathrm{a}$ áp $\mathrm{\eta}_{\mathrm{s}}$ : "originally, not as the earliest lawgiver, but as the most influential. Hence $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon i s$, wh. is strictly of one despotic lawgiver, whereas $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \in v_{0}$ is of a republic or community." Holmes. $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \quad{ }^{\lambda} \omega \omega$ v is lauded also by Æsch., § 257 . - $\delta \eta \mu$ отьós is defined by たsch., § 168 ; cf. § 122 of our oration. - $\tau \hat{\text { ệ }}$ үрáqua : $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu \nu \delta \mu о \nu=$ generally to propose a law in the popular assembly ; but it may also mean, as here, to record or register by engraving on tablets or pillars of stone or brass. So Phil., III. § 41 : єis $\sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \lambda \eta \nu \chi^{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\psi} \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon$. Transl. thought it proper should be made supreme, not simply by recording them, but also by putting the jurors under oath. - tov̀s $\delta$ ikḑovtas: most editt. add i $\mu \mathrm{a} s$, but the use of $\dot{\mu} i \bar{\nu}$ before and after this sentence makes $\dot{\nu} \mu a ̂ s ~ s u p e r f l u o u s . ~ B e s i d e s, ~$ Solon's legislation on this point applied to all times and cases; hence the orator first makes the general statement and then considers the application of this rule to the present case in the words: oủк $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \dot{\epsilon}$.
§7. фaiveтal $=i t$ is evident, different fr. $\delta$ окє $\hat{\imath}=$ it seems, in the best period of Greek. - airlas : airia is defined by Dem. c. Androt., § 22, as a charge or accusation unsupported by proof, resting on the barren word of the accuser. It differs not widely fr. $\delta<a \beta o \lambda \dot{\eta}=$ calumny. - aif $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{\kappa} \times .$. Loxvel, by which the prosecutor, on account of his speaking first, is formidable. For use of $\pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \rho$ ef. H. 619 , a; G. 926 ; Cu. § 361. 8. - $\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{\iota} \boldsymbol{\omega} \kappa \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ( $=$ prosecutor, Scotch pursuer), фєи́yovit ( $=$ defendunt), $\boldsymbol{\pi a \rho є \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ v ~ ( ~}=$ to outstrip, to go by), suggest the figure of a race. - kal...kal: correlated, connect the parts of the protasis. $-\tau \alpha \delta_{\text {icala }}=$ the legal arguments, the points wh. the defendant may adduce for his defence. - toov... кotvòv $=$ impartial, common. That the two qualities may be distinguished
 l'ov. - ovitw, thus ; sc. after having furnished himself, etc. Diss. calls attention to the fact that the rhythm and weight of the sentence require

§ 8. ©s धotke : added not so much by way of sareasm, as the Schol. believes, as to express caution on account of the general and broad assertion
involved in mavrós．－таракa入é́oul，to call upon or summon，as if coarl－ jutors．－vimápgat $\mu$ ot：the corresponding sentence in $\S 1$ has $\pi a \rho \cdot \dot{v} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ，wh． is omitted here by $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ ．Most Editt．follow the other MSS．in adding it． －тovito refers to the sentence introduced by $\delta$ it and is obj．of $\gamma \nu \hat{\nu} v a$, the whole depending on $\pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \eta ิ \sigma a \iota$ ，wh．in turn depends on $\epsilon^{\prime \prime}$ रopat． Most Editt．insert rois $\theta$ שoùs after maparf $\bar{\sigma} \sigma a$ as its subj．V．thinks this repetition would give a false meaning to the passage，as though the orator dill not supplicate both $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \xi \alpha \iota$ and $\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ from the gods．For simi－ lar omission of subj．w．infin．cf．§ 141．－रpaфฑ̂s ：cf．Lex．II．B．－On the structure of this exordium cf．Arist．Rhet．，XIV．3．It may be con－ sidered with reference to（1）the prosecutor，（2）the jury，（3）the defendant himself．It answers all the ends of a perfect exordium，which aims，as Quintilian says，＂reddere auditores benevolos，attentos，dociles．＂The prayer at its beginning and close is a fitting refutation of the calumny of Esch．，who had represented Dem．as a contemner of the gods and of divine omens．

## FIRST DIVISION OF THE ORATION．

§ $9-52$ ．Charges foreign to the Indictment．（a）Of a Pri－ vate Nature（ $\S \S 10,11$ ）．（b）Of a Public Nature（§ 12－52）．
§ 9－11．Introduction of the Topic and Defence of Private
 катךүореiv of the oral accusation before the court．Cf．§ 15 катךүорєî， крiveє．－$\pi \rho \circ \beta$ ovגєі́дaros，preliminary decree，i．e．an approval on the part of the Senate（ $\beta$ ou $\lambda \dot{\eta}$ ）of a bill，wh．could then be brought before the Assem－ bly（éккл $\eta \sigma / a)$ for ratification；receiving wh．，it became a $\psi \eta \dot{\prime} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ ．In the present instance，Ctes．had introduced a bill that Dem．should be rewarded w．a golden crown for certain public services．From the Senate the bill went before the Assembly；but before it was acted upon there，Esch． brought an action called $\gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta}$ тapavó $\mu \omega \nu$ ，indictment for proposing meas－ ures contrary to law，against Ctes．，and thus prevented it from becoming
 413 ；H． 895 ；G． 1397 ；Cu．§ 537 ．Explain the difference in the use of the tenses．－катe母єvбató $\mu \mathbf{~ v}$ ：for the genit．cf．H． 752 ；G． 1123 ；Cu． § 424．－тoîs ${ }_{\xi}{ }_{\xi} \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ 入óyoıs，criminationibus a causa alienis，Diss．；by
 the Schol．says $=\dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \dot{\kappa} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ，i．e．with an estranged or prejudiced
 stand $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa a i \omega \nu$ as genit．w．áкoún and $\mu 0 v$ as possess．，or as genit． of separation w．à̉入oтpótrepov（somewhat estrangerl from what is just

latter seems preferable on account of the sense and the position of $\mu 0$. viniे $=\pi \epsilon \rho t$ here. The distinction between these prepp. lies in the fact that $\dot{u} \pi \rho$ originally implies the interest or advantage of the thing or person concerning wh. anything is, or is said. In the orators this distinction is first lost sight of, and the prepp. are easily interchanged. Cf. vin $\dot{\rho} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$

 words employed by Dem. to denote slander, accusation, calumny, invective (cf. $\$ \$ 123,126$ ), should be carefully observed ; 入ot $\delta$ opia is the more general term for invective, $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu i \alpha$ is more specific and concrete, and denotes the scandals or slanders uttered by a calumniator. - $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{a}$ кal $\delta(\mathrm{ka} \mathrm{\imath a}$, st. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ кal סıкalws, wh. are used in § 58. - тotov̂тov, sc. ठขтa; cf. § 277. The supplementary partic. ${ }^{\circ} \nu$ is often thus omitted by the orators; cf. Madv.
 is there in the form of this prohibition and command that gives special emphasis? Follow in transl., as nearly as possible, the order of the Greek. Lord B. renders this spirited sentence thus: "but rise up this instant and

 the average or respectable class. State the argument of Dem. in this sen-
 1037 ; Cu. § 597. 3.
 Engl. Jacobs renders it by argmüthig ... gutmüthig. Dem. makes but sparing use of the Paronomasia, as it was called by the rhetoricians, and only where the thought is made more prominent by it. Cf. § 267 ; so
 $\dot{\text { ó } \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \grave{o} s \text { áкоশov } \theta \epsilon i \text {; vid. Rehdantz's Dem., IX. § 18, for many more }}$ illustrations. Spengel remarks that Esch. has nowhere made any such statement, and that this is simply an artifice of Dem. by wh. he would have it appear that he does not shun the direct issue. - $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu \pi}$ eias : a metaphor taken from the ribald jokes and abusive epithets uttered by those who rode in chariots in the procession ( $\pi$ oureia) of the Anthesterian festival. For a specimen ef. Aristoph. Ran., 416 ff. - ávé $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$, recklessly. - $\mathbf{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} . .$. dxovert gi: the uncertain position of dáoúct in the best MSS. (some placing it, as here, after $\beta$., others after tovtoo $i$ ) leads some critics to look upon it as an interpolation. In Orat. pro Megal., § 3, the approved reading is
 771 , a; G. 1584 ; Cu. § 435 . The tact of the orator in adding, if it shall please you to listen, is manifest.
§§ 12-17. Istromertion to time Piphil Matters irbeleyant to
the Indictment. In this paragraph Dem. aims to prove the personal malice of this prosecution by pointing out its indirectness and futility. -

 F. L., § 260 : ràs $\gamma$ àp áкротó入єts aủtûv évíw Maкє se. '̇griv. So most Editt., in opposition to most of the MSS. wh. read aúr $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. We understand Dem. to mean this : "My opponent is not heaping charges upon me in order that I may be punished according to law, but his motive

 others without gaining any profit one's self." - $\ddot{v} \beta \rho$ เv has reference to con-
 к. $\tau$. $\dot{\varepsilon}$, constr. w. $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \xi(i a \nu$. - The argument of this and the following section runs thus: If the state cannot administer a befitting punishment so as to meet the ends of justice, a prosecution becomes a malicious persecution. This the state cannot do where the defendant has no opportunity of making a proper defence. This opportunity Esch. has taken away from Dem. in three ways: (1) by bringing the indictment against ('tes. and not against Dem. personally ; (2) by bringing the charges so long time after the alleged misleeds ; (3) by failing to make these charges definite and specific.
 accusatives (ef. H. 724 ; li. 1069 ; Cu. § 402 ; the personal obj., wh. by implication is Dem., being omitted. The omitted subj. of ápat-
 popular assembly as a speaker. - גóyov tuxєiv, to obtain a hearing. By this indirect mode of attack, Esch. compelled Dem. to defend his public policy in the court instead of in the ceclesia, and as the advocate of another instead of simply in his own defence. - ©̀v...táfet, in the rank or quality of.
 tains to a moNítns; statesmanlike, Lord B.; constitutional, Kenn. - $\mathrm{a}_{\lambda} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ : Esch. was in fault in two ways: in doing what he ought not to have done, ou $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa, \tau . \dot{\varepsilon}$.; and in not doing what was right, sc. to prefer these charges
 the orator to the earlier career of Æsch. as an actor, are neither complimentary nor infrequent. Cf. ímoкрivetal below ; ס८ $\epsilon \iota \iota \omega v$, § 41, and many more
 alongside of) the misdeeds. This use of mapa w . accus. is frequent in the

 preachment brought directly before the Senate or Assembly, for special or
peculiar violations of law not provided for in the ordinary courts. Cf. Meier and Schöm. Att. Proc., p. 260 ff . - тov̂tov tòv $\tau \rho o ́ \pi t o v$, i. e. in the peculiar
 रןáфєбӨat $=$ to indict. - тара́vo $\mu a$, lit. laws contrary to existing ones; unconstitutional measures is a fair rendering. - тapavó $\mu \omega v$ : for the nature and operation of the action called $\gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho a \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \nu$, cf. Dict. Antiq. sub voce; Meier and Schöm. Att. Proc., 282 ; Schöm. Greek Antiq., p. 483.
 the sentence. Cf. $\$ \$ 16$ and 288 for a similar use of the negat. Transl. for it cannot be that, etc....but that he would not have indicted, etc. - $\delta \mathbf{L}^{\prime}$

 G. 1397 ; $\mathrm{Cu} .8 \$ 537,541$. Account for the diff. of tense in the protasis and apodosis.
§ 14. áyŵves kal kplaets, triuls and verdicts; these are the practical ap-
 form of cond. sent. as above in $\S 13$, except that the relat. adv. ómprika introduces the condition. - тoîs...тpòs '̇ $\mu \dot{\mu}$, the leycl remedies app'icable to my case.
§ 15. тобои́тots ... Xpóvors : the events connected with the peace of Philocrates 16 years ago, and those connected with the Phocian war 25 years ago. - vimokplverat, he acts a purt, i. e. he plays an underhand part in prosecuting Ctes. while really aiming at me. - eita, then, thereupon, denotes sequence in thought or narration. - $\pi$ poiotarau, puts in the forrground; not as a pretence or screen here, but as the real cause. - ovidauov : in § 251 Dem. boasts that Esch. had never brought a single action against
 is one of separation. - $\boldsymbol{i} \pi เ \tau \mu \mu(\alpha \nu)$ if Ctes., in case of conviction, should be unable to pay the fine ( $\tau l \mu \eta \mu a)$ of 50 talents, he would fall into $\dot{d} \tau \mu \mu(a$ until the fine was discharged.
§ 16. kaifor : a word of frequent occurrence. The part. tot has generally an intensive or additive force $;=$ and verily, and furthermore. $-\pi \rho{ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}$ w. dat. $=$ in addition to. - dv...è'xot : potent. optat. ; cf. GMT. 235; H. 872;
 be saying (but he dues not), or, what seems more in harmony w. 'too above,
 of the litigants, while of the judges the phrase $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \nu \mu \hat{\nu} \omega \nu \epsilon \xi \xi \in \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$

 ठт๘ : for the sake of emphasis st. ëт 1003; G. 1035 ; C'u. § 6uz.
§ 17. $\mathbf{e n}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$ éas où $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ias, bascd upon, with regard for no truth. For
 often referred to peace of Philocrates. Cf. Grote, Ch. LXXXIX. - т $\hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{s}$ $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ elas : the second embassy to Philip, for the purpose of receiving his oath to the peace agreed upon and sworn to by the Athenians. This embassy is known as $\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho a \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in i a$, and forms the theme of two orations by the rival orators. - Kows, in Attic usage generally means probably, likely.


Ss 18-52. Examixation of Charges made with Reference to the Negotlation of the Peace of Phlocrates (\$ 18 -24), and
 for an account of this war, sometimes called also the Sacred War, through wh. Philip obtained the long-coveted opportunity of intermeddling in the affairs of the Greeks, cf. Grote, Ch. LXXXVII.; Smith's Hist. of G'recee, Ch. XLII. - ovorávtos, having been organized. " ouviotaval is to organize either for good or evil. The orator wishes to impute external agency, originating in Macedon or at Athens, as the prime canse of the Phocian ontbreak." Holmes. - oú $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ '́jés: Dem. di:claims having had anything to do with stirring up this war. Wh. joins these words w. out $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{\delta \iota \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \text { , as if the orator }}$ would deny that he had prejudiced the feelings or warped the judgment of his fellow-citizens against the Thebans. But this seems uncalled for, since this prejudice against the Thebans was of long standing and generally understood. For allusions to this feeling ef. Dem. Oratt. 1. $26 ; 3.8 ; 5.15$; 14. 33 ; 20. 109. - то́тє : the first public speech of Dem. (c. Androtion) was delivered in 355 p. c., and the first political speech (de Symmoriis) a year later; but the difficulties wh. terminated in the Phocian War commenced in 357. The student will notice that Dem. regards as irrelevant (où $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \rho o \dot{s} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \bar{\epsilon}$ ) all that precedes his own political asceudancy, i. e. about 343 B. C. Cf. $\S \S 21.60$, and the note on $\S 60 .-\sigma \omega \theta$ ๆ̂val : Atheus, by reason of her hatred of Thebes and ancient friendship for the Phocians, though furnishing no active assistance, sympathized w. the Phocians. - каimep... ópw̄ves: "This refers to the plunder of the Delphian temple; and we may notice w. what nice sense of decorum the orator speaks of the Phocians, who had been ancient allies of the Athenians, but whose conduct in plundering the temple of Delphi was condemned throughout the Grecian world." Larned. -Oŋßaious... $\pi a \theta 0$ ôธv, but that you would heve hepn delightrd at the Thebans sueffering everything. For äl w. infin. ef. G M T. 207 ; H. 964 ; G. 1308 ; Cn. $\$ \S 575,576$. - ois...evv $\Lambda$ ev́krpots : at the battle of Lenctra, 371 в. с., the Thehans, under Epaminondas, gainel the victory over the Spartans, and established their supremacy ( $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \mathrm{ovia}$ ), wh. lasted until their overthrow
in the battle of Mantinea, 362 в. c. During this period they had not used with moderation the advantages of their position. In their arrogance they had deprived Orchomenos, Thespiæ, and Platea of their aúrovopia, and invested the city of Oropus, an act that was especially distasteful to Athens. Diod. Sic. XV. 79, speaks of the "Leuctric insolence" of the Thebans. * $\pi$ el $\theta^{\prime}$ : not temporal, but sequential here, as indicating the next item in the enumeration of facts. - $\mathbf{\delta} \epsilon \iota \sigma \pi \mathfrak{\eta} \kappa \in!$, plupf., to indicate the resulting state or condition, was in a state of faction. - of $\mu \mathrm{\mu} \sigma$ ov̂rтes : sc. the Messenians,
 mosts that had been placed in command over several cities by Lysander immediately upon the close of the Pelopon. War. Cf. Xen. Hellen., III. 5. 13 ; Isocr. Panegyr., p. 36. -akpıros : promiscuous and interminalle; a single word hardly renders it. Cf. Il., II. 796 : the $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ o $\mathfrak{a} \kappa \kappa \iota \tau o \iota$ of Priam; id. III. 412 : the $\nless \chi \in \alpha$ ăкрıта of Helen. - Diss. calls attention to the graceful structure of this last period. First the general statement, $\dot{\eta}$ II. $\delta$ бєєбт $\dot{\eta}-$ $\kappa \epsilon \iota$; then the explanation and description by the parts introduced by kal ; finally the sumning up of the whole idea in stronger terms, $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota s, \kappa . \tau . \dot{\epsilon}$.
§ 19. XРท́ $\mu a \tau a$ áva入 ( $\sigma \kappa \omega v$, by lavishiny moncy. Philip's skill in the use of bribes became proverbial. Cf. Horace Od., Bk. JII., XVI. 13. By his conquests in Thrace he had ohtained possession of the gold-mines of Crenides, wh. are said (cf. Breekh, Puhl. Ecm. Athen., p. 10) to have yielded him an annual revenue of 1000 talents. - av́rov̀s $=\alpha \lambda \lambda \hat{\gamma} \lambda$ ovs ; cf. H. 686; G. 996 ; Cu. § $473 .-\boldsymbol{\epsilon \tau} \tau^{\prime}$, then, denoting sequence. - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon v}$ ois, к. $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. : the sense is that by means of the errors and follies of others he was advancing his own interests. - кarà, against, with hostile intent. - тa入airmpoúpevot: deriv.? - той $\pi \mathbf{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu \mathbf{\rho}$ : the Phocian War, wh. lasted about 10 years. - то́тє ... $\mathbf{\beta a p \epsilon i ́ s : ~ b e c a u s e ~ t h e ~ m e m o r y ~ o f ~ t h e i r ~ s u p r e m a c y ~ w a s ~ s t i l l ~}$ fresh. - vv̂v...árvxeîs: in 335 в. c. Alexander razed Thebes to the ground and sold many of its inhahitants into slavery. Esch., in alluding to the


 orator has made such frequent and forcible use of the rhetorical question as Dem. What then co-operated with him in ensnaring you as his almost willing
 and dyvotav. The - shall I call it baseness or ignorance of the rest of the
 of hostilities from the capture of Amphipolis in 357 в. c. until the peace of Philocrates, 346 в. с. - $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu a \sigma เ v$, men, troops. - Sid̀ тav̂т': Dem., in attempting to free himself from the responsibility of bringing about this peace, skilfully avoids incurring the ill-feeling of the judges and the people,
by throwing the blame upon the circumstances and the state of public affairs existing at the time, rather than upon the people themselves. But, not satisfied with this, he adds very adroitly, that it was not the peace after all, but the corruption of the men engaged in its negotiation, that was the cause of the present troubles. - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon v}$ aúrñ: not during $i t$, but in relation to $i t$,
 cf. G MT. 444 ; H. 898 ; G, 1403 ; Cu. § 545.
 $V$. ; weighing and sifting, Lord B. ; fuithful und envet detuil of this whole transuction, Leland. This might be added to the instances of rhetorical preonasm enumerated in note on $\$ 6$. With respect to these pleonasms Diss. remarks the simplicity of the Greek as compared w. the elaborate ornateness of Cicero, who not only uses such amplifications more frequently, but often juins words employed figuratively to those used in a literal sense. - 6 l... ookoí...$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau t}$ : a mixed cond. sent. Is this combination of moods common ?
 modifying $\dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa \eta \mu a \operatorname{clvat}$; the sense is supposing the wrong to be ever so great. - 'Aplotó $\delta \eta \mu \mathrm{os}$ : a celebrated actor who was sent by the Athenians as envoy to Philip, by whom he was greatly esteemed, to treat for the release of Athen. prisoners of war, who had been captured at the taking of Olynthus. Upon his return, Aristodemus reported to the Assembly the friendly, feeling of Philip towards the Athenians, and his desire to enter into an alliance w. them. - roúrov, sc. Æsch.; cf. oũros, § 20. The opposite party in a suit is generally referred to by this demonstrative. - ${ }^{\text {A A }}$ yovóvos: Hagnus was the name of the deme to wh. Philocrates belonged. - oṽ' ${ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v} \ldots . . \psi \in v \delta \delta^{-}$ $\mu \mathrm{vos}$, not even though you should burst with lying, Kenn. The allusion is to the violent tones of Esch., wh. Dem. often ridicules. - Evßoudos : one of the foremost politicians of this period, a friend of Esch. and of the Macedonian party. His financial policy, particularly in leading the state to set apart large sums for the Theoric Fund (ef. note § 55), contributed not a little towards rendering the state bankrupt and demoralizing the people. Kŋфьбoфйv : mentioned in the psephisma, § 29, as one of the envoys sent to Philip; of the deme Rhamnus; probably the same person who is mentioned by Esch. de F. L., §73, as a Paranian and one of the friends of
 oviסajov : this is a flat contradiction of the statement of Æsch. in the subjoined extract. Dem. in his speech de F. L., §§ $15-18$, denies that he was in favor of the peace on the terms proposed by Philocrates. On this disputed point we may adopt the view of Grote (Ch. LXXXIX.) as being the one prohably most correct : sc. that Dem. supported the proposal of Philocrates for peace and alliance w. Philip, except that special clause wh.
excluded the Phocians; that this clause was subsequently repudiated by the Assembly, but, when the treaty was sworn to, the Phocians, in violation of this action of the Assembly, were tacitly and practically excluded through the misrepresentations and false promises of Esch. and his party (wh. are referred to in $\S 35$ of our oration), and that it was against this feature of the treaty that Dem. protested, though not until it was too late. The statement then of Dem. before us is not absolutely, but only relaticely true. That he should have been at this time in favor of a peace on almost any terms seems not so strange when we remember the state of the Athenian mind in this period: "repugnance to military cost and effort, sickness and shame at their past war with Philip, alarm from the prodigious success of his arms, and pressing anxiety to recover the captives taken at Olynthus." Grote. - "It was the hopelessness," says Niebuhr, "of expecting aid from the other states that justified Dem. in being a party to the peace of Philocrates."
 w. a tinge of irony, as here. - $\pi \rho \grave{s} \tau \hat{\omega} . . . \gamma \in \gamma \in v \eta(\sigma \theta a \mathrm{l}$, in addition to having beiome. For $\pi \rho \rho \mathrm{s}$ w. dat. cf. § 16. - altoos, the guilty cause. The charge made by Æsch. was twofold: (1) Dem. was the anthor of the peace; (2) he concluded it apart from the confederacy of the allies. - кal, also. -
 Why this form of the optat. ?-- кoเvov ouveSpiov, a yenernl synod, wh. met at Athens and was formed of the delegates of the new Athenian confederacy that had been constituted soon after the Peloponn. War. Cf. Grote, Ch. LXXVII.; Smith, Ch. XXXIX.-- $\boldsymbol{\epsilon i \tau ^ { \prime }} \mathfrak{\mathscr { E }}:$ another iustance of aposi-
 an. occasion where you being present sceing me...expressed your indignation. - $\pi a p e \lambda \theta \omega \mathbf{\nu}$, lit. passing along or by (the audience), i. e. coming forward to the tribune or platform from wh. in ancient assemblies (as nowadays in the French and German parliaments) the speaker was wont to address the meeting.
 oal gives emphasis to the contrasted notions of these verbs, while the use of the aor., to indicate a single definite act, is in strong contrast to the pres.
 the sake of emphasis are frequent in Dem.; cf. $\$ 88255,271$. - $\sigma$ ol : observe its emphatic position. In the points just commented upon we have an illustration of the remarkable skill displayed by Dem. in the structure of his sentences. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ : after the fall of Olynthus, 347 в. c., embassies had heen sent out by Athens to several of the Greek states in order to form a common league against Philip. Both orators confess that these embassies
were fruitless．Cf．Esch．de F．L．，§ 79．Esch．charges his rival w．pre－ cipitating the negotiations of the peace so as to prevent the allies and con－ federates of Athens from participating in the treaty．The statements of the two orators upon this point are hopelessly conflicting．Professor Tyler adopts the conclusion of Grote，that not all the envoys had yet returned， but some were still absent when the peace was concluded．A．Schaef．， 11． 200 ff ．believes that all had returned，but that the states to which em－ hassies had been sent，having declined to join Athens in a league against Philip，and being at peace with him，had of course no interest in the treaty now under consideration．However these points under dispute may be decided，there seems no doubt that the sentiments of all had been thoroughly tested（ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon s \dot{\epsilon}_{\xi} \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu 0 九$ ），and were fully understood at Athens．

ミ24．Xwpls．．． 4 cú $\delta \in \tau a t:$＂Dem．not merely refutes，but makes the refu－ tation an occasion of attuck．We have already had examples in $\$ 810,11$ ．＂
 893 ；G． 1390 ；Cu．§ 536．－Ev̉pußárov ：an Ephesian who was sent by Cresus into the Peloponnesus with money to raise mercenaries，and deserted to Cyrus，his rival．This name became proverbial for treachery and knavish－ ness；thence is derived the verb єupv $\beta a \tau \epsilon v \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．－тi үàp кal $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ．，for r－ith
 nians were considering the terms of a peace w．Philip，the attempt to unite the Greek states against him having been abanloned．－äraotv，i．e．to all whom the Athenians had asked to unite against Philip．－$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \ldots \boldsymbol{\beta}$ ． є是e ：a rhetorical syllogism whose conclusion is probable．For similar di－ lemmas cf．$\S \S 124,125,139,196,217$ ．－oükovv ：some MSS．read ot่кои̂ข ； what is the difference？— $\boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\xi}$ apX $\hat{\jmath}$ s：the original peace of Philocrates is by this designation distinguished from the peace of Demades made after C＇hæronea，－оขัтє．．．ov่8＇．．．ovัтє ：ou่ $\delta \mathfrak{\epsilon}$ is subordinate and emphatic，oйтє．．． oย้тє being co－ordinate，neither．．．nor even．．．nor．It will be noticed how with the last ointe the orator adds an inference from the preceding，just as at the
 cf．H． 981 ；G． 1588 ；Cu．$\S \S 590$ ， 593.
§§25－41．Proceedings connected with the Ratification of the Peace，And its immediate Resclts．－кal үàp：not elliptical here，but кai in the sense of also，i．e．also from these affairs，just as from those above
 $\sigma \tau \eta v$ ：the importance of this haste is manifest．This motion was made by Dem．on the 3 d of Munychion（April 29），some 7 days after Antipater as representative of Philip had taken the oath fr．the Athenians．－av．．．． $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{v}$－ Oávшутar：st．mupávouro，as it is more significant to give the words of the decree in a direct form．－$\alpha \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu \beta$ ávetv：what is the force of àmb here ？ Cf．גтоঠัô̂va in § 26.
§ 26．Tc．．．ウ̇סv́varo，quod hoc sibi rolebat？Diss．What was the intent of


 to what？Reiske says to ís $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau o \nu \ldots \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \rho \kappa \omega \nu$ ；but this interval of time between the oaths had itself for its ulterior aim the cessation from preparations for war on the part of the Athen：ans．With W．，therefore，
 $\dot{\epsilon} \times w$ ．genit．expresses time from its first beginning，including the whole extent of the period until the end．Lit．from all the time，i．e．from first to
 ring particularly to Philip＇s conquests in Thrace of places in alliance w． Athens．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \rho \mathrm{o}$ 入áßot：cf．G．M T． 532 ；H． 914 B ；G．1431．2；Cu．§ 555. The action in the partic．$\nu o \mu i \xi \omega \nu$ is repeated or continued，i．e．in each case or all the while supposing．
 past purpose after $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega$ an historic present ；cf．G M T． 33 ；H． 828 ；G． 1252,1268 ；Cu．§ 487．－$\delta$ と́fơope，ridiculed．The corresponding passage in Esch．（ 882 ）shows an intentional perversion of these names：as，Muprioкпи st．Mvprquঠv ；Гavióa，wh．is simply a play upon the sound of 「ávos；$\Sigma \ell \rho$－ plov is mentioned by Herod．VII．59，and by Dem．Phil．，III． 16 ；Harpo－ cration in his Lex．mentions Mupqavb́v and＇Eprioк $\eta$ ．－oűro，under these circumstances．－тò̀s èmtkalpous，the favorably located ones；alluding to the importance of these places in a military point of view，since they were situated in the vicinity of the Thracian Chersonesus，wh．belonged to the Athenians．－$\pi \mathbf{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{v}$ хр $\eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega v:$ cf．note § 19.
§ 28．clta，then or thereupon，to indicate sequence of thought．－ovx ${ }^{l}$
 tween these verbs as indicated in our translation is not always maintained in the orators．Below，e．g．$\lambda \in \boldsymbol{\prime} \gamma \epsilon$ is．used in the sense of read or cause to be read，and is addressed to the rpaumarєús or clerk of the Archons．－проб－ áyєt，to introduce．＂Foreign ambassadors were introduced to an audience with the people by a resolution of the Senate（cf．Æsch．de F．L．，§58）． Demosthenes as Senator moved that Philip＇s envoys should be introduced to the people for the purpose of discussing the conditions of the proposed peace．＂Wh．－－тоvิтó $\mu$ оu $\delta\left\llcorner a \beta \alpha \lambda^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\right.$ ，with this he taunts me．For this genit．
 $\mu 0 v \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon v \rho \epsilon s$. －$\mu \eta$ ：this negat．introduces the whole question and ex－ pects the answer no，but its special force falls upon the nearest verb $\pi \rho o \sigma$－
 for the sake of vividness of narration．Cf．G M T． 321 ；H． 881 a ；
G. 1369 ; Cu. $\S \S 531.1 ; 532$, Obs. - 0kav: this was the so-called $\pi \rho \circ \in \delta \rho: \alpha$, a distinction conferred upon the guests of the state by resolution of the Senate. - т̀̀v ápxicéктova, the lessee of the theatre, called also $\theta \in a \tau \rho \dot{\omega} v \eta s$, $\theta \in a \tau \rho o \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta s$, who paid a certain rent to the state, kept the buildings in repair, and received the entrance fees. - $\boldsymbol{i v}$ roî $\delta$ voiv ${ }^{\circ}$ ßodoiv, in the twoobol scats, i. e. the seats of the common people, for which two obols were praid. Cf. Boekh. Publ. Econ. Athen., p. 304. The price is put for the
 market, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \iota \beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \alpha=$ the book-market. Some Editt. prefer to take $\dot{\epsilon} \nu w$. the dat. as expressing means, i. e. by the payment of two obols. - $\mu \mathrm{kpd}$ : this word is not found in the original reading of $\Sigma$, and is therefore omitted by the Editt. of our text. In retaining it w. the other MSS. I am particularly influenced by the remark of V . that the word is necessary to express the autithesis to $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \bar{\delta} \alpha$. The orator means this: to exercise care over such small matters as the expenditure of a few obols or the bestowal of some attention upon the guests of the state, this, as compared $w$. guarding the general interests ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \delta^{\delta} \lambda a$ ) of the state, is not worth the mention.
§ 29. $\Psi \mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{I} I \Sigma M A$ : there are in all 35 of these documents referred to in the course of the oration, of wh. 28 purport to be given in full and 7 are mentioned only by name. The fact that in most of the speeches of the Attic orators the documents are omitted, their names merely being given, has of itself awakened suspicion concerning the genuineness of those contained in this oration. It is sufficient for the practical purpose of the student to know that the majority of modern critics regard these documents as spurious. The internal evidence for this opinion will be given in the case of a few. Those who desire to weigh the arguments pro and con upon this long-disputed question, are referred to Professor Champlin's summary in an Appendix to his edition of this oration ; to the paper of Professor W. W. Goodwin on The Chronology of some of the Events mentioned in Dem. on the Croun, in the "Transactions of the Amer. Philol. Assoc., 1871, $1872 "$; to Droysen in Museum Rhenanum, II., 1845 ; to Böhnecke's $\sigma v$ va$\gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta} \psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\nu}$ in Vol. II. of his Untersuchungen, Berlin, 1843; to Vremel's 5 treatises, published in 1841-1845; to Bœeckh's de Archontibus Pseudmymis; and to Westermann's Untersuchungen über die in die Attischen Redner cingelegten Urkunden. A fresh argument against the genuineness of these documents, based upon the enumeration of the $\sigma \tau i \chi o c$ or lines in the MSS., is given by the Editor in the "Proceedings of the Amer. Philol. Assoc. for 1874-1875." The inconsistencies of the following document are these: Mvๆcu申idov: this decree was passed in 347 в. с., the year before thu" peace, and Themistocles was archon for that year. - ikaroußauêvews: from Æsch. de F. L., § 92, we learn that it was passed on the 3d of Munychion.

- Пavסıovíos: acc. to Esch. de F. L., §82, Dem. was the $\pi \rho 6 \in \delta \rho o s$ or president for the day. But acc. to Schöm. (Greck Antiq., p. 399) the procdri were chosen by the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma a \dot{\tau} \eta \mathrm{~s}$ of the Prytanes (for explanation of these terms cf. Dict. Antiq.) from the nine tribes which were not in the prytany; hence, if Dem. was proëdrus, the tribe of Pandionis to which he belonged could not have been in the prytany at this time. - $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \mathbf{o}$ x $\theta a \mathrm{a}$, be it moved or resolved. - $\tau \uparrow \hat{\varphi} \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \varphi$ : from Dem. de F. L., § 1554, we learn that the $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$ had given the $\beta$ où $\eta$ ' absolute power to pass decrees for the time being without this sanction ; consequently the $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o s$ had nothing to do w. this decree.
 ยँ $\delta \epsilon \iota$ кирои̂бӨal; and Esch. de F. L., §61, nıentions the 18th and 19th of Elaphebolion as the days of the Assembly ; and Dem., 1. c. $\$ 57$, says, the peace was adopted on the 19th (the second day of the Assembly). - $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ होvє :
 so used in classical Greek. - Soûval : the Athenians had already given their oath. Cf. $\S \S 25,26$. - Eißounos, к. т. $\dot{\varepsilon}$ : : Eubulus and Esch. are well known, but the other names are probably fictitious, being found nowhere else except in the spurious $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta$, $\S 55$, where $\mathrm{K} \eta$. and $\mathrm{K} \lambda$. figure as witnesses. In Dem. de F. L., $\S 229$, the names of 4 of these envoys are given :

§ 30. үpá廿avтos...乌ŋrov̂vros, although I had proposed...and was seeking. The student will observe the difference of time expressed by these partice.-
 time of the absence of the envoys. They took the tedious land route from rreus to Macedonia, were 23 days on the way, and remained 27 days at Pella awaiting the return of Philip fr. Thrace. Cf. Dem. de F. L., § 155. -- ķòv : accus. absol. ; cf. H. 973 ; G. 1569 ; Cu. § 586. - трเผ̂v मी retrápov: W. states that in this time one could go by water from Pella to any point of the Hellespont, and that in a direct line the distance was no greater than fr. Athens to Ephesus, to accomplish which three days were allowed. - $\pi a \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}=\epsilon l \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i s ~ \pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu$; cf. G M T. 841 ; H. 971 b; G. 1563.5 ; Cu. § 584. If we had been present, i. e. we, the Athenians, represented by the envoys. - $\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{\nu} \ldots \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ : the impf. to indicate that Philip was still holding the places. Critics call attention to the skill of the orator in stating the same fact from several points of view. This decree, e. g., is considered w. reference to (1) its cause ; (2) the end in view; (3) the result that followed its neglect ; (4) the result that would have followed from its observance.
 below, and that within the compass of this sentence there is a subordinate antithesis between $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\delta \omega \rho o \delta \delta \kappa \eta \mu a \alpha \varepsilon_{.}$- $\delta \omega \rho \circ \delta \delta ́ \kappa \eta \mu a$, piece of
venality. L. and S. give only the concrete meaning bribe. Cf. кaкои́prךиa

 к. т. E., I avow that I not only then was, but now am, and ever shall be, at war and at variance. - ev่0نेs $\dot{\text { ' }} \boldsymbol{\xi} \xi \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{s}$, directly next in order; modifying the idea of какои́р $\eta \mu a$, i. e. that wh. occurred immediately after the $\delta \omega \rho o \delta \delta$ кпиа mentioned above.
§ 32. $\alpha \pi i \omega \mu \epsilon v$ : this is the reading of $\Sigma$ and other MSS. of the better class. By the use of the first person in distinction fr. autûv, wh. refers to Esch. and his party, the orator intimates that while he was a member of the embassy he was not implicated in the guilt of this delay. For the use of the sulj. after $\dot{\omega}$ とeital histor. pres. cf. G M T. 318 ; H. 881 a ; G. 1369 ; $\mathrm{Cu} . \S 531 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$. V. and W. read $\alpha \pi \kappa \mu \epsilon v$, wh. is found in Bekk. Aneed. p. 129 ; this is then taken as a future, cf. G M T. 339 ; H. 885 ; G. 1372 ; $\mathrm{Cu} . \S \S 500,553$. - поıๆ̆бaıro : the optat. is regular after histor. pres.
 to denote that the condition is assumed as real. - $\mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \lambda_{\text {th }} \ldots \pi$ тaparkevástras : the pres. indic. states the fact in the direct narration. Give the Greek forms in the indirect narration. - Múdas : ef. L. and S. II. 2. трórєpov : after Philip had overrun Thessaly, he attempted, in the summer of 352 в. c., to effect a union with the Thebans against the Phocians; but he was unexpectedly frustrated by the Athenians, who sent an expedition under Nausicles to guard the pass of Thermopylie. Cf. Grote, Ch. LXXXVII. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\partial} v$ тómov, the region, i. e. the pass. The comm. reading is $\pi o \rho \theta \mu \delta v$, wh. is not only contrary to $\Sigma$, but also erroneous in sense, since Philip's army was almost wholly a land force, and it was the land passage that was to be barred to his entrance.
 anxiety that. In like manner moגús, though expressed but once, is understood with two subst. in $\S 209$ : vaûs cail $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda$ doùs $\imath \pi \pi$ ous; in Lysias 30.26 :


 $\sigma \iota \nu$, wh. is retained by V. from the reading of $\Sigma$, but other Editt. omit as superfluous. - $\mu$ robov̂tal : what is the distinction between the indic. and the infin. after $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ? Cf. G M T. 582 ; H. 927 ; G. 1450 ; Cu. § 565. ròv...rovarov! : the demonstr. force of the $\iota$ borders upon contempt, like the Lat. iste. This despicable fellow here present.
§ 34. $\mu \not \mu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma$ av, se. í $\mu$ as ; a similar omission of the subj. of the infin.


 $\kappa_{k a l} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \phi \eta \mu$ iass, charges and calumnies $=$ calumnious charges. Cf. aitias
 in the indictment. Where previously has Dem. cast upon his opponent the blame of departing from the actual points at issue ?
§35. mapà, from, st. úm $\delta$, to indicate an idea of source. $-\delta i^{\prime}$ oûs $=$ propter quer verba, denoting cause : $\delta i{ }^{\circ} \omega=q u i b u s$ verbis, denoting instrument. ©ंs ov̉ $\delta \in \hat{e}:$ the direct form of narration to give vividness. - Ertac...è̀ ' ' $\mathrm{X} \eta \theta^{\prime}$ ': ef. G M T. 444 ; H. 898 ; G. 1403 ; Cu. § 545. - ois $\mu$ èv: the Phocians; ois 8 ह̀: the Thebans. - ov่ belnngs to the entire sentence ; cf. § 17. - $\mu$ á $\lambda a$

 molestia. V. The stolid insensibility of the Bootian character gave rise to the proverbial $\beta$ ototia ís; cf. oi àaioөntoc $\Theta \eta \beta a i o t, ~ § 43$. Wh. renders ßapúr $\eta$ тоs by overbearing insolence; Jacobs, by Schwerfälligkeit. Oppressiveness is the rendering of Holmes.
§ 36. ímov̂oav $=$ lurking. The ill-feeling was chronic and ready to
 нakpáv, lit. not unto long (after); i. e. not at a point of time long subsequent to what has gone before. The prep. $\epsilon$ is marks the terminus w. reference to $w h$. the action is considered. In $\S 151$ is a similar use. The course of events was as follows: On the 13th of Scirophorion the envoys returned from Philip ; on the 16th they made their report to the Assembly; on the 27 th came the news that Phocis had fallen into the hands of Philip. The Phocian towns, 22 in number, were razed ; the people were deprivell of their arms, excluded fr. the Amphictyonic council, and condemned to pay an annual tribute of 50 talents into the treasury of the Delphic temple, until they had restored what had been taken from the god. - ípâs $\delta^{\prime}$ ... $\sigma \kappa є v a \gamma \omega \gamma \in i v$ : upon receiving the intelligence of the destruction of the Phosian towns, the Athenians, fearing a sudden attack from Philip, resolved to summon the rural proulation to bring their loose effects ( $\sigma$ кevi $)$ and their wives and children within the shelter of the walls, and to put the Peireus
 Onßaious, the hostility on the part of the Thebans foll to the lot of the city. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu w$. $\pi \rho o ́ s$ occurs ahove in the subjective sense (our hostility towards them), here in the oljective sense (their hostility tovards us) as regards the Athenians. $\pi \rho$ ós w. the genit. would be usual to express the idea of on the pert of, but the accus. implies motion or activity, and indicates that Athens had to place herself in an attitude of defence against Thebes. For a similar


that the ill-will of the Thebans against Athens now first arose, for Athens had favored the Phocians all along, but that it was increased at this time ; how, the orator tells us in his speech de F. L., \& 85 : "While Philip had determined from the first to favor the Thebans, Esch., by reporting the contrary and by showing that you were plainly not on the side of the Thebans, augmented their enmity agaiust you aud their gratitude to Philip."
§s 37, 38. $\Psi H \boldsymbol{Z}$ IEMA : the genuineness of this decree is at once placed in doubt by the name of the archon and the date. Mnesiphilus is nowhere
 of Moemucterion, is nearly 5 months after the time (the 27 th of Scirophorion, cf. note § 36) that the intelligence of the destruction of Phocis caused so much alarm at Atheus. It seems incredible that the Athenians should have waited so long to take the precautionary measures of this decree. Furthermore the contents do not agree with the statement of Dem. de $F$. $L$.,



 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ and none after $\pi \rho \cup \tau \dot{d} \nu \epsilon \omega \nu$; the punctuation here adopted is that of V., who believes that an extra Assembly could be convened by the generals only through the agency of the prytanes, and cites in support of his view Thuc. IV. 118. The кal before $\beta$ ov $\lambda \hat{\eta} s$ seems superfluous and is excluded by Dind. - пapevpéret : we should expect $\pi \rho о ф \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \epsilon$. The word is, if not an error, at least in this sense a barbarism. - кoltaiov үiүvédar : "Greek of a later age, used twice by Polybius and once by Plutarch. The Greek of the period would substitute коциâ $\sigma \theta a$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \kappa o \iota \tau о s$ is classical,
 $\gamma^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu a$. The great number of unclassical words in these documents is alone sufficient to condemn them as spurious." Tyler. - ठбot $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \ldots \dot{\text { ámote }}$ raypévol, as many as have not been detailed for the garrisons. For the use of the indic. and the negat. $\mu$ र ef. G M T. 534, 518 ; H. 913,914 ; G. 1432,
 a duty to any of the generals is contrary to all that is known of Athenian
 Acc. to Bueckh (Publ. Econ. Athen., p. 247) this officer had certain julicial and administrative duties connected with the army. In § 115 he is charged with the duty of paying the soldiers. - àp’: how different from ápa? Its strong ironical force here must not be overlooked. - The emphatic words raúrals and $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \theta \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ós stand first and last in the sentence.
§ 39. EПIETOAH: however well the haughty tone of this epistle seems to harmonize with the character of Philip, there can be no doubt of
its spuriousness as soon as we notice its contradictions to what we know of the circumstances connected w. the conquest of Phocis. Notice (1) the contradiction between the intent and meaning of the letter as quoted in § 40, and its actual tenor. (2) Directly contrary to the statement of the

 бas $\tau \delta \nu \quad$ iє $\rho \partial \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \nu$. Similar is the testimony of Dem. de F. L., § 61 :
 крátos. (3) In the genuine letter it seems probable that Philip gave some reasons to justify his proceedings against the Phocians. - xalptiv, greetiny; the usual form of salutation. - غ́avtov̀s = $\dot{\eta} \mu$ âs aủroús ; cf. H. 686 a;
 $\sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota=$ to be altogether ruined. $-\mu \dot{\text { éplov }}$ пoเєiv, to act with moderation or fairness. Diss. regards the expression as a euphemism for male agitis; Holmes makes it a meiosis for you seem to do anything but what is fair. - ónot $\omega$ s, in like manner, as though the peace had not been concluded ; almost equivalent to $\delta \mu \omega \mathrm{s}=$ nevertheless. For a similar sense
 sors in doing wrong.
§ 40. áкоv́єтє: indic., as would be expected after the reading of a document ; cf. $\S \S 121,158$. The imperat. would naturally be used when a document is to follow. - $\pi$ pòs тov̀s éavtov̂ $\sigma u \mu \mu$ áxous: the allies referred to are the Thebans and Thessalians; the phrase is to be joined directly to
 ler, Hiatus, p. 94, says that fr. the repetition of the prep. $\pi \rho \sigma$ s it may be inferred that the clause $\epsilon^{2} \ldots \ldots \epsilon \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \lambda \hat{\eta}$ is to be taken as a parenthesis. Diss. and others understand $\sigma v \mu \mu \dot{a} \chi o u s$ as explanatory of $\dot{u} \mu a ̂ s ;$ but why then $\pi \rho \partial s$, and what is the sense? It seems probable that Philip had acquainted his allies $w$. his correspondence w. the Athenians, and that from the genuine letter sent by Philip at this time they could more readily discern the senti-

 hurried them along with him. olxouai $\tau \iota \lambda a \beta \omega \dot{\nu}=I$ am off with something, a frequent combination denoting an irresistible and sudden carrying away.
 so far that they did not even foresee nor become awsare of any of the consequences. eis expresses the point to wh. he had carried them, and w. $\tau$ d and the infin. is nearly equivalent to $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ of result. - tâ $\sigma a t$ : notice the striking contrast between the use of the aor. and of the pres. in aiodáve $\theta \theta a \iota$ and $\pi \rho o o \rho a ̂ \nu . ~-~ \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ éavtệ : the dat. denotes the state of subjection ; in § 39 the acc. $\dot{\phi} \phi \dot{\prime}$ 'avooùs denotes the process of subjugation. - oi $\tau a \lambda a i m \omega \rho o r$, se.

Ө ${ }^{2}$ ßaiou. So read Z., Bekk., Dind., following $\Sigma$; all other MSS. and Editt. have $\Theta \eta \beta a i ̂ o c ~ e i t h e r ~ b e f o r e ~ o r ~ a f t e r ~ к є \chi \chi \rho \eta \nu \tau a \iota$. The reference is primarily to the condition of the Thebans after the destruction of their city in 335 в. c. As to the omission of the name, it is argued, on the one hand, that to express it would exclude any allusion to the Thessalians, who, though not so badly off as the Thebans, were after all not wholly out of the mind of Demr. as having suffered injury fr. their conuection w. Philip ; cf. Phil., II. \& 22 ; III. § 26. On the other hand, it is argued that the omission of $\Theta_{\eta \beta a i o t}$ fr. $\Sigma$ must be accidental, since the orator proceeds in the next paragraph to describe just their condition.
 (av่r $\hat{\varphi}$ depends on oúv in composition) in winning this confidence, sc. in Philip on the part of the Thebans and Thessalians, - фevakioas: a word taken from the ordinary speech of the people and frequently used by Aristo-

 bining the preceding particulars in one entirety ; it may be rendered by of all together. - кal...kal....kal...altios; the energy and compactness of such sentences as this justify the praise of Quint., X., Ch. I., § 76 : " so tense, as it were, with nerves, so free from anything superfluous." - $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o v$ often introduces an ironical sentence, for you forsooth grieve, etc. $-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \mathrm{q} \text { roú } \mu \eta \mathrm{V} \text { : }}$ after the destruction of Thebes, Alex. demanded the surrender of the principal anti-Macedonian orators, among whom Demosthenes, Lycurgus, and Hyperides were the chief ones. Acc. to Diod. 17. 15, there were ten of them ; but Arrian, Anab. I. 10, mentions only nine. For further information ef. A. Schaef., III. p. 127.
§ 42. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho=$ Lat. at enim. The ellipsis is something like this : but (enough of this now) for; cf. § 211. - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \alpha$ : as if unintentionally.
 I will return accordingly ..that, ete. סो calls attention to something just stated. The statement introduced by $\dot{\omega}$ s is a renewal of the proposition made in $\S 20$, and the narration is resumed fr. $\S 36$. - Yàp: epexegetical, to introduce the promised proofs; cf. the heginning of § 169.

8 43. oi катámтибтоь Өetra入ol : the Thessalians were especially despisell and hated by the rest of the Greeks. Diss. quotes the following epithets
 $\sigma 0 \eta$ tot: ef. note § 35. - $\pi$ ávt', all in all ; ef. Thuc. VIII. 95 : Ev̄ßoca $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$
 the apodosis in the indic. st. optat. w. äp to denote the actual fact, and in the imperf. to indicate that this fact was habitual or customary. - iфo-

that you could have done. oủk ëots öotis is more frequently found thant oùdeis è $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ö́ctis in general negations. Cf. Eurip. Mellea, 1306 ; id. Pheen., 597; Æsch. Choeph., 170 . For the indic. w. äv cf. G MI T. 243,244 ; H. 9013; G. 1335, 1336,1340; C'u. §544, Obs. 3.- кal... 8 ह : iu this combination of conjunctions kai generally expresses the comparative idea, also, as well as. -
 "xpression. V. claims that this addition is forcible, as making the folly of
 they themselves were in a certain manner the object of his warlike operations for a long time. A similar concessive force of the partic. is found in $\pi a \rho \omega{ }^{v}$,
 the point from which the danger began.
 metrching and countermarching. --'İ入vpious кal Tpıßád入ovs: the 1llyriaus were subdued by Philip, ace. to Diod. XVI. 69, in 345 B. c. The in * vasion of the Triballi is brought by Justin, IX. 3, in connection with the seythian expedition in 339 в. c. The whole period, accordingly, between the conclusion of the peace and the renewal of hostilities is referred to. -
 Argos against Sparta, seized the colonies of Elis in Epirus, placed Macedonian garrisons under Cleitarchus and Philistides in Oreus and Eretria, orcupied the Thracian Chersonesus, and threatened the Athenian posses-
 aút $\omega v$. This form of Brachylogy is frequent. K. § 300.4 , calls it attraction of the preposition. Cf. Xen. Anub., I. 1. 5 : ठ̈atıs $\delta^{\prime}$ àфıкvoîto t $\hat{\omega} \nu$ mapà

 aủr $\hat{s}$. Cf. $\$ \S 169,213$ for similar instances. - èkeï $\epsilon$, procectling thither, i. e. to Pella, where Philip had his court. The allusion is to the scheming and treacherous politicians in the allied cities of Athens, who, under the sanction of the peace, went so often to Philip on the errands of traitors. ov̂tos, sc. Esch. But had his bribery commenced only then? - Etepos o $\lambda$ óyos oṽros, this is the concern of another. $\quad$ ëtepos $=\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ótplos, cf. not. § 34.
§45. тap' $\mathfrak{i \mu i v}$ : of the speeches made in protest by Dem. at this time we have but one preserved, the second Philippic. - $8 \pi 0$ : some of these places are mentioned in § 244. In Phil., II., § 19 ; III., § 72 , reference is made to the two embassies to the Peloponnesus upon wh. Dem. went. Ėvórouv, were diseased. Dem. likes to compare the disorders of the body
 on the one hand, those engaged in public life and service were become venal
and corrupt for the sake of（ $\bar{\pi} i=$ with a view to）gain ；while，on the other hand，those in private life and the masses（supply $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ before $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ ）．$\pi \mathrm{olt}$－
 nasm wh．Diss．praises as＂bona latitudo dictionis，＂but Schaefer condemns．
 bait of the euss and comfort of the present moment．－тotoveovi $\tau \mathrm{m}$ тá日os， being affected in some such way as this．What this affection was，oiopèvov， к．т．$\dot{\epsilon}$ ．，states．－$\pi \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} v$ oúk ：Dobreus rejects $\pi \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \mathrm{v}$ from the text．But the use of both these adverbs adds great force to the thought：they supposed the terrible thing would come，only not upon themselves，i．e．it would come everywhere else except there．$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ oúk is quite frequent after $\pi \dot{\pi} \nu \tau \epsilon$ ．Cl．

 ished the delusive hope that it could preserve itself by directing the attack of Philip upon the others．This paragraph gives a striking picture of the demoralized and hopeless condition of Greek national life．
§46．oifat ：how do oifat and olouat commonly differ in Attic usage ？－ ávil $=$ in return for：－тоis $\pi$ роєбтŋкórı，the leaders．－кal connects the two partice．；supply foîs before oionévous ；cf．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu i \delta i \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{kal} \pi \mathrm{mo} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\lambda} \hat{\omega} \nu$ above． －тєтрако́бเv：for the partic．constr．cf．H． 982 ；G． 1588 ；Cu．§§ 589．1， 591．The dat．is by attraction to agree w．the obj．of $\sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon$ ，（ тois
 friend plighted by the ties of hospitality ；Germ．Gustfreund．－ákovovoเv， like the Lat． undiunt＝they hear thenselves called，bear the name of． After this worl many texts insert elkijos，wh．is not found in $\Sigma$ ，Laur．S． ＂Seppe etiam additum a scribis ad $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ellipticum explicandum，ante quod supplenda $\epsilon k \delta \delta \omega \varsigma$ ，oủ $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau 6 v$, talia．＂V．

 forms the condition to the apodosis $a_{i} \nu \eta_{\eta} \nu$ ．Dem．here makes use of a rhetori－ cal syllogism ：If the traitor seeures the permanent favor of those whom he serves，he is of all men the most fortunate．But he is cast off as soon as he has served his purpose ；ergo，he is not the most fortunate，but the opposite．To illustrate this statement，examples are given in the next paragraph．－mófev： whence can it be ？impossible ！Cf．$\S \S 52,140$ ．Of similar emphasis are $\pi$ जिs $\gamma$ án．
 full possession of his object．＂кaтa⿱宀रิ suggests the security of his posi－
 he is also．The indic．（ $\epsilon \sigma \tau l$ ）shows that this sentence forms the apodosis to $i \pi \epsilon i \dot{0} \dot{\omega}$ калабт $\hat{\eta}$ ．The sentiment is forcibly brought out in the words of Habington quoted by Wh．：

> " Mischief while it prospers brings favor from the smile of kings; Useless, soon is thrown away."

## - тóre $\delta \dot{\eta}$, from that very moment.

§ 48. kal $\gamma \mathrm{a} \rho$ : an instance of the well-known elliptical use of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mathbf{w}$. кal : and (it is well that you should do this) for; generally the кal in this use may best be left untranslated, but its force as making the causal sentence nore emphatic should always be felt, if not expressed. - $\mu$ éxpı tov́-

 by delivering 500 cavalry men into Philip's hands during the siege of Olynthus, betrayed his own city. ('f. Diod., XVI. 53. - Tıцó入aos: in § 295 the same name occurs in the Doric form. Acc. to Theopompus, as quoted by Athenæus, Timolaus was one of the most debauched men and corrupt proliticians of his time. Deinarchus, I., § 74, makes him for scandal's sake the friend of Dem. - Eűsıкоs, $\Sigma \hat{i} \mu \mathrm{os}$ : acc. to Harpocration were two of the tetrarchs among whom Philip, in 342 в. c., apportioned the jurisdiction of
 world. In his Orat. de Halonn., § 35, Dem. contrasts $\dot{\eta}$ oikov $\begin{gathered}\text { év } \eta \text { with äd } \lambda \eta \eta\end{gathered}$ $\tau$ is $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho a$, manifestly meaning his own country in a general sense. - $\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \eta$ y€́yovev: the ordinary reading adds $\pi$ роסот $\hat{\nu}$ : became full of traitors driven about and suffering insult. But V., Bekk., W., Z., Sauppe, following $\Sigma$, omit this worl and make the partic. agree w. coút $\omega \boldsymbol{p}$ understood and referring to the individuals just named. V. thinks that Dem. is here speaking only of the contempt in wh. these traitors were held, elsewhere (sc. § 295) of their great number; and he understands $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ of the notoriety of their fate, wh. filled all Greece. More natural seems to us the interpretation of W., that all Greece was full of, i. e. sated with, wearied of these men driven from city to city and everywhere despised and maltreated. - $\tau$ l : supply $\pi \epsilon \pi \pi \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$ fr. $\pi a \sigma \chi \dot{\delta} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ above. -'Apiotpazos: tyrant of Sicyon, mentioned in $\S 295$ and in Plut. Vit. Arnt., 13. - Пepidaos: attempted in 343 в. с. to deliver Megara into the hands of Philip by the aid of Macedonian mercenaries. His attempt failed through aid sent by the Athenians under Phecion.
 funity of getting bribes." Kens. The patriotic citizen in guarding his country's independence affords thereby the traitor the opportunity itself

 populace that had supported the measures of the patriotic party. - covs


 the peculiar meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \omega \lambda a$.
$\$ 50-52$. Conclesion of the First Part of the Oratios. Alexander's Friend is Alexander's Hireling. eiff̂odau : the infin. diviends on the adj. ikavêv. Cf. G M T. 763 ; H. 952 ; f. 1528 ; Cu. § 562 . - © $\omega$ 入orpaciav, dregs, stale mess, foulucss, are the best translations. Bekker's Anecdota defines this word as the lees of wine and dregs of a night's debauch, that were poured out by the revellers upon such as had fallen asleep amid the excesses of the feast. Hermogenes the rhetorician cites this as one of the boldest metaphors of the orator. $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ apologizes for its use. The application is obviously to the stale and hashed-up charges of treachery wh. Esch. was seeking to turn away from himself upon Dem.
 before those younger than the events. $\pi \rho \delta \mathbf{s} w$. accus. denotes the aim of the action in $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda^{\prime} \dot{v} \sigma a \sigma \theta a t$; he must vindicate himself to those younger, etc. As the events referred to occurred between 348 and 346 в. c., from 18 to 16 years ago, and a dicast need not be more than 30 years old, it is probable that some of the members of the court were at the time of these events mere boys of 14 or 16 years. - $\pi a \rho \eta \nu \omega \boldsymbol{\chi} \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ : what peculiarity in the inflec-
 give better occasion for the following refutation.

 spond to $\phi i \lambda i a \nu \ldots \xi \in v i a \nu$, but with the order reversed for the sake of variety.

 is the reverse, that alternative coming last wh. the speaker expects in response. As regards this appeal itself, the following explanations are fresented in the order of our preference: (1) Dem. felt sure of a favorable response from his avowed adherents, and was already conscious of the favor of a large part of the jury. He therefore felt safe in risking a direct appeal. Cicero in Orat., 31, 111, Brut., 84, 290, tells us how the orators would move their auditors to loud assent and dissent. A clear instance of such direct appeal and responsive assent is fouml
 The jury is supposed to reply yes : and Dem. rejoins, roûto toivv époûpev. (2) The whole passage is a later addition made by Dem. upon a revision of his speech, in order to give it more the appearance of an extempromeous effort. This is the opinion of Diss., who adds $\S 138$ as another instance of a later insertion on the ground of its being too harsh a criticism upon the jury to be spoken at the time.
(3) The orator expected no
response ; mere silence would give assent. But to this opinion ákov́ets seems opposed, as also the well-known custom for the jury to express lond assent or dissent. (4) Acc. to Ulpian, Dem. purposely mispronounced and said $\mu i \sigma \theta \omega \tau o s$, and the critical Athenians at once correcting him replied w. overwhelming voice $\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \omega t$ ós, as if in answer to his appeal. That such a trick would be wholly unworthy of the orator need hardly be said.

## SECOND DIVISION OF THE ORATION.

§§ 53-125. Formal Answer to the Indictment. (a) §§ 53-59. Intronuction and Statement of the Charges. (b) §§ 60-109. Refutation of First Count in the Indictment: the Public Administration of Dem. justified. (c) $\$$ \& $110-119$. Refutation of Second Count in the Indictment : Dem. not accountablé for that which was the Ground of the Proposal to crown him. (d) $\S \S 120-122$. Refutation of Thirid Count in the Indictment: the Proclamation in the Theatre not illegal. (c) §§ 123-125. Transition from the Second to the Thild Division of the Oration, from the Defence to the Attack.
 $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi^{2}}$ - $\pi \rho \circ \beta \in \beta o v \lambda \in v \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \omega v$ refers to the proposed crowning wh. was as yet
 and wh. had first to be passed by the $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ hefore it became a $\psi \eta$ ' $\phi$ $\sigma \mu a$. - $\delta$ iкalos: why not in the accus. ? Cf. H. 940 ; G. 927 ; Cu. § 570.
$\S \S 54,55 . Г Р А \Phi \mathbf{H}$ : manifestly not genuine, as appears fr. the following : Xaupúvóou should he $\Phi \rho v v i \chi$ ov. Chærondas was Archon at the time when Dem. first proposed the reparation of the walls, wh., acc. to Esch., § 27, was the 29th of Thargelion (about the 1st of June), 337 в. c. Three days later

- Dem. was elected Commissioner of Walls. But the date of this document is the 6th of Elaphebolion (about the middle of March), more than two months earlier. This document then would make out that Ctesiphon was indicted for an alleged offence two months before it had been committed! - Köwki§ŋs, of Cothocidxe; an Attic deme of the tribe Eneis. Its situa-
 ( $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime} \nu$ understood, as often in forensic usage) of illegality. How improbable it is that the bill of indictment should itself mention its own process
 i. e, the Archon Eponymus. But the process of the $\gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho a \nu \delta \mu \omega \nu$ came properly before the Thesmothetæ. Cf. Dem. c. Leptin., § 98 ; c. Aristog.,
§ 8．－＇Avaфגvorlov：Anaphlystus was a deme of the tribe Antiochis，and was situated a little to the northwest of sunium，on the western shore．－ apa indicates a citation ；we exprect，therefore，a literal quotation of the

 fullowed ly $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ r o u ̀ s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta$ クas．The pretended $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ in $\S 118$ is of too doubtful authority to serve as a criterion for this $\gamma \rho a \phi$ ท̆．－Mavavéa： Pæania was the name of the two Attic demes，upper and lower P．，of the tribe Pandionis，situated on the cast side of ML．Hymettus．－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ тефávq ： crowns of olive，myrtle，laurel，and ivy were originally bestowed upon the victors in the national games．In later times，just when it is difficult to determine，crowns of gold were bestowed．In the period of Dem．eivic crowns of gold were presented to that trierarch who was the first to furnish an equipred vessel to the Athenian navy，and to public men who had deserved well of the state．But this custom soon degenerated into a mark
 for an accomut of the Dionysiac festivals cf．Diet．Antiq．The great Diomy－ sin ocenred in March，and were the gala days of the year，when Athens was crowded $w$ ．strangers and deputies fr．foreign states．At this festival the non：tragedies were first brought out．－obt ore申avoi depends on àvaro－

 do not allow，in the first place，that false documents be inserted in the public archives．If it could be shown that the statements in regard to Dem．were untrue，Ctesiphon was guilty of violating the law just stated． This is the first count in the indictment．It is to be remarked that while no such specific law as this can be shown to have existed，it was plainly against the spirit of the law in general，or against the common law，to introduce untrue statements in the pullic archives．This is what Fsch．，

 ducing the second count in the indietment．－Tòv ivevevovov：all puhlic． officers，except the Dicasts，were obliged to render account of their office． and generally within 30 days after its termination，before the board of Au－
 account of the duties of these officials ef．Beekh Publ．Econ．，p． 262 ff ．； Meier and Schöm．Alt．Proc．，p． 100 fi ．－texomotós，Commissioner of Walls；this was one of the most important of the civil offices．－T $\boldsymbol{\omega} v$ $\theta$ ewpıк由ิv，the Theoric Fund，was a fund set apart to defray the expenses of the public games and festivals．Pericles first introduced the custom of giving as a largess to the populace the two－obol entrance－fee to the theatre，
which was paid from this fund. In the time of Dem. the theoricon had become a powerful means of corruption in the hands of politicians. Breckh (Publ. Econ., p. 311) estimates that the lowest annual expenditure for the theoricon at this period was from twenty-five to thirty talents, and that this amount may occasionally have been doubled or trebled. Justin, as yuoted by Boeckh, remarks: "Then were the public revenues, w. wh. previously soldiers and rowers had been maintained, distributed among the citizens of Athens"; and B. adds: "Thus Philip was enabled to raise his lead." - advayopevetv and àveเreîv below depend on $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \dot{v} \nu \tau \omega \nu$, wh. is to

 There were two kinds of suits or actions in the Athenian courts: (1) árêves áriuntoc, in wh. the penalty was fixed and attached by law; (2) árêves $\tau \iota \mu \eta \tau o l$, in wh. the penalty was to be assessed by the jury. The $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$
 form. These were the witnesses to the serving of the summons ( $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \sigma$ $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma(s)$. There were commonly two, and their names were always appended



 followed by Æsch. in drawing up the bill of indictment, not the order of his speech, where, probably w. a view to making his strongest points (sc. the technical points of the time and place of crowning) most prominent, he departs fr. the order of the indictment. Dem. was entirely consistent in demurring against the demand ( $\$ \$ 1,2$ ) of his rival to follow the order of his speech, and just in taking advantage of the order of the indictment, by wh. he could place his weakest (the technical) points in the middle of his speech, where they would attract least notice.
§ 57. тov̂... үpáqaı...ėmaıveiv, as regards the statement that, etc., and the mraise bcstowed; these verbal nouns in the genit. depend on крiow. єiтє...етє каl: Diss. notices that when a speaker prefers the former of two alternatives he is apt to insert кai after the second $\epsilon i \tau \epsilon$. So in § 58 : єiт $\epsilon$
 кai aloӨ $\quad$ ots.
 indictment; кal ávetreîv...кe入єevoar states the third point. The entire
 кolvaveiv $=$ is connected with. On the constr. of the subordinate parts of the sentence critics are divided between these three opinions: (1) $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ фavoûv, like $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon i \nu$, depends on $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$ w. the omitted subject of wh.
 fr. $\pi \rho o \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\psi}$ avra ; and as for his proposing to croun without adding (mp's) the proviso "when he has given in his accounts," and having ordered proclamation of the croven to be made in the theatre ; (3) oreфavoivv is coürdi-
 the largest number, and seems, on the whole, the most natural. - $\mathbf{~ v} v$ тov́rots: inter hos cives. oũtot is often used by the orators to refer to the demus or plebs in the Assembly and court. - Kith $\mu$ évroh, still hoveever.
 hence, artlessly, without duplicity. -tyvona, I have determined.
§ 59. áraptâv, lit. to hang away from, hence to separate, to disconnect. And let no one suppose that I am disconnecting my speech from the indictment. Dem. wishes to guard against the charge of irrelevancy. Upon this Lord B. remarks: "The extreme importance to Dem. case of the skilful movement, so to speak, by wh. he availed himself of Esch. error, and at once entered upon the sulject of his whole administration, thus escaping the immediate charge to wh. he had no answer, and overwhelming his adversary by a triumphant defence on ground of his own choosing, required that he should again and again defend this movement, wh. he here does
 distinction fr. Athenian; what w. us is called the Foreign Department. This

 accordingly also, there being many departments of public life open to my selection ; se. such as those of finanee, of war, of navy ; kal anticipates the

(b) $\mathbb{\xi} 860-109$. I. $\S 860-72$. What the Condition of Greece demanded of Athens. oviv resumes the statement at the close of $\S 58$, wh. was interrupted by the apology offered in $\S 59$. The student cannot be too careful in noticing the exact and delicate force of these particles and
 period prior to the peace of Philocrates, 346 в. c., during which period l'hilip, had taken Amphipolis, Pydna, Potidæa, and Olynthus. Dem. disavows responsibility for the conduct of affairs, until, as the acknowledged head of the Anti-Macedonian party, he dictated the foreign policy of the
 but in what he was cspecially thwarted. The intensive force of кai w. the verb must be noticed. It was not Philip's successes, some of wh. he gained even after the entrance of Dem. upon public life, but his failures that Dem. now wishes to speak of. Attention is called by several critics to the skilful choice of the word $\delta_{s \in E} \mathrm{C} \lambda \dot{\theta} \theta \eta$, wh., by implying that Dem. acted upon the
defensive, prepares the way for the subsequent argument that all his measures were defensive and that he cannot, therefore, be charged w. the guilt of originating a war that brought ruin upon his country. - тoбovtov intenciv : having premised thus much, as foundation (ínó) of the argument. - $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ќкт $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \alpha$ : derivat.? Notice the Asyndeton.
§61. фopàv : cf. L. and Sc., II. B. 3. - $\pi \rho \circ \delta o \tau \omega \hat{v} \ldots \dot{\nu} \nu \rho \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ : notice the cumulative force of these epithets. - $\boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o i s }} \mathrm{s}$ éx $\theta$ pêv : " God-detosted. Tlu" phase is used almost as if it were a single word, otherwise the ahrupt intron ection of the dat. would be rather inelegant." Holmes. - yeyoviôav : w. wh.at verbs is the supplementary partic. joined instead of the intin. ? Cf. G M T. 878,904 ; H. $981-984$; G. 1579,1588 ; Cu. §§ 590,593 . - каl $\pi \rho$ о́тєрог
 and fuctious, he made still worse disposed. The two adverbs in -ws are placed as far apart as possible for the sake of emphasis. - $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ov̀s $\mu \dot{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{v}$, some, as e. g. the Athenians, by the peace of 346 B. c.; tois $\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}$, others by bribing, as e. I. the Thebans who were loaded w. favors for their coüperation in the destruc-
 way. The expression is climacteric and embraces both classes described by rois $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ and roîs $\delta \epsilon$. W. makes $\delta t a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \omega \nu$ refer to the Phocians in the sense of destroying; but in Dem. the prevailing sense of the word is cor-

 such that, while the connection w. the preceding sentence is made most clear, the emphatic words are made most prominent. - ovviotapévou kal фvopivov кakov, of the gathering and growing mischief. Wh. The Z. Edit. reads $\phi v \rho o \mu \hat{v} \boldsymbol{v o u}$ based upon $\Sigma$, wh. has $\phi v$ o $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu o v$; but the sense is decidedly better w. the reading of the other MSS., wh. is фvouévou. - прáттєьv kal $\pi$ oteiv : rhetorical pleonasm, in wh. the distinction in meaning is hardly
 tion.
 kal $\Delta 0 \lambda o \sigma^{\pi} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ : these are mentioned because of the general contempt: in wh. they were held and because of their Anti-Hellenic policy. Cf. Herod., VII. 132, 185. - $\sigma v \gamma \kappa a \tau \alpha \kappa \tau a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \Phi レ \lambda(\pi \pi \varphi$, to have joined in
 decora et jura evertere, qui pro libertate Græciæ gloriosissime pugnârunt et prineipatum meruere facinoribus egregiis." Diss. - к $\omega \lambda$ v́ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathrm{t}$, st. $\kappa \omega \lambda$ úáot or $\kappa \omega \lambda$ óo, the form of the direct narration for the sake of liveliness. Cf. Esch. c. Ctes., $\S 90$. - ©s $\begin{gathered}\text { tovev, profecto. Diss. remarks that this phrase has not }\end{gathered}$ rarely an ironical foree; as in Engl. we may say : "I suppose," of what is very certainly known,
§64. $\mathfrak{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ vîv, к. т. $\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}$ : this sentence simply repeats in another form the idea of the preceding ; ovvactias corresponding to бטүкатактаิбөat, and
 w. à denotes that the condition for realizing the wish is unfulfilled. (f. G M T. 243, 244, 246 ; H. 903 : G. 1336, 1337, 1339 ; Cu. § 537. - тîs covartias, sc. $\mu$ epiofos, the party that was the joint-ause of. - div eimot: what protasis may be supplied in thought? Cf. G M T. 235; H. 872 a;


'Apyelovs: the Areadians and Messenians, Pausanias says (VII. 15. 6; VIII. 6. 2; IV. 28. 2), kept themselves wholly aloof in the last decisive strumgle of Athens against Philip. The Argives had sought Philip's friendship and protection against Sparta. Cf. Isocr. Phil., § 74.

 in Reiske, Taylor, Diss., Bremi, Dind., and other Elitt., is due to a false interpretation of this passage. Dem. wishes to justify himself and the Athenians in their opposition to Philip, and says substantially this: Had Philip, when he had gained the upper hand ( $\epsilon \kappa \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \eta \sigma \epsilon$, wh. points to the close of the Phocian war, in wh. Philip had at least the appearance of doing right in punishing the violators of the Delphic sanctuary), - had he then withdrawn and made no further attempts against the rights of other states, there might have been some reason for censuring those who opposed him (i. e. us) ; since however he, on the contrary, used this victory over the Phocians as a means of further conquest, and gradually deprived all the states of their freedom, my solicitude and opposition are most fully justified, and you have done right and best in following my counsel. Thus by the concession in the early part of the sentence, Dem. strengthens his subsequent statement. - ois = toúrous ä : cf. H. 996 a; G. 1031, 1032; Cu. § 597. 4. - modıreias, constitutions; of a democratic form of government, in distinction from a tupavois. - ofowv : in the sume constr. as $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ above.
§66. Eккêनc, illuc, to be referred to $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ at the hegiuning of $\$ 64$. - Tòv 'A $\begin{aligned} & \eta \eta \\ & \eta \\ & \text { : }\end{aligned}$ several Editt. read $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}$ after these words, though not found in $\Sigma$. Reuter calls attention to the fact that кal $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ldots \delta a \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \iota$ can only refer to 'A $\begin{aligned} \text { niunow. That Dem. alludes here to himself is plain enough from the }\end{aligned}$
 пayròs tov Xporvov: the use of $\epsilon \kappa w$. genit. expresses the existence of this consciousness all the time through from the first moment of his observation. So $\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} s$, st. $\epsilon^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$, indicates the whole priod extending fr. the time at wh. he began to address the Assembly. This is a favorite mode of expressing
time w. Dem. Cf. $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \pi 0 \lambda \lambda o \hat{v}, \S 43$. - $\pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon i \omega v$, precedence, primacy ; referring to the hegemony. For the singular cf. § 321. - фьлотццias, distinction, pre-eminence. - ekaotot: Dem. frequently compliments the Athenians for their readiness to sacrifice in behalf of the freedom and welfare of the other Greek states.
 syncecloche, Holmes cites Aristoph. Nub., 24: є $\ell \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \epsilon \kappa \dot{\sigma} \pi \eta \nu \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \tau \partial े \nu$
 iфөa入 $\mu$ oús. This wound Philip probably incurred at the siege of Methone, 353 в. c. Cf. Diod., XVI. 34. - т $̀ \boldsymbol{v}$ к $\lambda$ кiv : this happened, ace. to the Schol.,
 these injuries were sustained on his return from a Scythian campaign, the last one in an encounter w. the Triballi, 339 b. c. Justin, IV. 3, says : in femore vulneratus est Philippus. This enumeration of Philip's injuries seems to be given in chronological order ; but Diss. observes : "suaviter a capite ad inferiora descendit." - $\beta$ ou入 $\eta \theta_{\epsilon}$ ín : why the optat. ? Cf. G M T. 532 ; H. 914 B; G. 1431 ; Cu. § 555. - $\mu .5 \mathrm{pos}$, every part of his body, whatever fortune, etc. The antecedent is incorporated in the relat. clause, ly wh., as Diss. observes, the emphasis of $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ is increased. Cf. H. 995 ; G. 1037 ; Cu. § 597. 3. - тஸ̣̂ $\lambda$ otrथิ, reliquo corpore.
§ 68. ovi8t points to what precedes; as that cannot be denied, so would no one even venture, etc. - $\Pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \lambda_{\eta}$ : the allusion to Philip's birthplace as contrasted w. Athens is manifestly contemptuons. - $\boldsymbol{\tau o v i t}$ ', sc. $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'E $\lambda$.
 speeches and in dramas, Kenn.; better, w. Leland, in everything you hear and see. - $\mathbf{v} \pi \delta \dot{\mu} \downarrow \eta \mu a$ өєшройбь, contemplate a memorial ; ن́nó $\mu \nu \eta \mu a$ used in a concrete sense as referring to the greatness and splendor of their city.
 two other MSS., though on the margin of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ the other reading is also found;
 seems tautological. Some critics regard it as a gloss to explain the word hefore it. The idea is intensified by its use. Cf. $\S 305: \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{d} \sigma \eta \eta^{\text {a }} \mathbf{\delta \varepsilon \epsilon i a s}$


 be pursued. Notice the strong inferential force of rotvov, wh. at the same time adds to the considerations already advanced a new motive in the
 as the mover of your resolutions and as your counsellor ; kal before $\begin{gathered} \\ \gamma \\ \omega \\ \text { en } \\ \text { in- }\end{gathered}$ dicates that Dem. claims that he was only in line with the traditional policy of the city. Cf. каi before $\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\prime} \theta \eta, \S 60$ - ка $\theta^{\prime}$....xpóvous : give the Greek without incorporation of the antecedent. - $\pi$ ávoa, dismissing
all the rest. These earlier conquests Philip made in $358-345$ в. c. Cf. note \& 60.
§ 70. इéppıov: ef. § 27. - $\mathbf{\Delta}$ opírkov: cf. Esch. c. Ctes., § 82. These Thracian towns were taken in 345 в. c.- - Пemaph $\theta$ ov: this island was laid waste by Philip, about 342 B . c., for ejecting the Macedonian garrison from the neighboring island of Halonnesus, for the possession of which Philip and the Athenians were contending. Cf. Aisch. c. Ctes., § 83. - ouv $8^{\circ} \ldots$ oisa: "Sic me geramı quasi omnino nesciam facta." Diss. - $\sigma \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, you, made emphatic by $\gamma \epsilon$ wh. generally throws its emphasis upon the preceding word; and yet you affirmed (though cognizant of all these facts) that I in speaking of these matters had brought these persons (sc. his auditors) into hostility (with Philip namely). - Eíßov́dov: cf. note §̊ 21. - 'Apıттоф ŵvtos: of the deme Azenia, one of the leading statesman of Athens fr. the close of the Pelopon. War down to about 352 в. c. The chief aim of his policy seems to have been to unite Athens and Thebes against Sparta; ef. § 162. Dem. in his Leptin. Orat., § 146, ealls him סetvòs $\lambda \in$ '́धєv. Esch. c. Cles., § 194, refers to Arist. as boasting that he had been acquitted on seventyfive charges of $\gamma \rho a \phi_{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho a \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu .-\Delta$ wтétovs: most scholars follow the Scholiast in supposing this Diopeithes to be the Athenian general who in 343 B. c. saved the Chersonesus fr. falling into the hands of Philip. But A. Schaefer (I. 163, II. 422) believes this Diopeithes to be the orator and statesman who is mentioned by Hyperides, of the deme Sphettus. - oúbe: as before I offered no resolutions w. reference to these matters, I will now also not speak of them.
§ 71. Ě̋otav : Philip sought to gain a foothold in Eubrea as early as 350 в. c., when he supported the tyrant Callias of Chalcis against the Athenians under Phocion, who had been summoned to give assistance by Plutareh, tyrant of Eretria. The peace of 346 for a while checked his operations; but in 343 he holdly invaded the island, destroyed Porthmus, a fortification of the Eretrians, and placed his tools in power in Oreus and
 appropriating to himself. - ivเтelxเซرa, as a post of attack, base of operations against ; cf. $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { It } \tau \epsilon \epsilon \chi \iota \sigma \mu \partial \nu, \\ \$ 87\end{array}\right)$ For this the position of Euboea was admirably fitted. - Meyápots: in 343 в. c. a Macedonian faction, at the head of wh. stood Perilaus, endeavored to put Megara into the hands of Philip, so as to prepare the way for his conquest of the Peloponnesus; but this plan was frustrated through the prompt and energetic interference of the Athenians under Phocion. Cf. Dem. Phil., III., \&§ 18, 27 ; de F. L.,
 342 в. c., and sent out forces to conquer and destroy the towns of the Cher-

 remarks, is contrary to the hest Attic usage of this period and an instance of a vulgarism employed by the best writers. Professor Lipsius cites as the only example of this use prior to Dem., ov̀s $\mu \dot{e} \nu_{0} .$. oís $\delta \notin$ in Xen. Cyrop., II. 4, § 23, where some Editt. read toùs $\mu \bar{\varepsilon} \nu . .$.
 XYI., P. 153, Gesn. What cities the orator has in mind has not heen ascertained. - $\boldsymbol{\eta} \delta$ iket : notice the continumace of the action exjressed by the impf. in this and the following verbs. - $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ : the negat. is to be joined w. фapîvat; had ov́ been used, the question would have been less emphatic. The ov above is joined w. the preceding verbs in the indic. and expects an affirmative answer. Here the difference between $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and ov̉к

 ought not he to have shoun himsslf, i. e. was it not his duty to.
 ness. The proverb arose from the story that cluring the absence of their king, Telephus, the Mysians became the unresisting prey of their neighbors.
 alive and in being. - civar is sometimes used of an established political


 excecded my duty. - $\boldsymbol{\text { 匕et }}: \delta \in i=i t$ is wanting or needed, physical necessity; $\chi \rho \eta^{\prime}=i t$ is befitting or due, moral necessity; $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota=$ it is becoming or

 and I was continually forewarning and admonishing you not to surrender (se. your leadership and your liberties to Philip). The preceding passage, commencing w. $\S 66$, Lord B. regards among the finest in all Dem. He says : "The heavy fire of indignant invective is kept up thronghout, only limited by the desire to avoid any too personal offence to an andience as - vain as supine, and as impatient of censures as it was deserving of them. The rapidity of the declamation is striking in the highest degree ; the number of topics crowded into a fey worls, in $\S 71$ especially, and the absolute perfection of the choice, is not to be surpassed." The force and breadth of the argument are no less admirable than the diction and style. It is stated from three points of view : (1) Looking at the condition of Greece, what did the honor of the city require Athens to do ( $\$ 862-65$ )? (2) Looking at the parties engaged in this struggle, was Athens to yield or to resist (ss 66-68)? (3) Looking at the historical facts, ought any state to have resisted Philip ; if so, which one ( $\$ 869-72$ ) ?

11. §§ 73-78. Philip, not Athens, broke the Peace; otheb Statesmen, not Dem., proposed War. tâ $\pi \lambda$ oía: reference is made to the seizure and plundering of Athenian merchantmen by Macedonian privatuers, let loose by Philip about 340 в. c. This and the devastation of the Chersonesus were among the causes wh. finally determined the Athenians to a formal declaration of war. - $\downarrow \eta$ фi $\sigma \mu a \tau a$ : the decrees that follow are very unsatisfactory, not only failing to give us the promised answer to the question ris tivos aïriós $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \ell$, but also wanting in clearness on the affair of the seizure of the boats. ${ }^{1}$
 probably, however, the same as those alluded to in $\S 70$ ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тoút $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ $\psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu)$, and bearing upon events prior to the peace of Philocrates. The point that Dem. aims to make in citing these names is, to show that as he was not the one who proposed the peace in the first place (cf. § 21), so he was also not the one who proposed its dissolution. - ${ }^{\text {'H }} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \mathbf{o s}$ is the only name that has not already been mentioned. He was a zealous adherent of the popular party. He had proposed the alliance with the Phocians and opposed the peace with Philip. The seventh in the order of the Demosthenic orations, On the Halonnesus, is now generally attributed to Hegesippus. - $\pi$ ávres, i. e. $\pi$ ávtes ol ẳ $\lambda \lambda o t$, as some MSS. have it.
§76. тov̂ $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu^{\prime}$ ov refers to the resumption of hostilities w. Philip in 340 B. c. - ${ }^{2}$ 'xous: what would be the form of the condition if ex-
 ' 'u. §§ 537, 538, 539. Notice the shade of difference in the use of the tenses. - vimèp : cf. note § 9.
III. §s 79-101. Dem. uustifies his Foreign Administration. èvaû日', i. e. in the letter just read. Notice again the orator's happy transition from one topic to another : that Philip does not blame him is an indirect witness to his services to the state, wh. he proceeds to enumerate. - $\tau \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \tau^{\prime}$ : the indef. $\pi$ or $\epsilon$ adds to the inquiry a certain tone of surpise or astonishment; somewhat like our, why in the world? - үeүpádet: the MSS. reading is $\gamma \in \gamma \rho a \phi \in$ or $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \phi \in \nu$, wh. gives an anomaly of wh. we cannot suppose the orator guilty. Bekk. reads érpaфev, V. and W., after
 the augment, as in our text, in the plupf., is not uncommon when a long
 $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \dot{\kappa \epsilon \sigma a \nu, ~ T h u c . ~ I . ~} 89$; $ฑ \delta \eta ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \eta \dot{\kappa \epsilon \epsilon, ~ X e n . ~ A n a b ., ~ V I . ~ 4 . ~ 11 . ~ W i t h ~}$

[^13]our reading the sentence is: he would have made mention if he had written; with the reading $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \rho a \phi e \nu:$ he would make mention ( $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \xi \mu \nu \eta \tau o$ used as an impf.) if he were writing, the speaker putting himself back to the time when Philip was writing his letter. - тov́т $\mu \eta \boldsymbol{\nu}, I$ fastened myself to, as a deternined foe. On these I fixed myself, Kenn.; grappled with, Wh. - тìv...лрєбßєiav: Dem. was himself a member of this embassy, in 343 в. с. - тapévieto, was trying to steal into. The Peloponnesus was divided into factions, wh. Philip was insidiously stirring up against one another for the purpose of gaining a foothold for himself. It was through this embassy that the Peloponn. states were aroused to their danger, and Philip was prevented from invading their territory until after Chæronea. - $\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\epsilon l s}$ Eußotav: in the same year as the preceding. - Tilv....k§oסov : these expeditions came two years later (341); first Oreus was delivered and its despot, Philistides, slain ; then Cleitarchus, despot of Eretria, was driven forth by Phocion.
§80. тovs ámooródovs, the naval expeditions, alluding probably also to the improvements in the trierarchal law introduced by him, wh. are set

 lar use of кatd ef. Thue. I. 60 ; II. 87. "This use of кãd is somewhat rare, but may be viewed, perhaps, as expressing the accordance between the instrument and the result, the cause and the effect, like the adv. accordingly in certain cases." Larned. - Xeppóvŋoos: one of Philip's most cherished purposes was to gain possession of the Chersonesus, wh. at this time was partly in the power of Cersobleptes, king of Thrace and an ally of the Athenians. At first Philip contents himself with subjugating C. and occupying the strongest points in Thrace (§ 27). But in 340 в. с. Philip interferes in the contest of the Athenians w. the inhabitants of Cardia, formerly an Athenian dependency ; this leads to an open rupture and to a formal invasion of the Chersonesus on the part of Philip. Thence he turns to the Propontis to gain control of the straits that lead to the Euxine. But here his customary good fortune fails him ; in vain he besieges Perinthus and Byzantium ; the Athenian auxiliary force compels him to withdraw, and again the Chersonesus is free. - oi $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \mu a x o t$, sc. Tenedos, Proconnesus, etc. Cf. §302. - têv á $\delta$ ıкovpévढv : gen. of the

 $\pi є р є \boldsymbol{\tau}$ иєто to be supplied.
§81. kal $\mu \grave{\eta} v$ introduces a strong asseveration, $=$ and verity. $-\Phi\left\llcorner\lambda_{\iota}-\right.$
 of these places might be in his hands for your injury. - $\pi$ otwv $\dagger$ jiket : the
addition of the partic. makes the expression emphatic. - тavtaxov = anywhere. Cf. note on $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu, \& 5$.
 easily traced : the verb signifies (1) to unloose (sc. the sandals), (2) to rest, (3) to lodye ; $\pi a \rho$ ' $\epsilon \mu o l$ rà $\rho$ Toprias ката入úєє, Plat. Gorg., $44 \bar{\imath}$ B. The expression is common in Plato, but rare in the orators, who employ the word more commonly of dissolution, destruction, or termination. Holmes. -
 entertained by the $\pi \rho o ́ \xi \in \nu 0$ of their city. The $\pi \rho \rho \xi \xi \in \nu o s$ was a person appointed by the state to protect the interests of his fellow-citizens resident in foreign lands, quite analogous to our consul. Esch. was not properly the $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \nu$ os of Euboea, but acted as such. - 'ixOpovs: notice the antithetic position of this word and $\phi i \lambda o l$, and the emphasis on $\sigma o i$. - ov roivvv... oúdév : however great the personal advantages I might have gained from selling my services to Philip and his partisans, I steadfastly opposed all their designs ; and yet, notwithstanding this, you reproach me. - пav́ $\boldsymbol{\text { et }}$ :
 prosecutor failed to obtain one-fifth of the votes he fell into dंтcuia: i. e. besides incurring a tine of 1000 drachmæ, he was forever disabled from instituting a similar suit. Cf. Boeckh Pub. Econ. Athen., p. 492 ff ; Meier and Schöm. Att. Proc., p. 734.
§ 83. $\quad$ ini roúrots, i. e. for the successful resistance Dem. offered to the schemes of Cleitarchus and Philistides. - үpáqavtos: the gen. absol. seems to denote both time and concession here: rellhough and when. тoúrov $\gamma$ เүvopévov : all attempts to explain the reference of these words seem unsatisfactory. (1) To make toútov refer to the decree of Aristonicus as second in order is hardly possible, since nothing is said anywhere of any similar decree prior to this one, and because this interpretation would
 with the following difficulties: (a) The word кचр'́रнатоs cannot properly be used of the proposal of Ctes., wh. was as yet hardly a $\psi \dot{\eta} \psi \iota \sigma \mu$. The к $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{-}$ $\rho v \gamma \mu a$ was the very thing Æsch. sought to prevent by his $\pi a \rho a \nu \dot{\delta} \mu \omega \nu$ र $\rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$. (b) How can the aorists àreitev, érpáqaro be joined to this present idea? We must then read: and whereas the present is now the second proclamation in the theatre which is coming off in my honor, Eschines, although present, weither spoke in opposition, etc. But "spoke" when? (c) By referring to $\S 223$, it will be seen that the proposal of Ctes, was third in order, counting that of Demomeles and Hyperides as a single one. I can find n:o ground for Holmes's assumption that the crowns proposed by Aristonicus and by Ctesiphon were the only ones proclaimed in the theatre, and therefore this was second to thet ; against this supposition is the statement in
§ 223 in regard to the proposal of D. and H.: ràs aútàs $\sigma u \lambda \lambda a \beta a ̀ s ~ к a i ~$
 ourool. (3) As the text stands (we believe it is corrupt for the additional reason that the art. $\tau \delta$ is necessary, since we cannot say тoûro $\mu 0$,
 the following explanation suggested by V. seems most natural. From a comparison w. $\S \S 222,223$ we conclude that Dem. had been crowned twice in the theatre before Ctes. made his proposal. (What Dem. says in \& 120 refers in general to his coronations, most of wh. occurred in the ordinary localities, the Pnyx and the Senate-house). Now the first proclamation in the theatre was that of Aristonicus, and to this one toútov yırvouévou refers, but in this way : $\delta \in u ̛ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota=i t e r a r i$, to be repeated; hence the entire sentence would read, and when this proclamation (sc. this one of Arist.) was made a second time in my behalf, which was done through Demom. and Hyper., whose proposal was couched in the very same terms, and was unsuccessfully attacked by Diondas. Decrees and other legal formulæ were often thus repeated in the same terms, the names of the parties concerned alone being changed. (4) W. suggests an excellent emendation, coloútou for roúrov, cooourov referring to the similarity of the phraseology employed in the decree of Demom. and Hyper. With this change we read: when therefore you crowned me at that time for these things...and the crown was proclaimed in the theatre, yea, even when such a proclamation was being made for me already now a second time, Alschines, although present, neither spoke in opposition, nor indicted him who had proposed it. The learner will observe that the partic. yçvouévov has the time of the impf., to denote the continued existence of this proclamation, wh., unlike that of Aristonicus, was the occasion of a suit. That Esch. did not object the first time, the orator lets pass by ; but that he should have taken no notice of the second similar proposal, and still attack the proposal of Ctes., wh. is of the self-same import, this Dem. makes prominent in this muchdisputed sentence, as we understand it.
§ 85. $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l . . . \sigma \tau \in \phi a v \omega ิ \mu a t:$ for this form of cond. sent. ef. G M T. 444, 689. 2 ; H. 946,898 ; G. 1403, 1522, 1497. 2 ; Cu. §§ 545,
 sc. ỗà $\hat{\eta} \nu \in ́ a \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu a \tau a$, emphatic.
§ 86. oúkov̂v, accordingly ; marking a conclusion. The oủk points to the preceding negative idea, ov $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi \epsilon \omega \varsigma, \kappa . \tau . \dot{\epsilon}$. What is the meaning of oưкovv ? - $\mu \hat{v}$ has no corresponding $\delta \epsilon$, but the implied opposition to $\tau \hat{\omega} v$

 in the text. - тب̣̂ vккâv, dat. instrum., by the fact of my prevailing in speaking and proposing. - тpoob́סovs, solemn processions. Cf. $\begin{aligned} & \text { volat кai }\end{aligned}$

87. coivwv : often used to resume the narration, like our well, then. -
 statesmanship and decrecs by me. The instrum. is denoted by the dat., the agent by $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\delta}$ w. genit. The critical student will notice an inversion in the
 tional and gives variety. From the form of the letter $\mathbf{X}$ the ancient rhetoricians called it chiasmus. - $\delta$ เappaү̄̄ธt, even though some of these should burst; i. e. invidia vel indignationc. Diss. Kemn. quotes Virg. Eclog., VII. 26 : Invidia rumpantur ut ilia Codro. Tyler follows Wh. in taking it to mean, straining their lungs to contradict me. - Z'tepov, i. e. alius
 Schaef. understand the allusion to be not to Byzantium, but to the control of the grain trade, wh. was to be to Philip an instrument of uggression dif-
 Leon. Athen., p. 111, calculates the amount of grain annually imported at one million medimni. The main supply came from the region bordering upon the Pontus. - mapel $\theta \dot{\omega} \mathbf{v}$, going along the coast. - avirẹ: join w. $\sigma v \mu$ $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \hat{v}$. - $\ell \pi l$ тov́rots, upon thuse terms. Dem. himself went on the emlassy (cf. § 244) in 340 B. c. to persuade the Byzantines to resist Philip. He persuaded the Athenians to enter into an alliance w. Byzantium. When Philip saw not only the Athenians, but also the Chians, Rhodians, and Coans coming to the aid of Byzantium, he reluctantly withdrew. - xápaka: by synecdoche for $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ к \omega \mu \alpha=a$ palisaded camp, a stockade. $-\mu \eta \chi а \nu \eta \mu a \tau$ ', having planted enginery.
 (érri), as something superflnous. - тò ì ifîs, the word " you." Cf. H. 600 a ;
 able to say $\tau \hat{n} \pi \dot{j} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ st. $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ in the next sentence, and thus to avoid the appearauce of making himself out to be the leader and guide of his judges. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \omega \bar{s}$, in a word, allogether; it modifies the whole sentence. - $\delta$ oús: so reads also Bekk., after the best MSS.; all other Editt. read $\delta \iota \delta o u ́ s$, wh. denotes the time of the impf., the same as $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \omega \nu, \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega \mu$. Reuter defends $\delta o u ́ s$ as expressing in the way of a climax both a preterite and a perfect idea; i. e. who gave and has given; he also thinks the monosyllable forcible at the close of the period. V., on the contrary, oljects that the ears of Dem. would never tolerate the two successive syllables $\delta \hat{\omega} s$ soús.
 סou's ' $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\text { a }}$ as an instance of Dem. severity of style.



 Dem. calls to mind the refusal of the Athenians, under the influence of the Macedonian party, to support the Peloponnesians in their revolt against
 the idea would be more logically expressed by $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i ̀ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i$. $\sigma \omega$, since the hopes are themselves present ; but the orator chose this form
 of the optat. here and in the following verbs? Uf. G M T. 721, 722; H. 870 ; G. 1507, 1510 ; C'u. § 514. - $\omega \nu=\tau$ оои́т $\omega \nu$ ä: H. 996 a ; G. 1031, 1032 ; Cu. § 598. 2. For the accus. \& cf. H. 724 : G. 1069 ; Cu. § $402 .-$
 of, to impart; $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \chi \epsilon i \nu=$ to receive a share of, to share in. Most Editt. read каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi 0 \epsilon \epsilon \nu, \kappa . \tau, \dot{\epsilon}$., wh., to say the least, makes the sentiment a harsh one. The omission of $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ with $\Sigma$ and Laur. S. gives a much nobler turn to the sentence. The orator utters then the prayer that these treacherous men may fail of realizing their hopes (wh. involve the destruction of their common country), and may enjoy freedom and independence in company w. those who seek to secure their country's highest good; and, on the other hand, that they may not impart to the comnonwealth that ruin of public good wh. they have aimed at as a means to promote their own private interests. The thought underlying the whole passage is that the welfare of the state involves the welfare of the individual, and vice versa. Cf. Thuc., 11. 60. 2; Soph. Antig., 188-190; Plato Legg., p. 875 , A.
 my administration ; an instance of hendiadys. Diss. remarks that this is a favorite expression w. Dem. Cf. $\$ 8$ 192, 292, 317. Notice the rhetorical order wh. makes the object of the sentence more prominent. - калокаүаOlav: this word may be translated honorableness, spirit of honor, generosity;
 honor, courage, mamaunimity. Magnanimity, perhaps, best renders the word here, as contrasted with какiay $=$ meanness.
 1308. 2; L'u. § 595. 2. - 'ev...xpóvots, se. when Byzantium joined Chios, Rhodes, etc., in the so-called Social War against Athens, $357-355$ в. с.
 ßoudov... $\mathfrak{\rho}$ भropa: though generals had often gained that honor for the state, he was the first one who was merely a statesman to achieve this.
$\S 95-101$. Demosthenes cites Examples from Athenian History in Jusfification of his Forelgn Policy. $\beta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \phi \eta \mu \mathrm{las} . .$. oukoфаขтlas $=$ defamations $(\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \pi \tau \omega, \phi \eta \mu)$ ), malicious slanders ( $\sigma \hat{\kappa} \kappa \nu, \phi а i \nu \omega$,
from the false accusations often brought for robbing the sacred fig－trees．
 speech attacks the Byzantines；we must suppose this to be an interpo－ lation，or，what is more probable，that Esch．onitted this mention of the Byzantines fr．the revised edition of his oration，wh．he made in Rhodes， out of regard for the Rhodians who were the allies of the Byzantines．Cf． A．Schaef．，III．，Beilage III．，p．77．Cif．Introduction．－ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon l} \tau \mathrm{t}$ ，st． 8 $\tau$ и，in ease that，etc．－ov̌aas：G M T． 878,904 ；H．981， 929 ；G． 1579,1588 ；Cu．

 cf．G M T．830．－тథ̣̂．．．$\sigma u \mu \phi$ épav，but ulso from the fuct that it was to your advantage so to conduct affuirs as I have conducted them．－ка日＇ $\mathfrak{i \mu a ̀ s , ~ i n ~}$ your day．Not to be taken too literally，as the battle of Haliartus，men－ tioned below，occurred in 395 в．c．－テท̂ $\pi$ ó入єь：dat．agent w．$\pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ． －кal．．．кal．．．．трáттevv，for it is proper buth that a man in pricate and that a state in public should always endeavor to shape the future with respect to the most illustrious preccdents of the past．
§ 96．áprooraîs ：these were the notoriously unpopular governors placed by the Spartans，during their supremacy，over their dependencies．The state of things described here is recognized as existing immediately after the close of the Pelopron．War．For explanation of these historical allusions let the student refer to Grote＇s，Thirlwall＇s，or Smith＇s History，and to Thuc．，IV．66， 74 ；VIII． 95 ；Xen．Hellen．，II． 2.3 ；Plut．Lysand．，cap． 13，14．－àdas vírovs，other ishends，i．e．besides Eubuea and Ægina，as Lesbos，Samos，Melos．Cf．Xen．Hcllen．，II．2．5；3．6．It would seem more natural to have Al＇zuav come just before àias vingous．Many Elitt． read ràs äd alas viroous，wh．is interpreted either as an instance of the pleonastic use of of $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda o t=$ the rest，se．islands（but against this is the mention of Egina and Eubea already made），or as meaning all the remuin－ ing islands（but the Lacedemonians did not obtain control of nearly all）． W．accepts Dobræus＇s emendation，K $\hat{\epsilon} \omega$ ràs for $K \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu a ̀ s$ ，and objects to （＇leone as not being，like the rest，in the circle about Attica．－vave．．．$\tau \in(\mathrm{X} \eta$ ： the Athenian navy was limited to 12 ships，and the long walls were razed to the gromed．－Kópıtov：the Athenians joined an alliance of the Thebans， Corinthians，and Argives against Sparta．The allied forces were defeated in a battle fought near Corinth， 394 в．c．，wh．circumstance gave the name Corinthian to a feeble war that lasted eight years and was terminated by the peace of Antalcidas．－àv exóvt $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}=a \not v \nu$ cixov，might have bome many



the occupation of Decclea by the Lacedemonians, in 413 в. c., as a base of operations against Athens. Diss. calls attention to the admirable structure of this period: the participles at the beginning ( $\dot{\rho} \rho \chi \dot{\partial} \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \nu$, катє $\chi$ б́vt $\omega \nu$ ) describe the threatening situation (oй $\left.\tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \kappa i \nu \delta \nu \nu a\right)$, that at the end ( $\left.\dot{( } \chi \dot{\chi} \boldsymbol{\chi} \tau \tau \nu\right)$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$; while in the middle comes the principal sentence ( $\epsilon \xi \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ), wh. is thereby rendered less emphatic.
§97. $\pi$ ffas... $\tau \eta \rho \mathrm{\eta}$ : this passage has been much lauded by the ancient eritics. Lucian in his Encom. de Demosth. compares it with Iliad, XIII.
 and keep watch. Harpocr. makes oiкi $\sigma \kappa \varphi=\mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{\psi} \tau \iota \nu \iota$ oiкj́ $\mu a \tau \iota$. Didymus in Harpocr. renders it ópvi $\theta$ ot $\rho о \phi \epsilon i \varphi$, wh. may be the origin of the ludicrous interpretation of the Scholiast : "Man must die anyhow, even though he change his manner of life, and, fancying himself to be a bird, shut himself up in a bird-cage." - $\tau$ ov̀s áyaOoùs... $\tau \grave{\eta} v$ áya0ì̀v, men that are good, the hope that is good; generic use of the article. - $\pi \rho \circ \beta a \lambda \lambda o \mu$ évovs, casting before themselves, as a shield ; cf. § 301. Professor Tyler calls attention to the same figure in Ephesians, vi. 16.
§98. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ vitepol : the events referred to occurred some 40 years before the delivery of this speech. $-\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mathrm{t} \delta \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ : the unusual order of this sentence is occasioned by the emphatic position given to $\Lambda$ акє $\delta a \iota \mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ious. - $\Lambda$ єúkтроьs : cf. note § 18. - $\delta \mathbf{\delta \epsilon \kappa \kappa \kappa \lambda} \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma a \tau \epsilon$ : in 369 в. c. the Thebans invaded Lacedæmon and threatened the destruction of Sparta, but were hindered by an Athenian force sent out under Iphicrates, and finally compelled to retire from
 had done for whom you were about to incur danger.
§99. кal yáp: кai is used elliptically; and you did so, i. e. take no account of, etc. $\gamma$ áp is rpexegetical, introducing the fuller statement of

 in the account. - $\hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}=$ тoút $\omega \nu$ ä : cf. note § 89. - Eußolav: in 357 в. с. Eubrea was divided into two factions, one of wh. had called in the aid of the Thelans for overthrowing democratic institutions. By the aid of the Athenians the Thebans were driven out, and the old regime was re-
 ated on the horder between Attica and Brotia, had long been a bone of contention between these two states. In 366 B. c. Themison and Theodorus, the despots of Eretria, w. aid of the Thebans seizerl the place, wh. then helonged to Athens. The question of right of control came before a court of arbitration, and was decided in favor of Athens. But the Thebans declined to give up Oropus. Hence arose the famous $\delta i \kappa \eta \pi \epsilon \rho i{ }^{`} \Omega \rho \omega \pi o u ̂$; cf.

Diod．，XV． 76 ；Xen．Hellen．，VII．4．1．After Chæronea the possession of Oropus was guarauteed the Athenians by Philip．－teedovtŵv．．．тpı $\mathrm{X} \boldsymbol{\omega} \mathrm{v}$ ，voluntary tricrarchs，in addition to the regularly appointed trierarchs， who did not suffice for that year．On the office of the trierarchy vid．Dict Antiq．and note § 102．－oṽாఱ，sc．єimeĩ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．
§ 100．kai modifies the weaker idea of $\tau \delta \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a t$ in contrast w．the
 Euboea．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} . . . \mathbf{d} \pi \mathbf{0}$ o $o v ̂ v a l$, in that when you had become established as mas－ tcrs of their persons and their cities you restored these rightfully to those who had themselves done you injury．－ката⿱宀тdivтes ：government？Cf．H．
 except in $\Sigma, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{A}^{2}$ ，by $e^{2} \nu$ oits $\ell \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ，wh．is probably a gloss from

 however，not essential．The constr．$\sigma$ т $\rho a r e l a s ~ \pi o t e i o \theta a t ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho l a s ~ i s ~$ closely related to the constr．rô̂ Фшкє́as $\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma a c$ expressing purpose or ob－ ject，and has underlying it the idea of price or value．Cf．de F．L．，§76：$\pi \dot{a} \sigma^{\circ}$
 upon the periodic form of the whole statement concerning the foreign ad－ ministration of the orator as follows：＂He first speaks of what the honor of Athens required， $8 \S 62-72$ ；he next sets forth his own actions as being in accordance with the demands of that honor，$\S \S 73-94$ ；he concludes w．what Athens had done in time past honorable to herself，$\S \S 95-100$ ； thus uniting the whole topic in the one point of the honor of Athens．＂
 doliberation was in a certain sense in behalf of herself；in the cases cited it was in behalf of others．－$v \grave{\eta} \Delta l a$, yea verily；the ironical force is manifest．
 （c． 1397 ；Cu．§ 537．Some of the hest MSS．have ${ }^{2} \nu$ w． $\begin{gathered}\pi \tau \ell \chi \epsilon l \rho \eta \sigma a . ~ H o w ~\end{gathered}$ would this modify the clause？Cf．G MI T．245，506；G．1338，1421．3．－ $\lambda \delta \gamma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{v o v}$ ，to tarnish by word only；for it could be tarnished in no other way．$\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$ is in contrast w．épyov，and means simply by counselling．－$\epsilon \mathrm{i}$ $\eta$ そु
 order to these just mentioned．－$\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu$ av̂，once again．－ката入vónevov，in

 Aristoph．Plut．，377．There were four forms of the trierarchy．In its origi－ nal form every wealthy citizen was required to furnish the state one trireme． Throughout this earliest period，this duty was an object of ambition to the wealthiest citizens．Later，when the citizens were reduced in wealth，prob－
ably soon after the disastrous Sicilian expedition in 412 в. c., two were permitted to unite in a syntrierarchy. The system became gradually more inefficient, and in 357 в. с. came the third form. A law was enacted ace. to wh. the 1200 wealthiest citizens ( 120 fr . each tribe) were required to furnish and maintain the navy. These contributors ( $\sigma v v \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \bar{s}$ ), as they were called, were divided into 20 classes of 60 each, called $\sigma v \mu \mu o \rho i a \iota$, and these classes were subdivided into companies of 15 each, and each company (rvve' $\lambda \epsilon i a)$ had the charge of one triveme. (In § 104 it is stated that 16 were found in each company; Boeckh supposes the 16th to be a kind of overseer or chief of the company.) But, in order to make the system more efficient, there was formed a smaller board, composed of the 300 richest men selected from the 1200. These were called the $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\partial} \boldsymbol{v} \epsilon$ or $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma v \mu \mu \rho \iota \hat{\omega}$, and constituted a general committee of administration. They advanced money, let out the contracts, made the purchases, etc., and levied the tax equally upon all their copartners. By this system every contributor paid exactly the same amount of tax irrespective of the amount of his income. It is easily seen how the rich, by shrewd management in letting out the contracts and apportioning the tax, might exempt themselves from any payment, and how inefficient a navy thus provided must become. The reform in the trierarchal law was proposed by Dem. as $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \eta s$ tov дautккồ in 340 в. c., and consisted in assessing every citizen according to his wealth. One trireme was to be furnished by every 50 talents of property value, or by every 10 talents rated, the rate being one fifth of the whole. The maximum that could be claimed from any one was three triremes and a tender. Cf. Boekkh. Publ. Econ. Athen., Book IV. - àmod-入úovtas : so reads $\Sigma$; V. follows. All the other MSS. and Editt. (so far as I know) insert $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ b $\delta \tau \tau a$ before this partic. as its object. V. makes the
 et cires mediocres aut tenues perdere. This form of expression receives sup-

 want of promptuess.
§ 103. áywiva: Holmes joins this word first w. rpaфeis as a cognate accus. after passive const., and then again w. $\epsilon i \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o v$. But most Editt. regard $\gamma \rho a \phi e i s$ as used absolutely. The entire expression is best rendered : and having becn indicted I entered upon a trial of this kind (i. e. the same kind of trial as that wh. Ctesiphon is now undergoing, sc. rpaф力 $\pi a \rho a \nu \delta \dot{\mu} \omega \nu)$ before you and was acquittced. Cf. $\epsilon i \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu, \S 105$. " Dicitur, ut constat, el $\sigma \hat{\rho} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, elotéval et de reo et de actore aut accusatore." Diss. - $\tau \dot{\delta} \mu^{\prime} \rho \mathbf{\rho o s}$, sc. $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \tau \pi \nu$. The ordinal adj. is omitted, as liere, in $\$ \$ 222,250$; but it is expressed in $\$ 266$. Cf. note on árućvav-

тes，\＄82．－tov่s 反eutipovs kal tpitous，the second and third ranks，after
 －$\delta$ oठóval，attempted to give，i．e．offfred：an impf．de conatu．So édiסooav，
 best translate it，but otherwise，as it expresses the alternative to $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu$ ）

 der oath that one had the purpose to bring a $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \pi \operatorname{ma\rho avoj}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ against a decree or measure before the assembly for deliberation．The effect of this declaration was either to prevent the final vote by wh．a bill became a $\boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{s}$ ，or，in case the bill was already passed，to stay its operation until the complaint $\pi a \rho a v o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ had been tried and decided in the proper court． In the midst of this process the author of a bill could drop it and leave it under affidavit or protest．－кara $\beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ovta：the more usual reading is катавалоита，wh．is transl．by Kenn．，having entered it in the public register （i．e．$\epsilon_{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\psi} \mathbf{M} \eta r \rho \dot{\psi} \psi_{\psi}$, ，where the public archives were kept）．With this agree Bremi，Reiske，Holmes，et al．But this rendering is impossible w．our reading（pres．partic．），and one cannot let a bill go by default before it has been recorded．The transl．，dropping it to leave it under protest，seems most in harmony w．the context．This view is preferred by Wh．，Diss．， W．，V．，et al．
 w．aúroîs dependent on $\eta \nu . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho l \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$ is similar to our English，to grind the faccs of the poor．－$\mu$ uкpà кal ouvsiv：our Engl．idiom has a disjunctive particle，little or nothing．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\delta} \gamma \mathrm{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ónevov，the amount resulting by rate according to their property．－Titival depends gramm．on $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}, \text { but logically }}$ on the idea of $\sigma v \varepsilon \epsilon \beta \eta$ contained in $\bar{\eta}$. －$\delta v o i v$, sc．$\tau \rho \iota \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．This contri－ lution would come from one who possessed property to the value of 100 talents，or 20 talents of ratable value．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\mathrm{j}} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { s }}$ 䒑山今s，of the single one，that would have to be furnished in compliance with the old law．－$\delta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ，as I have

$\S$ 107．©oк $\omega$ ：the personal st．impers．const．，as often ；the 3 d plur．to be
 H． 964 ；G．1308． 2 ；Cu．§ 575.1 ．－тоv̂．．．$\pi$ оєєิv ：gen．price，expressing purpose ：for the sake of doing，to do．Notice how the order of the worls
 фeival ：composition？Transl．，to compromise，Kenn．；lit．to let slip in an underhend manner．－$\tau \oplus ิ \ldots \sigma \epsilon \mu v \boldsymbol{v}_{v} \mu a \mathrm{~L}$ ，pride myself upon or because of， w．dat．of cause ；but the verb is more commonly followed by $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi l$ ．－$\tau \underset{\sim}{\omega}$
 pronf of itself by actual expericnce．Cf．a similar expression in § 195．－
 of hostility fr. the renewal of the war in August, 340 в. c., to the battle of Chæronea in August, 338 в. c. -ixernpiav: the suppliant was wont to place a wreath or twig, usually of olive, wound about with woollen bands, upon the altar of the deity whose favor was sought. The Scholiast informs us that there was an altar to Artemis in Munychia, to wh. seamen and trierarchs used to repair to offer their supplications and make known their grievances. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha}$ árooto $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega v$, the naval board, wh. had ten members, whose duty it was to superintend the affairs of the $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau 0 \lambda o t$. - $\delta \delta 6 \theta \eta \mathrm{im}$ plies that they had the power of imprisonment. - ката入єффөî̃a, abandoned, because of its slowness or unseaworthiness ; $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon l \phi \theta \eta=$ left behind in port. - av̉rov̂, here, i. e. in the harbor of Peirreus, as opposed to $\epsilon \xi \omega=$ outside, at sea.
§ 108. 'ү'iүveto, were habitually occurring. - tò $\delta^{\prime}$ altoov, lit. and as regards the cause; in apposition w. the following sentence; similar are
 - tà̀ ádúvara, cases of inability, to furnish the required quota. - mávt'
 к. т. $\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}$ : the plur. expresses repeated instances of the renown, etc. $\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} k a v o v, ~ к . ~ \tau . ~ \dot{\epsilon} .: ~ " N o ~ s o r d i d ~ e n v y, ~ n o ~ r a n c o r, ~ n o ~ m a l i g n i t y . . . a n d ~ n o ~}^{\text {no }}$ meernness." Leland. For other instances of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa a v o s$, a favorite word w. Dem., cf. $\S \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}} 119,132,242,317$.

 the common interests of all the Greeks.
(c) §§ 110-119. The Question of Accountability. tolvuv, now then, to proceed. - т̀े belongs to the whole sentence introduced by ís, and is suhj. accus. of $\delta \delta \delta \eta \lambda \omega \omega \theta \theta a l$. Transl., the statement that, etc. - $\mu \mathrm{ol}$, dat. agent w. perf. pass. - тà $\mu$ évorá $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, the most important, with emphasis (from $\gamma \epsilon$ ) on most. The orator alludes to the last contest w. Philip,
 ponere. - ámoঠov̂val : why rather than סô̂var? - ómoíws, equally, all the same, as though I had made mention of my remaining measures, in wh. case $\mu \circ$ below is dat. of int. w. ím $\dot{\rho} \rho \chi \epsilon \nu$, exists for mc. Cf. § 39. So Diss., Bremi, Wh., Jacobs, and must Editt. But some prefer to join ómoiws more directly w. $\mu \mathrm{o}$, in the sense of equally with me. Transl., nevertheless the consciousness of these facts exists as my witness in each of your hearts.

 miscendo, V.; das Oberste and Unterste durch einander rührend, Jacobs; Holmes paraphrases it, in a bewildered medley. - тараүєүрapци́vovv vópшv:
 umns the laws and the proposed measures that were attacked as contraven-
 tion of financial affairs.

 סjocus (patriotic donations) ef. Smith's Dict. Antiq." Holmes. - tî̀v èvvéa apxóvav : these are mentioned as being subject to the most rigid scrutiny for the discharge of their official duties. - $\phi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{6} \delta \omega \rho o v$, munificent, generous. $\phi \cdot \lambda 0$ in composition is active when it is the first, but passive when it is the second part of the compound ; e. g. $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi=s=l o v i n g ~ m a n, ~ \theta \epsilon \delta \phi \iota \lambda o s$ $=$ beloved of God. So $\phi i \lambda o ́ \delta \omega \rho o s=$ fond of giving. - тov̀s $\sigma u k o \phi a ́ v \tau a s, ~$ and to bring him before the Pettifoggers and to appoint these to audit his donations. The art. rovis is used to point out an analogy to eis rovis dorı$\sigma \tau$ ás as the regular commission or board for auditing accounts. The opprobrious term $\sigma u \kappa o \phi a v i n s$ was applied to a class of men who made it their business to play the spy upon their fellow-citizens, in order that by threatening an accusation they might extort "hush-money" from violators of law, or levy "black-mail" upon innocent persons. For the etymology of the term ef. note on $\sigma u k 0 \phi a \nu r i a s$, § 95 . But L. and Sc. in the 6th Oxford Edit. prefer the following, wh. seems to point directly to the actual use of the word: "one who brings figs to light by shaking the tree; hence one who mukes rich men yield up their fruit by informations, and other vile arts." The comic poets, particularly Aristoph. (cf. Acharn., 818-828), hold this class of men up to contempt and ridicule. The term has been variously rendered : pettifogger, purtisan, slanderor, informer. This and the following sections contain the áфuктos $\lambda$ óyos of the orator, as Æsch. calls it. Its fallacy, however, is apparent. Dem. skilfully avoids the real issue. The question was not whether Dem. should first give account of his donations, but of his administration; for such an account must first prove what he had thus far simply asserted, viz. that he had made bona fide donations, and not stolen fr. the state with one hand what with the other he had given as a pretended donation. To be sure, when this speech was delivered this point was fully proved ; the accounts of Dem. had long ago been audited and approved; but at the time Esch. made his complaint (some 7 years before) the charge of illegality on this seore was technically just.
 moncy. éniotosuat $=$ lit. to give in addition to the amount due. The author of the Lives of the Ten Orators (Pseudo Plut., p. 846) places this
 qृuoted fr. the proposal of Cles. The common reading inserts $\dot{\eta} \beta o u \lambda \eta \dot{\eta}$ as
 Esch. did not accuse the Senate, but Ctes. only. Observe that Dem.

 of those things for which I was held responsible, but for what donations
 money expended; this was, acc. to Esch. in the passage cited below, in addition to the 10 talents received fr . the state, the sum of 100 minæ fr . his own purse; but ace. to Lives of the Ten Oratt., p. 851, this sum was 3 talents. - $\mathbf{\delta 8}$ : i. e. Ctesiphon. The demonstrative $\mathbf{\iota}$ has the deictic force $=$ here present. So oútofl below.
§ 114. $\boldsymbol{H} \theta \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \mathrm{v}$, in vestris quidem moribus. Diss. "It was in their very natures not to require such a thing" (Larned), se. as to give account of donations. Reiske, Schaef., Dind., prefer the reading $\begin{aligned} & \theta \in \sigma \omega \nu \\ & =\text { customs, }\end{aligned}$ practices, as opposed to $\nu \delta \mu o$ oss. Cf. § 275 ; Isocr. Paneyyr., § 152 : $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 to aid the Phocians in 352 в. с. Cf. Diod. XVI. 37. - © © te: some Editt. read $\partial \tau \iota$; but both the idea of time and of cause may be expressed by $\delta \tau \epsilon$, and, while cause is natural as corresponding to $\begin{gathered} \\ \phi \\ \\ \\ \\ \text { ois above, time seems }\end{gathered}$
 the Ten Oratt., p. 844, he is called one of the leaders of the people, and in Arrian Aucb., I. 10. 4, he is named as one of the statesmen whose surrender Alexander demanded. - Xap $\delta \eta \mu$ os: a brave soldier, leader of a mercenary force, who rendered the Athenians great service in their Thracian expeditions. Dem., in his Orat. c. Aristocr., §§ 151, 185, 188, speaks of his receiving a crown. - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \phi$ 中avoûvтo : why this change of tense? Drake supposes that the perfect (é $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{\partial} \nu \omega \tau a \iota, \tau \epsilon \tau i \mu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ ) denotes that Nausicles and Neoptolemus were still living, the imperfect ( $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu o u v v \tau o) ~ t h a t ~ D i o t i-~$ mus and Charidemus were dead. But this supposition seems true only in case of Neoptolemus; at least of the others it is not known whether they were alive or dead. V. suggests that the perf. represents the act as completed, the impf. as customary. - Nєorró $\lambda \epsilon \mu$ os : the rich man referred to

 what forms would be required in the conditional to correspond to the consequent clause? How would this change affect the sense? - viфkfet, shall subjoin accounts, the prep. ind denoting under or below; here in the sense of at the foot of his list of donations. The orator aims to show here that usage was on his side. The precedents he cites may have been exactly in print ; but unless they were, his argument fails in so far as he does not prove, but simply assumes, that these men received their honors before they had rendered their account.
§ 115. rov́rous : dat. int. w. $\gamma \in \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \hat{v} \nu a$ : the rery decrees passed in honor of these men.
§ 117. ois: supply roúrwy as antecedent in the same constr. as $\tau \hat{\eta} s$
 looks back to oùx $\dot{u} \pi \in \dot{v} \theta u v o s ~ j u s t ~ p r e c e d i n g, ~ a n d ~ i m p l i e s ~ a n ~ i n t e r r o g a t o r y, ~$
 same rights $w$. reference to the same things as the rest of mankind. The sarcasm of this truism need hardly be pointed out. - kie $6 \omega \mathrm{\omega} a$ : the punetuation varies in diff. Editt., most placing a colon here and after $\bar{\eta} \rho \chi o \nu$ and $\eta_{\rho} \xi a$. But to put these statements as suppositions in the interrogatory form seems very suitable to the idea. Have I given donations? (very well), for this I am praised, etc. The same is true of $\$ \$ 198,274$. - ouk
 "The simple verb may follow after the more complete form." V. - $\delta$ éwiwa: this was true at the time he said it, but not when the suit was first brought, $\overline{7}$ years before. - vì $\Delta l^{\prime}$, à $\lambda \lambda$ ', yea, verily, but did I discharge my official duties in un unjust manner? A more forcible form of introducing an objection than the more usual á $\lambda \lambda$ : $\nu \dot{\eta} \Delta \dot{\prime} \dot{a}$. - Eira gives a certain degree of
 W. understands this, not of learli.. $\quad$ i.to court to answer charges of unsatisfactory accomints, as Diss. seel.e: 11, ake it, but of the presentation of the accounts already audited and al 1 roved by the Logistie to the general court for confirmation ; at this time any citizen might bring in a complaint for malfeasance or fraud, in response to the customary invitation : tis кarךүopeì ßoúleтal; Cf. Meier and Schöm., p. 103. - ov̉ кarךץópets: most Editt. punctuate, as this, with a mark of interrogation, but translate as if $\tau i$ or $\delta \dot{\alpha} \tau i=u \cdot h y$ ? preceded. Voem. objects to this punctuation on the ground that thus we get the opposite sense (did you not accuse me? meaning yes) to what is intended. But this is not necessarily the sense. The statement receives a sarcastic force from the interrogative form : you did not uccuse me? Doubtless, the orator's tone of voice more clearly indicated this sareasm, as also in the preceding $\nu \dot{n} \Delta l^{\prime} \ldots \bar{j} \rho \xi^{\xi} a$. Cf. Dem. de F. $L$., § 25 :


 ros à oủk éypaquavo, for by those parts of the preliminary resolution which he has not indided it will be clearly proved that he is malicious in those which he does attack:" Wh. To make the attack upon a part and not upon the whole of this transaction is a proof of malieious intent.
§ 119. фทбเv ท $\beta$ oviŋ : the Senate had adopted the proposal of Ctes.

crime or charge. - oux $\delta$ रowôtos: the art. $\delta$ is used generically, to desig. nate one of a class, not such an one? The orator is fond of winding up a division of his speech by some such sharp appeal as this. Cf. $\S \S 52,101$; de F. L., 66. In comparing the parallel argument of Esch. we notice an anticipation of one point wh. Dem. does not touch upon, sc. that his office does not fall within the intent of the law of accountability, since it is not a regular magistracy ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi_{\dot{\eta})}$. From the silence of Dem. we must infer either that Esch. inserted this argument in the later edition of his oration, or that Dem. judged it unwise to attempt any refutation. The answer of Dem. to this second count of the indictment is generally regarded as unsatisfactory, at least when taken from a strictly legal standpoint. How far the law requiring the incumbent of an office to give in the account of his administration prior to his receiving any public honor may have become obsolete, it is impossible to say ; that it had often been disregarded before, seems quite probable from what we know of the irregular and imperfect character of Athenian administration. And hence Dem. may have felt it quite safe to leave this point of the case out of view, as likely to be overshadowed by the argument showing the unreasonableness of being obliged to give an account of one's donations to the public service.
(d) $\S \$ 120-122$. The Place of Proclamation. $\mu v p l a ́ k i s ~ \mu v p o o u s: ~$ a rhetorical exaggeration ; yet not so great as might be, judging fr. the


 fuisse, ut ctiam exterorum quam plurimi coronatos cives et urbem talium civium matrem admirarentur, Demosthenis ingenium agnoscas in eo, quod pulchriorem interpretationem proponit." Diss. The same motive is appealed to by Esch. c. Ctes., § 177 ff., and by Lycurg. c. Leocr., § 10.

 upon the third count of the indietment may be summed up thus: (1) Æsch. claims that the place of proclamation is fixed by law as follows: crowns awarded (a) by the people must be proclaimed in the Ecclesia ; (b) by the Senate, in the Bouleuterion ; (c) by the committee of the deme or tribe, in the deme or tribe meeting. (2) Dem. claims that the proclamation of any crown may be made in the theatre on the vote of the people or of the Senate. (3) Æsch., anticipating this statement, argues that the proclamation in the theatre under special vote applies only to the crowns bestowed upon Athenian citizens by foreign states. As the claim of neither can be confirmed by genuine proofs, we are mable to decide this disputed point. Most crities hold that Esch. is here also, as in the matter of accountabil-
ity，technically in the right ；yet that it is manifestly improbable that the proposal of Ctes．would have been accepted by the Senate，had not usaye been on his side．But Westermann and others call in question the truth－ fulness of Esch．representation，that the Athenian people had voluntarily and wholly cut themselves off from the privilege of proclaiming in the theatre the honors they themselves conferred，while permitting this very thing to be done in the case of crowns awarded by foreigners；and they believe Esch．guilty either of perverting the so－called Dionysiac law，or of sup－ pressing that most essential clause cited by Dem．This opinion finds sup－ port in the language of Dem．：v＇$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ this again is the very charge Esch．brings against Dem．（Cf．infra：$\chi \rho \eta^{\prime}$－

 Editt．read eiodyov．Ordinarily，what is the diff．between the partic． and the intin．after aiఠðи́voual？Cf．G M T．903． 1 ；H． 986 ；G．1580， 1581 ；Cu．§594．A similar mingling of partic．and infin．without any apparent diff．in the sense，occurs in Xen．Cyrop．，V．1，21．22．Transl．， you are not even prevented through shame from introducing，and you are not ashumed to alter and to garble．－中Obvov：genit．cause，denoting the motive．－ $\mathbf{a} \delta ı \kappa \neq \mu a \tau o s$, for any misdeed；genit．cause，denoting the charge or crime．
§ 122．T仑̂ $\delta \eta \mu$ отьк巛̂：a sarcastic allusion to the words of Esch．given
 $\lambda \delta \gamma \varphi$, by description or definition，as opposed to $\pi \rho \dot{\gamma} \gamma \mu a \sigma \epsilon \ldots \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$ ，and in allusion to the terms of the $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ ．Some render it incorrectly，by

 accus．absol．Cf．§ 276 ；G M T． 853 ；H． 974 ；G． 1570 ；Cu．§ 588．－
 unmentionable．＂Wh．＂Billingsgate，as the Londoners would say．＂ Kenn．－$\dot{a} \mu \dot{\alpha} \tilde{\xi} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ：in allusion to the custom of the Athenians to revile and lanter one another in most unbecoming language，while they were riding in open carts or wagons at the celebration of the Anthesterian festival，par－ ticularly on the second day，the Xbes．Vid．Dict．Autiq．Dionysia．Cf． note on $\pi$ oureias，§ 11．This same practice was in vogue among the Athe－ nian women at the Thesmophoria．Cf．Creuzer＇s Symbol．，IV． 462.
（e）$\S \$ 123-125$ ．Transition to the Third Division of the Oba－ tion．kal tov̂ro，this a＇so，se．let me say，in addition to the statement in－ troduced by kal $\beta$ oậs above．－Kxev，has to do with，involves．－入otסoplav $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu(a s, \kappa . \tau . \varepsilon$ ，but calumny involves defamations，which foes chance to utter against one another in hurmony with their own proper spirit．Cf．
note on § 10. With this of. Cie. pro Cal., 3. 6 : "Sed aliud est maledicere, aliud accusare. Accusatio crimen desiderat, rem ut definiat, hominem ut notet, argumento probet, teste confirmet ; maledictio autem nihil habet propositi preter contumeliam." - vireֹ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \phi \mathbf{a}$ : we say in Engl., I tuke it that, expressing an opinion resulting from previous reflection. - àmò
 things forbidden, hence disyraceful scamdals. Dem. has special reference to the standalons personalities of £sch., $\S 171 \mathrm{ff}$.

 sonalities. - Aartov, к. т. £., is he justly to come off with less, i. e. than he gave. - $\phi \hat{\mathrm{y}}$, why the suhj. ? Cf. G M T. 287, 289 ; H. 866, 3 c ; G. 1358,
 Athemian citizens. - $\boldsymbol{e l} \pi \epsilon \rho \dagger \delta \delta$ kovv : if in fact I did wrong, as by the form of the condition he assumes. - EÉnelres, you repcatedly neglected to do so,

 i. e. wh. elapsed since the accusation was first made, nearly 8 years. - тที $\pi \rho o \theta \sigma \sigma \mu(\alpha$, by the statute of limitation. Meier and Schöm. Att. Proc., p. 636, state that 5 years was the limit allowed for bringing prosecutions in cases of indebtedness, recovery of estates, etc. The $\gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho a \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ could be brought against the author of a decree only within the limit of a year after its proposal ; later than this, the decree could still be attacked, but not its author. Ctesiphon, whom Dem. represents, was therefore $\dot{\alpha} \theta$ чैos
 prosecutions by Diondas (\$222), and ly Patrocles for the trierarchical law
 or less in the reputation connected with my public transuctions, there hure you encountered me? The enthymeme contained in this section may be stated thus: Esch. professes to be the memy of Dem.; but his prosecution is so conducted that it can result only in injury to the state ; ergo, he is the enemy of Dem. only in name, but of the state in fict. The student will recollect that this same charge of being dishonest as an accuser was made by Dem. against his rival at the outset of his spreech ( $\$ 812-16$ ). There, as here, this charge rests on the fact that Esch. prosecuted so long after the alleged crimes had been committed, that now they could not be properly punished even if provell; but there the conduct of Esch. is explained as due to personal hatred, here as influenced by a purpose to injure the state. With this sharp reproach, the orator concludes what may lee regarded as his defence, and proceeds to the attack.

## THIRD DIVISION OF THE ORATION.

88 126-323. Strictures on the Personal Character and Public Career of Eschines, and Review of his own General Policy. (a) §§ 126 -131. Personal Character of Eschines as an Oratok and A Man. (b) §sis $132-323$. The Career of Eschines as a Citizen and Statesman contrasted with thay of Demosthenes.
(a) 8 86-131. Sefenctal, has been indicated to all, sc. by his preceding arguments. The quiet assurance of the orator, as if certain of his case, probably suggested to the ancient critics the idea that a burst of applause followed his last sentence, wh. he interpreted as a clear proof of his acquittal. We may well believe that the orator had more convincing, if less palpable, tokens than this of his power over his auditors. - $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ e introduces an anacoluthon. The apodosis that would regularly follow $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$ тoivvv... $\delta \in \delta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau a l$, is suppressed under the influence of passion. (Cf. Hermog. Hépl 'I $\delta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, II. p. 342.) V. supposes that the orator, had he spoken calmly,
 $\delta \hat{\varepsilon}, \tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau o v \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\omega}$. But it is only after a vehement outburst of passion (in $\$ \S 127,128$ ), called forth by the invective of $玉$ sch., that the orator proceeds (in § 129) to speak of the personal history of his opponent, without regard to the structure of his previous period. - $\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} a \mathrm{~s}$ should regularly come after eip $\eta \mu$ evas, but is placed before it for the sake of rhetorical

 selves, alone. Cf. § 168 . As Esch. before occasioned the self-laudation of Dem., so now he is blamed for the personal invective uttered by his rival. We could wish that Dem. had magnanimously refused to follow the example of Esch. in this regard. - $\tau i v \omega \nu=\epsilon^{2} \kappa \tau i \nu \omega \nu$. - $\delta$ tacúpet : Cf. note on $\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma v \rho \epsilon, \S 27$. - $\mu$ erpiav has the same sense here as in § 10.
§ 127. Alakòs, к. $\tau_{0} \mathcal{E}_{0}$ : the three judges in Hades, who were regarded as models of stern and inflexible justice. - $\sigma \pi \epsilon p \mu \mathrm{\lambda}$ б́yos: Deriv.? For the




 Holyes. The Athenians applied the same epithet to the Apostle Paul, Acts xvii. 18. - тєрiтрццца áyopâs, a hack of the market ; this term answers very nearly to our police-court pettifogger. - $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{2} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ pos: the subst. used as an


ठ $\lambda$ '́ $\theta \rho o u$ Maкébvos, Phil., 1II. 31. Æsch. had been at one time the clerk of some of the petty magistrates. (f. § 261 . - iv $\tau \rho a \gamma \varphi \delta i a$ : Cf. note on

 no one of those who have really enjoyed it (गेs) would use any such expression concerning himself. The relat. clause $\hat{y} s . . . \tau \epsilon \tau v \chi \eta \kappa o ́ r \omega \nu$ performs the function of connecting this and the preceding sentence. - кג̈v évépov $\lambda$ ह́yovtos: give the equivalent conditional clause in Greek to correspond to $\dot{\epsilon} \rho u \theta \rho \alpha^{-}$
 deauoliolas, through stupidity; aus Blödsinn, Jacobs; to be joined w. $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi o \iota o v \mu$ evocs. They are simpletons who suppose that they can pass for
 тो... $\delta$ oкєiv, subj. of $\pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$. Transl. : there results that they cause those who hear to be in pain, whenever they spcuk, (but) not that they appear to be such persons (as they pretend). rooovious is attracted fr. the accus. in the

§ 129. тov̂ = $\tau$ lvos : how governed ? - Tpó $\mu \eta$ s : the Schol. thinks the father of Esch. may have had the position of assistant in the school of Elpias. In de F. L., § 249, Dem. speaks of Tromes as himself $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ үра́циата. Cf. A. Schaef. I. p. 191. - xoivıкаร...छúdov, stocks, leg-irons, ...wooden collar. These were instruments of torture to punish refractory
 nificatur igitur imprimis flagitiosa vita, que ne noctis quidem tenebris se occultaret." Diss. In regard to these reproachful personalities we remark substantially w. Schaef. I. p. 197 ff. : from Dem. de F. L., $\S \S 200,249,281$, it appears that the mother of $\not \subset s c h$. was of Athenian hirth, and a priestess, but guilty of gross conduct in the discharge of her priestly office. The representation, therefore, of Dem. is, to say the least, exaggerated. These disgusting persomalities have much the same chararter as the personal sative of comedy. To arrive at the degree of their truthfulness we must sift them of all intentional exaggeration, and view them in somewhat the same light as the mockery and satire wh. Aristophanes heaped upon Cleon, Euripides, and Socrates. What we find therein to censure, we must charge to the account, not so much of the individual orator, as of the perverted taste that took delight in the utmost license of speech upon the bema no
 mites, i. e. near his statue. Commentators have generally understood this as referring to the same locality designated in de F. L., § 249 , as $\pi \rho \partial{ }^{2} \tau \hat{\psi}$ rov̂ $\eta \rho \omega$ rov̂ iarpov̂, and Voemel and Westermann have identified this Herophysician with the Scythian named Toxaris, who is mentioned by Lucian, Seyth. I. This Toxaris, acc. to Lucian, lived in Athens as the friend of

Solon, was buried in the Ceramicus, and subsequently deified and worshipped as the "Stranger-physician," in the belief that through advice given by his spirit the plague was stayed in Athens. The true explanation of Ka入auitns (wh. has been made to mean by some probe-man or surgeon, by others reed-man, as the patron of flogging schoolmasters!) has been found, doubtless, by Professor Goodwin, whose interpretation we take from Vol. IV. Transactions of the Amer. Philol. Assoc., 1873. "His monument [se. the physician's] existed in a mutilated state in Lucian's time, representing a Seythian bowman with a strung bow in one hand and a book in the other. Now калацiт ${ }^{\text {s }}$ can mean bowman (or, more exactly, arrowman), as ка́入auos very often means an arrow of reed. It will then be simply an equivalent for Scythian, and it will be remembered that the police of Athens were called both $\sum_{k} \dot{\theta} \theta a \iota$ and roǧrac." This monument was a relic of antiquity even in the time of Dem., and he refers to it as marking a well-known locality. Recent discoveries seem to place it not far from the Theseum. - т̀̀v kà̀̀̀ $\mathbf{a ́ v}$ ©ptávia, the handsome puppet. Acc. to Diss., a sarcastic allusion to the fine figure of Esch., and to his repose of mamer in

§ 130. тav̂ta, i. e. relating to his parentage. - ov̇ठé $\gamma \dot{a} p \ldots \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ кarapârau : none of the various explanations of this sentence, wh. I give in the order of my preference, seems wholly satisfactory. (1) Join the sentence closely to éá $\sigma \omega$ : I disdain to speak more about his parentage, for that was not his parentage to which he laid claim by a happy circumstance (this circumstance is the manceuvre by wh. he became the child of apparently resprectable Athenian citizens, and is described in óqè $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau$. $\dot{\epsilon}$., below), but his family was such as the people curse, i. e. slaves by descent, who had managed to creep into citizenship. The presumption is that such characters were included in the curse pronounced by the herald in opening the meeting of the Assembly. (2) Quite a large number of critics take $\dot{\omega}^{\nu}$
 spring from ordinary people, but from those, ete. (3) V. understands $\hat{\eta}^{\nu} \nu$ not of descent, but of belonging to as a class; neque enim unus ex iis crat, quorum erat casu, sed ex iis, etc. The sense, acc. to this view, is that while Esch. was of low parentage by chance, he was of base and accursed companionship by choice. Cf. $\S \S 282,297$. (4) Diss. takes $\dot{\omega} \nu$ and ois as neuter and $\AA \beta \epsilon \beta i \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ as subj. of $\eta \nu$, and reads : for his acts were not of
 is quite recent - recent, do I say? nay, ( $\mu \grave{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{\nu}$ oûv) yesterday only or the day before. - Tрópŋros, Tromes = the trembler, would be a fitting name for a slave; Atrometus $=$ the dauntless, has a superior ring to it. $-\Gamma \lambda a u k o$ ofav : Apollonius says in the biography of Esch. that his mother was named by
some Г入aukis ；add to this that Æsch．（de F．L．，§ 78），speaks of his mother＇s brother as $\Gamma$ خav̂кos，and we may fairly infer that her real name was Glaucis， wh．was lengthened to do honor to the superior station of her son．So Lucian represents the old cobbler Simon，when he had become rich，length－ ening his name to Simonides．－＂E $\mu$ rovadav was the name of a goblin wh． hat the ability to assume all sorts of shapes．Aristoph．Ran．， 288 ff ．， deseribes this monster．
§ 131． $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{k}$ ：＂instead of；as coming out of one state into the other．The illiont is very common．Cf．Soph．O．T．， 454 ；Antig．，1093，etc．＂Holmes．


 ironical．Cf．§ 22．Point out the antithesis between this and the next sentence．As in the opening of his speech the orator defended himself first against the attacks upon his private life，so here he has directed his attack first upon the private life of Esch．Since he treats this topic afterward at greater length，it seems as if it were introduced here for the sake of giv－ ing unity and symmetry to the structure of the oration．
（b）$\$ \S 132-323$ ．I．$\S \$ 132-139$ ．The Suspicious Condect of Eschines in Relation to Antiphon，to Python，and to Anaxinus． ảmo廿ๆфь⿴囗́vía，disfranchised．In 346 в．с．，a general examination（ $\delta \alpha \alpha$－ $\psi \eta$ nो $\sigma$（s）of the registries of citizens took place for the purpose of testing the validity of the claim to citizenship．Among many others，Antiphon was stricken from the list（ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \psi \eta \phi i \xi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ）．In revenge for this disgrace he offered his services to Philip．－$\delta v \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \beta$ óvros，$\kappa$ ．$\tau$ ．$\dot{\varepsilon}$ ．：the constr．of this
 without a warrant．＂An Athenian＇s house，like an Englishman＇s，appears to have been his castle wh．could not be entered without legal warrant for that purpose，wh．Dem．did not in this case possess．＂Wh．Cf．Meier and Schöm．Att．Proc．，p． 588.
 right in cases of extreme danger，such as conspiracies against the state，at least to order arrest and to institute legal examination．When Antiphon was discharged by the Assembly，the Areopagus arrested him again and de－ livered him up for trial to the Heliastic court．Cf．Meier and Schöm．Att． Proc．，P．344．－iv ov̉ Ś́ovil，at an inopportune，ill－fimed juncture．－кal тो $\delta \mathbf{i} \eta \boldsymbol{\nu}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{o}}$ т． E ，and slipping through the hands of justice，would have been sent out of the way by this fine－spoken gentleman．－$\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \beta \lambda \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma} a v \tau \epsilon s:$ tor－ ture was applied by the Athenians either for extorting testimony or as a means of punishment ；to slaves without any preliminary legal process，but to citizens ouly on the special decree of the Assembly．
§ 134. Gúvסీкov, advocate. - vimèp rov̂ ípov̂ : the Athenians had long contended w. the Delians for the control of the sanctuary of Apollo on the island of Delos. In 343 в. c. the Delians brought the affair for decision before the Amphictyonic council. The patriotic party at Athens, desiring greatly the election of an anti-Macedonian as advocate (since Philip had great influence in the council), contrived to transfer the election from Esch. to Hyperides in the manner deseribed. - $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \in \lambda_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{z}}$ : so read Dind., Bekk., Lipsius, after the conjecture of Wolff, contrary to the MSS. wh. have $\pi \rho о \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$. $\quad \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \iota \epsilon \bar{i} \sigma \theta a \iota=$ to take to one's self in addition; hence, you Fud associated with you also that body (кáккivŋ $\nu$ ) in addition; i. e. the Senate and Assembly invited the Areopasus to co-operate with them; otherwise, the Areopagus would have had no right to rescind the vote by wh. Esch. had been elected. $\pi \rho o a \iota \rho \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota=$ to choose before or instend of; hence, you had selected it to act for you, i. e. delegated it. The chief difficulty of the latter reading lies in кai (in ка.кєiข $\nu \nu$ ), wh. is then best joined w. what follows. - ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathbf{Y \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{f}(\delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$ : one of the ten Athenian orators, and a prominent anti-Macedonian leader. Persuaded by his much-lauded $\lambda$ óros $\Delta \eta$ -入takós (cf. Sauppe, II. 285 ff .), fragments of wh. only remain, the council decided in favor of the Athenians. - a $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{0}$ : the most solemn method of voting was to place the ballots ( $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o t$ ) upon the altar for consecration preparatory to depositing them. The earliest instance of this
 $\delta \epsilon \omega \nu o s \tau \hat{\varphi} \beta \omega \mu \hat{\varphi}$
§ 135. $\mu \hat{e} \lambda \lambda$ ovros $\lambda$ éyetv is the reading of $\Sigma$ as corrected by a later hand (the original being partly erased), and of most MSS. V. and Dind. read $\lambda$ érovros, wh. Holmes takes as an impf. and strangely renders: "when he vas going to speak. The genit. absol. constr., st. toûtov $\mu \hat{e} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \alpha ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ obj. of $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda a \sigma \epsilon \nu$, gives more prominence to this clause. Cf. Xen. Anab., 1. 4,12 ; II. 24 ; Thuc., I. 114. - ouvkov̂v: the force of oủk and oủv is clearly noticeable here : when, therefore,...then it showed him to be, etc. (did it not?).
§ 136. "Ev $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu, \kappa$. т. $\hat{\varepsilon}^{\circ}$, This one transaction, therefore, of this fine young follow was of such a nature ; similar of course, for why not? to those, ete. ëv as opposed to ërepov below. - veaviou refers uot to age, for Æsch. was at this time more than 45 years old, but to the arrogance and violence of youth. - Пúewva : a noted orator who served in 343 в. c. as the advocate of Philip in an embassy to Athens, in relation to the maintenance of the peace of Philocrates. All the information we have concerning Python's sprech on the subject before us is found in the oration on the Halonnesns ( $\$ \S 18-23$ ). This oration was formerly attributed to Dem., but is now believed to have been written and delivered by Hegesippus, a coadjutor of

Dem．on this nccasion．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \hat{\omega}$ péovtl，in the full torrent of speech．peiv is often usell of specech．Su in Aristoph．Eq．，526， 527 ；Hor．，Sat．，I．7， 28，hás＂sulso multoque fluenti．＂
§ 137．$\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тav̂日＇v̈бтєpov：thereupon（relative time），later（absolute time）．－＇Avakive：a Enboean who came to Athens about 340 b．c．，proba－ hy while the preparations for the expedition to Oreus were in prog－ ress（cf．§ 79 ），ostensibly to make purchases for Olympias，the queen of Philip．Dem．pays no attention to the reproach of his rival ：cf．Aesch． c．Ctes．$\S \S 223,224$ ．－$\mu$ óvos $\mu \mathbf{\mu} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ ：the skilful collocation of the words in this sentence brings out the sense very forcibly．
§ 138．kal үàp：an instance of the frequent elliptical use of kai．－

 play upon words of similar sound．－$\epsilon$ ls $\alpha_{k} \beta \iota \beta \hat{\eta} \mu \nu \eta \mu \eta v$ ，these things are not trecrsured up by you for accurate remembrance；the $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is indicates the
 the constr．cf．H． 995 ；G． 1037 ；Cu．§ 597 ．－ivoorke入iţetv ：a colloquial word．Deriv．？－ávta入入atrónevoo，bartering away in cxchange for．Where
 ment is expressed in a less vigorous way in Phil．，III．，§ 55 ：$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ кai $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$


 renewal of hostilities w．Philip after the dissolution of the peace，in 340 в．c．

 alludes to the invasion of Locris， 339 B．C．，when Philip seized Elatea （ef．$\S 169$ ）；before this no direct mareh upon Attica had been attempted by Philip．－مárкavos：in what other connections has this word been used ？ －Lapßeเoypáфos，writer of iambics；a contemptuous allusion to Æsch．as a poetaster and playwright．The older Editt．read ia $\mu \beta \epsilon \iota o p a ́ \gamma o s=i a m b i c$ cater，i．e．mouther of iambics，referring to his bad recitation of iambics as
 $\mu: \sigma \mu a)$ do not so well harmonize．Besides，V．has shown that la $\mu \beta \epsilon \iota \frac{\gamma \rho \alpha-}{}$申os is the true reading of $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ ，and cites as corroborative testimony Æsch．


 party to a suit had a given portion of time allotted him，wh．was measured by the water－clock（ $\kappa \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \psi v \delta \rho a$ ，ef．Dict．Antiq．）．To offer an opponent the oppertunity to speak＂in one＇s water＂was to challenge all contradiction．

This phrase explains the joke at the sobriety of Dem., sc. that other men spoke by water, he composed by it. - av̇ròv : subj. of some such word as
 $\tau a$ : the particc. have a strong causal force here. - mapdे $\tau a \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$, contrary te these. Is there any escape from the dilemma of the alternative here presented ; if so, what ?
II. $\$ 8$ 140-159. The Pait which Eschines played, as the: Hireling of Philip, in provoking the Amphissian War. $\omega \boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi \pi \rho$

 contrasted w. èv $\delta^{\prime}$. - $k \pi$ egetpyáaro: what is the force of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ in composi-

 reverse order would be more natural : the Locrians (generic), sc. the Amphissiuns (specifie). Amphissa belonged to the Ozolian Locrians. - To, this afficir, i. e. the one alluded to above in $\varepsilon^{\nu} \nu \delta^{\prime}$, к. r. $\dot{\epsilon}$. This demonstrative pronominal use of the article, belonging originally to the Epic dialect, is occasionally found in the prose as well as the poetry of the best Attic period. Cf. Plato Phowd., 87 e ; Soph. Trachin., 1172. See Kühner's Gramm., \& 247.3. - ikvi中n, wash off. The metaphor is familiar to all languages:

> "Will all great Neptune's ocean wash this blood Clean from my hand ""
> Shakespeare, Macbeth, II. 2.
oűto is the emphatic word. However much you may say, that you will not accomplish.
§ 141. kal, and cspecially. This solemn invocation, wh. reminds us of § 8, the orator justifies in the next paragraph. The divinity invoked is Apollo, because he is the one most directly concerned in the transactions that are now to be discussed. - пarpêós: "The ancient Attic king Ion was called the son of the Pythian Apollo, probably in consequence of the Ionians having adopted the worship of that deity from the Dorians, and the Athenians had jarpqua Ovoial at Delphi." Wh. But this opinion that the worship of Apollo was derived fr. the Dorians is now generally discredited; on the contrary, the appellation $\pi a \tau \rho \hat{\varphi} o s$ harmonizes $w$. the belief that the Apollo worship was fr. the first peculiar to the Ionians. - cl...cl-
 Cf. GM T. 508, $509 ;$ H. 901 c. - каl тót', and if I did speak it, right at the very moment when.- $\pi \rho o \mathbf{s}$, with a view to; st. for the suke of. ávóvŋтóv $\mu \mathrm{m}$ тotîбal, to render me destitute of the enjoyment of any good.
§ 142. Th oiv, K. T. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon}$., Why then huve I mute these so grave impreations

 the acquittal of Esch. on the famous trial ( $343 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{c}$.) for his violation of oath and neglect of duty as envoy to Philip concerning the peace. Cf. § 35.
§ 143. Tòv... $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\circ} \boldsymbol{v}$ : the circumstances that led to this war, sometimes called the "Third Sacred War," are given in the subjoined extract from the speech of Esch. In citing this passage there is omitted, as unimportant to the question at issue, the story how this Cirrhæan plain came to be consecrated (to wh. allusion is made by Dem. in the words $\lambda$ órous $\epsilon \dot{u} \pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\omega}$ movs, $\S 149$ ). The unusual and emphatic order of the words in this sentence must not escape notice. - 'E入árelav : cf. note, § 152. - $\mathbf{\delta s}$ s refers to Philip. -- eis àvท̀े, is the author alone and singly of all our greatest calamities; or, of greater calamities than any other one individual. -- $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} v \tau \eta \hat{n}$ ékк $\lambda \eta \sigma$ lą, wh. was held immediately after the return of Æsch. fr. his mission to the Am-
 Philip had its theatre in and about the northern possessions of Athens; but now, when it was easy to foresee that Philip, who had usurped the place of Phocis in the Amphictyonic council, would be intrusted w. the leadership of a war waged under the auspices of this council, the warning cry $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu_{0} \nu$
 $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$, those seated together by preconcerted arrangement; lit. by summons. $\pi a \rho a \kappa \lambda \eta \tau o s=$ Lat. advocatus. The orator means the Macedonian clique wh. generally sat together in the popular Assembly whenever concerted action seemed desirable.
§ 144. บ̇тaкои́батє is used here in the sense of the simple áкov́cı. -
 everything their own way. Later, the opposition of Dem. was more effective. Cf. the account of Æsch. infra. In regard to the charge of Æsch. that Dem. procured the passage of his decree by the artifice described in the citation, Grote remarks: "There is nothing to confirm such insinuations; moreover Æseh., if he had still retained the public sentiment in his favor, could easily have baffled the tricks of his rival." - кal...кal...kal : correlated. - $\delta \mathbf{\epsilon} \mathbf{\iota v}$ órךs : calliditas, Schaef.: craftiness, Kenn.
 11. 937 ; (̧. 1502.2 ; Cu. § 549. - © $\eta$ ßalous...Oerta入ovs : while the traditioual hatred between the Thebans and Athenians contiuued unabated (cf. § 168), there had arisen on the other side an estrangement between the Thebans and Philip, of wh. the refusal of Thebes to participate in the measures against the Amphissians (cf. Esch. § 128) was an expression. In this state of things, it was probable that Thebes would not only decline to join Philip in an expedition against Athens, but would even prevent his
army from passing through her territory ; and it was doubtful whether under such circumstances the Thessalians would follow him. Cf. A. Schaef., 1I. $505 .-\tau \omega ิ ้ \lambda_{\text {got }} \mathrm{v}$, guerillas; the reference is to private bands of marauders who by land and sea harassed the domain of Philip. - т $\omega$ ह $\mathrm{Ek}_{\mathrm{k}}$

§ 146. $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon \ldots \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, st. oưTє ...ö̃ $\tau$, because the partice. have a conditional force. - $\delta \pi o w o \sigma \delta \dot{\eta} \pi+\theta$ ', of whatever sort they were; a point upon wh. he
 very nature of the locality and the circumstunces of each party. The power of Philip lay in his land forces, that of Athens in her navy ; the way to Athens was obstructed over land by the Thebans, over the sea ly the Athenians.
 suude to join (ovv) ... he thought that no one would be likely to pay attention
 would regularly be a $\alpha \nu \rho \rho \sigma \epsilon \xi \circ$ in the finite form. The fut. opt. w. áv is so rare that its existence is denied by some grammarians. For this reason some critics propose either to omit $\alpha \bar{\alpha} \nu$ or to change the text to $\bar{\alpha} \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \in \ell \in \epsilon \nu$. But ef. G M T. 203, 208 ; Kiihner's Gramm., § 260.5 a ; Baümleín de Modic, Pp. 295-297, 351. Undoubted instances of the fut. opt. w. ăv are found in Lyeurg. in Leocr., § 15; Lys. de codede Erat., § 22 ; of the fut. intin. w.
 in assuming the common pretexts of these he should be chosen leader, he hoped he would in part quite easily deceive them and in part persuade them. The second of these two conditions (se. $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega} \nu$ aip $\epsilon \hat{\eta}$ ) expresses the more probable or nearer alternative, the one wh. was later actually fulfilled. Just below

 § 158 , and relating to the supposed violation of the rights of the Delphic god by the Amphissians and Athenians. - тoîs 'A $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\phi}$ ьт $\mathbf{v o g}$ : dat. com-
 $\mu o \nu, \S 96 .-$ חviaiav : the Congress of the Amphictyons bore this name, as the delegates that of $\pi v \lambda$ áropal ( $\S 149$ ), from the circumstance that it assembled twice each year, in autumn and in spring, in the temple of Demeter at Thermopyla. It was formerly supposed that the spring session was held at Delphi, but the recently discovered funieral oration of Hyperides ( $\$ 16$ ), ace. to Westermann, has confirmed the conjecture of those critics who believe that the delegates first assembled at Thermopylæ to perform their ancient rites, and then adjourned to Delphi to transact other business. - els $\tau \mathbf{a v} \theta$ ', for these things, i. e. to settle these difficulties.

and Pylagoræ is not wholly clear ; but from the representation of Esch., § 115, it appears that besides the general meetings in wh. both participated, there were sessions of a more special character attended alone by the Hieromnemons; and that these alone were the actual official members of the Council and had the right to offer proposals and to pass decrees, while the Pylagore seem to have acted merely as councillors, who, whenever occasion demanded, were to plead for the particular interests of their respective states. - excelvov: spoken from the standpoint of the speaker; cf. §§ 218, 236. vimó $\psi \in \sigma$ at : the critical student will observe that this apodosis in oratio
 $\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu \alpha=$ his scheme) would easily escape detection.
§ 149. фu入áттovtos: Schaefer remarks that we might expeet фu入arto$\mu \hat{e v o v}=$ yuarding ayainst, but the idea of guarding against anything involves that of being watchful lest it may happen ; hence the middle and active of
 nominated and three or four having held up their hands for him, he urns declured clected. The result of a vote was immediately declared by the presiding officer. The method of procedure here described is not entirely un-
 the ends for which he had been hired. Whether this charge of Dem. be true or not, it is certain that if Esch. had been acting as the hireling of Philip, he could have done nothing so favorable to the ambition of Plilip and so fatal to the freedom of Greece, as to stir up this new Amphictyonic war. - $8 \theta \in v$, how and whence, going back and tracing the history from its origin.
 the foot of Mt. Parnassus to the Corinthian Gulf. How it came to be set apart to the service of the Delphic sanctuary is familiar to all who know the history of the first Sacred War, B. c. 595. - deteipous $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ óy $\omega \mathbf{v}$, inexperienced in specch-making, in contrast w. the Pylagoræ. "The Hieromnemons were chosen, in Athens at least, from the whole body of people, without distinction of person." W.


 ugainst us, nor those charges which now this man pretends. Dem. does not directly contradiet the narrative of Æsch.; his argument is this : no summons had been served by the Locrians on Athens, and without such summons no prosecution could legally be carried on ; hence there were still many steps to be taken before final judgment could be pronounced against Athens; Æsch. ought, therefore, to have tempered his zeal w. a little good sense, to say nothing more. The account of Esch. gives no explanation of
the most vital point in the whole affair，the appointment of Philip to conduct the war．CIf．Grote，XC．；A．Schaef．，II．，p． 498 ff．－－тèéracaal，to bring
 the Homeric sense of airia．V．cites in confirmation of this rendering a Schol．wh．explains äana $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ тovitcu in the next paragraph by ámò $\mu$ âs $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s=$ airias $\mu$ âs．Some take $\dot{a} \rho \chi \eta \dot{\eta}$ to mean here muyistracy，and real under the auspices of what magistracy？But W．remarks that this idea is implied in the preceding $\tau i s \ldots \epsilon \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon v$ ．Inferior MSS．real $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i$ moias d $\rho \chi$ ग̂s，wh．is made to mean ：in whut archonship？But this idea the Greek would express by $\epsilon \pi i$ tivos đoxovtos．－тavitn кaтexp $\overline{\text { ，}}$ ，you have made use of this as an empty and fulse pretext．The omission of the art：makes $\tau a u{ }^{\prime} \eta \eta$ the subj．and $\pi \rho \circ \propto \dot{a} \sigma e \mathrm{t}$ the predicate of the clause．Cf．H． 674 ；Kühner＇s Larger Gramm．，§ 246．3，Rem． 1.
§ 151．$\mu$ ккро仑̂ кaтŋко́vтtซav，well－nigh shot them all dmon．Cf．the
 ings．－Kótruфos：Ksch．，§ 128，tells us all we know about him．－of $\mu \mathbf{k} v$ ， sc．the Athenians and the Thebans，as we learn fr．the account of Esch．－ els denotes the terminus ad quem．They had been expecting to do this all
 the next regular meeting of the Amphictyonic council．Grote says that the first motion raised by Esch．against the Amphissians occurred in the spring meeting（he says at Delphi，but see note on חu入aiav，§ 147）；next there was held the special meeting wh．elected Cottyphus to the leadership；after this，in September， 339 B．c．，came the regular autumnal meeting，wh．is


 Thessalians and those（lit．of those）in the rest of the states who had been prepared（i．e．bought）und were veteran traitors．

 but what is the use of wasting many words upon this point ；for you know the result，and that is enough．－cu̇éws：Philip at once set about collecting a force and preparing for his campaign in Hellas．But Dem．negleets to mention（probably w．the intention of making his narrative the more strik－ －ing and effective）that Philip first marehed against Amphissa，and，after destroying it and defeating the combined forces of the Loerians and Athe－ nians，turned back unexpectedly towards Elatea．Cf．A．Schaef．，II．，p． 513 ff． －Eppōəar фpáनas，having bidden a long adieu．This sarcastic use of this phrase is quite common．Cf．de F．L．，§ 249 ：$\epsilon^{\circ} \rho \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \theta a \iota$ ф $\rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s ~ \tau \hat{\psi} \sigma \circ \phi \hat{\psi}$ इoфок入єi．－＇Enáretay ：the largest town of Phocis，situated not far fr，the
frontier of the Epicnemidian Locrians, and important as the key to the mountain passes that give approach to and from Thessaly. It had been destroyed, in common w. the other Plocian towns, at the close of the Sacred War, 346 в. c. Esch., § 140, states that now Philip fortified it. - How much consternation this movement of Philip excited at Athens, we shall presently hear.
§ 153. $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\circ} \sigma \pi \varphi$ Xєцนáppovs: the student must have already noticed the sparing use Dem. makes of figures of speech. For Quintilian's criticism of his style and comparison of him w. Cicero, cf. Institutes of Oratory, IX.,
 for the moment at least (though not ultimately). - кal...kal, and...also.
§ 156. $\mathbf{v} \pi$ 亿hoovov, refused to comply, either to join him in attacking the Athenians, or permitting him free transit through their horders. - $\boldsymbol{\text { ovis... }}$ $\sigma v \mu \mu a ́ x o v s: ~ t h e ~ A r c a d i a n s, ~ E l e a n s, ~ M e s s e n i a n s, ~ a n d ~ A r g i v e s . ~-~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau-~$ telv : epexegetical of $\pi \rho \delta \phi a \sigma \omega$. This use of $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \phi a \sigma \iota \nu$ for the true reason is rare and scarcely recognized in the lexicons. It is often opposed to $a \lambda \eta \theta \in \in s$
 alleged by Philip and presented by Esch. Tyler. Cf. § 225, where $\psi$ evoeis is added to distinguish fr. $\dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta \in i \bar{s}$, and Thuc. I. 23, 6. - $\delta$ ógav aca, measures resolved upon by the Amphictyons. - adop ${ }^{\text {às }}$ : deriv.? Occasions, opportunities for actiny, w. the idea of secrecy or dishonesty.
§ 158. фev́yєt...катaфéjyet: Diss. remarks that these verbs are used together by way of sarcasm. - тєрuóvтєs : in allusion to the notorious habit of the Athenians of walking indolently about and hearing and discussing
 Philip.
 speak the truth, I for my part should not hesitate to call the ruinous cause of all the losses that have followed. - тó $\pi \omega \boldsymbol{v}$, districts; more general in mean-

 the harvest of evils. Cicero appears to have imitated this passage in Philip., H., c. 22: "ut igitur in seminibus est causa arborum et stirpiuns, sic hujus luctuosissimi belli semen tu fuisti." - $\mathbf{8 v}$ : the relative is taken out of its clause and placed first to serve as a connective ; cf. ìs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{e} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \cup \chi \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$, $\S 128$; furthermore, $\delta v$ is the obj. of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \phi \eta \tau \epsilon$, the accus. retained after the passive without a prep. Cf. H. 724 a; G. 1239 ; Cu. § 398. Cf. soph. Edd. Col., 1272. Render : from whom that you did not turn away in ablorrence as soon as ever you saw him, surprises me. $-\pi \rho o ̀$, between you and the truth; lit. before the truth, hiding it from you as by a veil ${ }_{p}$

111．\＄ $160-247$ ．The Part Demosthenes played in defending his Colitry against the Consequences of the Amphissian War， by bringing about the Alliance with Thebes． $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{f}$ ：as something that is perfectly natural．－Tà Epya．．． $\boldsymbol{\text { rovs }}$ 入óyous，the real－

 § 140.


 two（Thebes and Athens）in their separate interests；the latter the two to－
 ceding its antecedent $\tau \dot{\delta} . . . \epsilon \in \hat{a} \nu$ awakens expectation on the part of the hearer． －Tो $\pi \rho o \sigma k \rho o v e t v, ~ a n d ~ f o r ~ c o l l i s i o n ~ w i t h ~ o n e ~ a n o t h e r . ~ A ~ s t r o n g ~ w o r d ; ~ l i t . ~$ to dush or strike against．In $\S 819,163$ the orator uses the compound
 to turn out budly．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{0} \boldsymbol{v} \tau 0$ sums up the whole thought；often so used．


 contrulieting each other（sc．éaurois）in．regard to other matters，upon this
 secretary to them．The partice．ко入акє⿱㇒日勺и and катךүориิv contain the im－ prortant idea．－кivados：this word has been rendered base wretch，vile animal，scandal to humanity，crafty creature，monster，sly fox，reptile． I prefer the last as most in harmony $w$ ．the idea of a sneaking，false char－ acter that fawns upon those whom it is ready to betray．－ $\boldsymbol{\delta o \kappa ц \mu a \sigma a ́ v \tau \omega v , ~}$ sunctioned．
§ 163．Exeíve，i．e．to his statement interrupted in § 153．－ 8 ть to he joined w．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \beta \eta$ ．－$\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \rho a v a \mu i v \omega v, \kappa . \tau$ ．$\varepsilon_{\text {．}}$ ，and becouse the rest of his coudjutors hrul joined（ $\sigma v \nu$ ）in developing our hostility with Thebes．The genit．absol． expresses cause or reason here．－oviteค，for which very purpose；$\pi \epsilon \rho$ indi－
 $\beta$ eiv，and had we not aroused ourselves a little beforchand（i．e．before Plilip＇s plans were matured），we should not have been able to gain them over to our side．àva入aßeiv is generally taken in the sense of to recover or retriece．But what is it fr ．wh．they could not have retrieved themselves？ Some say，from their enmity；but this makes poor sense．Others say，they could not have recoveral their position；but this seems too vague．Dem． wishes to say this ：our only hope of successfully resisting Philip lay in a union w．the Thebans ；but this hope would be utterly destroyed，if feelings
of hostility were allowed to exist much longer. For this sense of $\dot{\alpha} v a \lambda a \beta \epsilon i v$
 $\Sigma$; inferior MSS. have $\tau$ ò $\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$. Our reading appears to favor the interpretation of áva入aßєì just givén.
§ 168. SLà tov́t $\omega$ v, i. e. Esch. and his accomplices. - krapecls ... taîs ajoкрiototv, led on by these decrees and by the responses. Wh. wonders how Philip could have been encouraged to make his attack on Elatea by these $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к р i \sigma \epsilon<s$ inserted in the text, wh. profess to be written by himself. We suspect that Westermaun is right in conjecturing that Dem. alludes to the correspondence then going on between Athens and Thebes, wh. was probably not altogether in a spirit of friendly reconciliation, and of wh. Philip was
 we and the Thebans would never again co-operate. - $\sigma \cup \mu \pi \nu \in v \sigma a v \tau \omega v \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{v}=$
 the repetition of áv cf. G M T. 223, 224; G. 1312; K. § 261.3 ; Madv. G. S. § 139 b . What gramm. oljection is there to the form $\sigma v \mu \pi \nu \in \nu \sigma$ but $\omega \nu$ wh. is found in the best MSS. ?
§ 169. yà introduces the narration, as we use now in Engl. No passage in the oration has been more lauded, and more deservedly so, than this graphic and beautiful description. Cf. Longinus. It is interesting to read and compare the account of this event given by Diodorus, XVI. 84. ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathbf{E \sigma \pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rho \mathrm{a}$ : the order of the sentence emphasizes the fact that this announcement was made in the erening. - ipputáves : the intelligence would naturally first come to them from their official position. - wis : cf. H. 930, 1054d ; G. 1476 ; Cu. §§ $632 \mathrm{~d}, 526 \mathrm{~b}$. - $\mu$ eтakì $\delta$ eltvoûvtes : the prytanes took their meals in the $\theta$ jolos, a building aljoining the Senate room, at the expense of the state. The principal meal ( $\delta \epsilon i \pi \nu o \nu$ ) w, the Greeks was at even-
 sters out of their booths, for the purpose of clearing the Agora preparatory to convening the Assembly. Cf. Aristoph. Acharn., 21 ff. The descriptive character of the narration is strengthened by the use of the impf. in this
 тà $\gamma \epsilon \rho \rho a$, originally used of wicker-work, later came to signify auy kind of covering or roofing. As to the object of this proceeding commentators vacillate between two opinions: (1) in order to clear the space of the Agora with all possible despatch; (2) to serve as a signal of alarm wh. was to summon the people fr. the rural districts into the city. Objection is made to (1) that this act was unneressary, since there were numerous slaves who could remove these sheds in ample season before the next morning ; to (2) that the situation of the Agora was not a suitable one for giving signals. Still, on the whole, (2) seems the more probable theory : first, because no
other step seems to have been taken to call the rural population into the rity; second, because there was after all no empty square so large and suitable as the Agora for giving such a signal. - tov̀s orparpyov̀s: these had to summon the extraordinary session of the Assembly. Cf. $\psi \dot{\eta}$ -
 duybreak. But there was nothing unusual in this, as we learn fr. Aristoph. Acharn., 20 ; Eccles., 312, 377. - xpquaríral кal mpoßovגєvิनat is the usual form of expression to denote ( $\chi$ рquatioau) the discussion and deliberation of any proposal aud ( $\pi \rho \circ \beta=u \lambda \in \hat{v} \sigma a t)$ the adoption of a resolution or bill
 at that time in the Pnyx, wh. was located on a hill overlooking the Agora. Hence ávaßaivect єis $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ éкк $\lambda \eta \sigma i a \nu$, Dem. c. Aristocr., I., §§ 9, 20.

 they had introduced the messenger. - inpẃra, kept asking. - Tis áyopevesv $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ oùderat is the ordinary formula for opening the business of the meeting.
 Greek rhetors were at this time a class of professional politicians and public speakers. The orator emphasizes the point that all those men were present in this Assembly who were wont to be foremost in giving counsel.
 fatherland was summoning some one to speak in behalf of her welfare. Many Editt. read кa入ov́oŋs $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ तarplòos $\tau \hat{\eta}$ кown̂ $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$, wh. has excellent MS. authority. For the omission of the article w. matpiôos, ef. § 242.
§ 171. Toे $\beta \hat{\jmath} \mu a$ : the bema was the tribune fr. wh. the Athenian orators addressed the people. As seen to-day among the ruius of Athens, it is a salid cube of stone, some 10 feet in height, having a surface once square and smonth, but now somewhat irregular ; it is surrounded at the bottom on three sides by stone steps or seats. - oif' $\mathbf{z t r}^{2}$ : parenthetic and without any influence on the construction ; so frequently. Cf. § 293 ; Phil., II., § 29 ; suph. Antig., 276. - ol tplanóvcot: ef. note on the trierarchal system, \$102. - тov̀s ápфóтepa тav̂ra, sc. ôrtas, and if those who were both (se.
 the кai...кal following. - $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ rav̂ra, i. e. after Chreronea, when the citizens made the greatest personal sacrifices to repair their walls and put their city in a better state of defence against Philip. Cf. $\$ \$ 248,312$.
§ 172. Exeîvos...ekelvך : very emphatic; that (memorable) occasion. -
 the more likely; i. e. for all his wealth and patriotism.

upon that day. The unusual order of the sentence makes $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\omega}$ very emphatic. What is to be grammatically supplied in the predicate after $\dot{\varepsilon} \phi d \nu \eta \nu$ ? - $\tau \grave{\mathbf{\eta}} \mathrm{v} . . \tau \mathbf{\alpha} \xi \mathrm{\xi} \mathrm{v}$, I did not desert the post of patriotism in the hour of danger.

 diuct of affairs for the future; i. e. more familiar w. the principles of my policy as applied to the events that remain to be considered, than you otherwise would be. Some scholars prefer to make $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ 入oımà limit $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s$; w. this constr. the sense is not materially altered. With this life-like and masterly description the student may profitably compare Webster's graphic account, in the trial of the Knapps, of the murder of their victim.
§ 174. 8 tt : merely to introduce the citation, and not to be translated. What now follows is all we know of the masterly speech Dem. delivered on this occasion. This brief summary is itself an eloquent testimony to the wisdom and magnanimity of the Athenian statesman. First, Dem. shows the advantage and necessity of an alliance $w$. Thebes; next, what measures are to be taken to secure this alliance. - ஸ́s vimapxóvт
 in favor of any one, a meaning not given by L. and Sc. Cf. Dem. de F. L.,


 that he may bring the Thebans over to his side.
 he has made friendly to himself. The orator's point is that Ph. has already exhausted his opportunities in Thebes. - $\mathbf{\delta e}$ (G) $\mathbf{\xi}$, by showing a military force

 the ultimate purpose or aim of what precedes. In order that they may either yield through fear what now they are not willing (to yield), or may be compelled by force.
 what is referred to in $\$ 96$. - eira, in the next place; without the $\delta \in$ as
 omission of $\delta \bar{\epsilon} .-\mu \eta) \ldots \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma เ \nu$ : for the negat. and use of mode cf. G MT. 365; H. 887 ; G. 1378 ; Cu. §533. - autTê, those now opposed to him having received him. Reiske conjectured aủtobv as olj. of $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \epsilon \xi a \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$ st. aủt $\hat{\psi}$, on the ground that the pronoun seems more essential in connection w. this than w. the other partic.; but in $\S 162$ we have oûs as obj. of ко入aкєن́w st. ois w. $\pi \alpha \rho \nmid \kappa 0 \lambda o v ́ \theta \epsilon \iota s . ~-~ \phi \iota \lambda \iota \pi \pi เ \sigma a ́ v \tau \omega v$ : a word coined probahly by Dem. So Herod. and Thuc. used the verb M $\eta \delta i j$ ew in the account of the relations
of Persia and Grecce. - áдфо́тєрot, i. e. Philip and the Thebans. - $\pi \rho \dot{s} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\psi}$ $\sigma \boldsymbol{\kappa o \pi e i v} . . . \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$, and ye may be inclined to deliberate, instead of to dispute concerning what I may say. - $\delta \delta \xi \in \epsilon v$ : Rauchenstein conjectures ${ }_{\xi} \xi_{\xi \in \nu}=$ shall be able (cf. § 172), instead of $\delta \delta \xi \epsilon \iota v$, as the orator would not say shall seem to speak; but by supplying úpìv this objection vanishes. - غौфєттŋкóta
 197, 220 ; a different order in $\$ \S 179,188$. Which is the more regular?
§ 177. $\tau \zeta$ oûv $\phi \eta \mu$ 的iv : Diss. notes how skilfully the orator excites attention in beginning the second part of this speech w. this inquiry. -

 this route would be over the "Sacred Way" to Eleusis (about 12 miles fr. Athens), thence to Thebes in a northwesterly direction across Mt. Cithreron. A more direct way to Thebes led through Acharnæ and Phyle; but this was not so practicable for a large army, nor did it offer any large plain, such as the Eleusinian, for the massing of a large force, in case of an attack. -
 designation applies only to the heavy-armed troops ; the cavalry, being a branch of service of later origin, is named separately. The military age

 wish...you stand ready to render assistance.
§ 178. кupious, and to give them, tegether with the generals, absolute control both of the time to be fixed upon for going thither (i. e. to Thebes) and of the
 you. $\mu$ ot is an ethical dative; cf. H. 770 ; ©. 1171 ; Cu. § 433. aloxpos: it would be dishonorable to take such alvantage of their
 can say: in extremities. - $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \ldots \pi \rho o o \rho \omega \mu \dot{\mu} \nu \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ : on the principle that those who are somewhat removed fr. danger are calmer and clearer in their juigment than those who are in the midst of it. - kal...kal, both...and;
 oppressed Thebans. - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{v} \delta^{\prime} \ldots \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{v}$ : the latter condit. clause is special and subordinate to the former, wh. is more general. Cf. $\epsilon l \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \ldots \epsilon l$ and $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \in \ldots \epsilon i$ in $\S 217$. - $\dot{\eta} \mu i \hat{v}$ : dat. agent. The words that Dem. here puts, so to say, into the mouth of the envoys, are lauded by Dionysius in his Art. Rhetor., IX., $\S 9$, for their appropriate ( $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$ ) character.
 the peeuliar use of the negat. ove and oviot, make an exact translation of this much-praised example of climax (ef. Quint. Inst. Orat., IX., Chap. III., § 55) quite impossible. An approximation to the original may be mate thus:

I did not say these things and fail to propose them; I did not propose them and fail to go on an cmbassy, etc. Lord B. suggests these renderings: (1) by a double negation, thus : I did not say these things and not propose ; (2) by the use of without in the second clause ; (3) not only did I say these things, but I propounded a decree, etc. - $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{v}, ~ I ~ c a r r i e d ~ t h e ~ a f f a i r ~$ through ( $\delta \Delta \alpha$ ). - $\boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ : the orator improves the pause, while the clerk is preparing to read the document, by making personal remarks more or less closely connected w. the point under consideration. So in §§ 212, 219.
§ 180. $\boldsymbol{\theta} \bar{\omega}$, represent, make out to be. What use of subjunct.? Cf. G M T. 287; H. 866.3 ; G. 1358 ; Cu. § 511 . - Bárta入ov : the origin and meaning of this nickname are in doubt. Dem. claims that it was a pet name given him by his nurse ; but Æsch. c. Timarch., § 126, ridicules this claim, and says in
 Bára入os. The Schol. renders it by proffigate, effeminate, and derives it variously: (1) from the name of a flute-player or poet, notorious for his effeminacy ; (2) from the sickly and weak condition of Dem. body ; (3) as a term of contempt borrowed from an instrument used by flute-players for
 w. $\beta$ átros, $\beta a r \tau a p i j \omega$, and make it mean stammerer, stutterer, in allusion to

 first-named character was the third rôle in a play of Euripides of the same name; the second is the well-known tyrant in the Antigone of Sophocles; the third was a subordinate character in a play of Sophocles bearing this name. -- $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{K} \circ \lambda \lambda \lambda \tau \bar{\varphi}$, whom once in Collytus you wretchedly murdered. Collytus was one of the country demes in wh. the rural Dionysia were celebrated. In the anonymous biography of $\mathbb{E s c h}$., the story is told that Esch., in the rôle of Enomaus, on a certain occasion tripped and fell on the stage while pursuing Pelops. - тórє: very emphatic.- $\delta$ Пasavıè̀s '̀yw : in the skilful arrangement of the words each contrasted term occupies relatively a reversed position, except that $\sigma o \hat{v}$ comes last for the sake of emphasis.
§ 188. Aürך $\ldots \pi \rho \dot{T} \eta \eta$, This was the beginning and first step towards a settlement of our difficulties with Thebes. калג்тaбıs $=$ constitutio rerum antea turbatarum. Diss. - $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \grave{~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega v, ~ a s ~ r e g a r d s ~ p r e v i o u s ~ a f f a i r s . ~-~}$
 up the merits of this sentence thus : (1) The figure is unexpected; the sentence would be complete if it closed w. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi o i \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$. (2) It is perfectly natural ; it expresses the thought more truthfully than any literal language. (3) The whole sentence is worded as simply and concisely as possible.
（4）The ancient critics noticed a perfect rhythm in the sentence ；to the ear of longinus the effeet woukd have been much marred，he informs us，by the substitution of $\dot{\omega} s$ or $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i$ for $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ．－vôv $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \tau \tau \mu \hat{\imath} v$ ：as opposed to тóte $\delta \epsilon i \check{c} \alpha u$ ．Why the change in the tense of the infur？
 In his speech against Midius，\＆189，the orator states the distinction between
 characterized in §̊ 242 ．－toîs netoteirt，to his followers，i．e．those who have accepted his policy and accordingly hold him responsible．－тథَ каюрчै， opportunity，i．e．the seasonable time or opportune moment for any action． －тヘ̣̂ $\beta$ ou入ouévẹ refers to any one who may choose to call a political leader
 definition we should expect $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu . . \delta \epsilon \bar{\imath}$ ；the past tense is used specially w． an eye to $币$ s．ch．
 cate and in sharp contrast w．vôv below；that was the occasion，therefore．－
 musself guilty．What would be the difference in the thought if the ora－ tor had said ढ̈are ómo丸oyeiv？Cf．G MI T．582－584；HI．927， 953 ；G．1449－
 sents the state or condition resulting fr．the action of the verb．－$\pi \rho a \chi \theta \in v$ ： what use of the partic．？Give the Greek clause to correspond to $\sigma v \sim \dot{\eta} \nu \in \gamma \kappa \in \nu$

 pressed above by $\delta \in \epsilon i \xi a l$ it ．．．$\tau \iota \ldots \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} v$ ．
§ 191．aitiâodal，к．т．£．，this sarcastic inquiry is explained by $\beta a \sigma \kappa a l v e \iota$, § 189．－тis＇ेүvâoөat，к．т．＇̇．，who is willing to guarantee the future？－
 which I ought to have furnished myself．The comm．reading is evipeiv．－тî mó入e：join w．$\sigma \cup \mu \phi \hat{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ ．Some call it dat．incommod．w．the verb．－ $\pi \rho \hat{\xi} \xi \stackrel{s}{c}$, enterprise，＂practical measure，＂＂plan．＂$-\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda_{o v}$ ，i．e．than those to wh．he did lead them．
§ 192．Tágıv，the office．In the present and in the future the statesman is required to be at his post discharging his duty．－тóт ：when the nego－ tiations w．Thebes were in progress．－mpoaiperiv，the aim；that wh．one sets before himself as his chosen object．－$\mu \grave{\eta}$ ．．．$\sigma$ vкофávete，do not ruil i＂t the results．－ws àv．．．$\beta$ oud $\eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ ：whatever it may be，as the use of $a v w$ ． subjunctive indicates．－aviri，as vierred by itself．－$\delta$ tadootav，the inten－ tion ；subjective，while $\pi \rho o a i \rho \epsilon \sigma$ ss is olijective．
§ 193．тn̂ $\mu$ áxn，i．e．（＇hæronea．Dat．of respert；the more usual read． ing is $\tau \eta \nu \mu a \chi \eta \nu$ ．－ouk $\dot{f}^{\mu} \mu \mathrm{ol}$ ：it is not essential that $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ le repeated，as is


 beyond my power. We speak of superhuman effort. - тót' $\dagger \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$ : tum demum ; but not before.
§ 194. $\sigma \kappa \eta \pi r d s$, but if the tornado that ensued. Dem. compared what happrens to a torrent ( $\chi \epsilon \mu$ áppous) in § 153, and in § 214 to a deluge (кara-
 answer to the inquiry is omitted as being self-evident. Schaef. states it thus: to keep still and not to accuse; perhaps it is better put in the form of a question : to find fault? Then follows the ellipsis: that would be unrea-
 * $\lambda \eta \rho \circ v$ : originally the captain was at the same time the owner of the ship; hence vav́к $\lambda \eta \rho o s$ may mean either. But oü' $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa v \beta \notin \rho \nu \omega \nu$ points to the owner who might be held liable for the loss of the cargo, in case the ship was not well equipped. - à $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime}$ '̂̀v : most MSS. have $\pi$ ẫı before катабкєváбаита, as the antecedent of $\mathfrak{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$. - хрүのवápevov, encountering; in agreement w. $\pi \lambda o \hat{o} \nu$. Those who take $\nu$ aúк $\lambda \eta p o \nu$ as referring to the captain place this
 fessor Tyler calls attention to the nautical sense of the words in this passage ; thus: $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a=$ for a safe voyage; катабкєváбаขта $=$ having fitted out ; $\pi ⿰ \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ and $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ as above rendered. - $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho . . . \epsilon \gamma \omega ์:$ thrown in by way of parenthesis, to indicate the application to himself. The appositeness of this comparison may be remarked in every particular.
 and $\delta \theta \epsilon \bar{s}$. $-\mu \eta \delta \bar{\varepsilon}$, not even. "A different policy would have left us without even that advantage." Holmes. - ekeivos, i. e. Philip. He used every
 three days. The distance fr. Athens to Chæronea is 62 Engl. miles. In
 200 stadia distant fr. the Beeotian frontier. From 150 to 200 stadia was reckoned as an ordinary day's march. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \ell \mathrm{a} \boldsymbol{\nu} \ldots \mathrm{X} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { P }} \mathrm{\eta} \mathbf{v}$, what could we have looked for? implying that the fear and panic. were already so great that the rase could hardly be worse. $\tau i \quad \chi \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \delta o \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu$ above $=$ what should we have looked for, assuming for the moment that things had been different. กov $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{s} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{\chi}$ ẃpas: somewhere in our territory. - vêv, i. e. under existing rircumstances, as it actually was; opposed to $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ below, wh. means in the other case, i. e. supposing my advice had not been followed. - नтival, k. т. E., to stand firm, to concentrate, to draw breath. The asyndeton gives us the notion of the rapidity of the thought and artion at the crisis. - $\mu$ ia $i_{i} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathrm{pa}$ : this bricf respite after the battle of Chæronea, the orator means to
say, was of the utmost importance, and would not have occurred but for the Theban alliance. - тó $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ ' : another instance of aposiopesis. Here it indicates horror ; but in \& 3, anxiety ; and in § 22, anger. Cf. note § 3. -
 onter into out cuperience. (f. § 107 ; Dem. c. Timocr., § 24 : кai $\pi \in i ̂ \rho a \nu$
 ing before itself (as a shield). The reading eivpoata...ò is found in $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ and is, adopted by Bekk.
§ 196. $\mu \mathrm{ot}$, lat. int. ; whl this long story of minc is directed to you. - $\delta$ เкa$\sigma$ rail: before this Dem. has used ävópes 'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { quaiou, but here he wishes to draw }\end{aligned}$ the distinction more closely between the jurors and the spectators. - $\boldsymbol{k} \omega \boldsymbol{\xi} \omega \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{v}$, outside the bur; the court was enclosed by a wooden railing ( $\delta \rho$ 白фактov). Esch., $\$ 56$, states that he does not remember ever before seeing so large a multitude present at a public trial. Cic. (de opt. yen. orat., VII. 22) says: ad quod judicium concursus dicitur e tota Greecia factus esse. rould suffice; à omitted, as often w. the impf. in such expressions as

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ aúr $\hat{s}$ s. - тav̂ta, i. e. of this ignorance and its results. This is one of the most complete dilemmas in the oration ; but is there no escape fr. it ?
§ 197. (ov yàp $\mathbf{d v}^{2} . . \mathrm{e}$ xpwivro,) for (if you haut) they would not have adopted these (i. e. my measures). Thus indirectly the orator compliments the sagacity of his countrymen, as well as his own. - $\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{v}$, se. $\pi=\circ \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon$, wh. is readily understood fr. the connection, but inserted by inferior MSS.
 - $\boldsymbol{\ell \pi l}$ tois $\sigma u \mu \beta a \sigma t v$, on the occurrence of the events. $\overline{\epsilon \pi i}$ expresses the occasion or opportunity upon wh. one bases his conduct. (f. §§ 240, 284.
 тои̂то $\pi \epsilon \pi о \imath \eta \kappa \dot{\omega}$ s above. - 'Apiotparos: a different person fr. the tyrant of Sicyon named in $\S 48$. We know nothing further of these partisans of Philip than what is here stated. - каAámag, thorough-going, out-and-out.

 ace. to Longinus, is dactylic. The ancient crities were fond of pointing out such instances; but such rhythmical structure is, we believe, more general and accidental than special and intentional. - lvevookuкiv àtéкเто, and surely the man for whom the misfortuncs of the Greeks are 1 aid up as a store on which to found his persomal rennum. Èvevסoкц $\mu \epsilon i v=$
 comparison ; cf. H. 1042 a ; Cu. $\S 624.3$; C. $705 \mathrm{c} .-\delta \eta \lambda_{0}$ is, yome make

your political action and again from your political inaction．Kenn．－ трáттєтац，к．т．$\dot{\varepsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ ：this form of sentence is technically called $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho о ф \eta^{n}$ ly the ancient rhetoricians．Other examples in this oration are foumd in $\$ 8117,274$ ．As an illustration from Roman oratory Diss．quotes Cic． Phil．，II．22：Doletis tres exercitus populi Romani interfectos；inter－ fecit Antonius．Desideratis clarissimos cives；eos quoque nobis eripuit Antonius．Auctoritas hujus ordinis afflicta est ；afflixit Antonius．－ávré－
 is used of bruises of the fleshy parts and ruptures of blood－vessels，and $\sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu a \tau a$ of the sprains of museles．－кıveitat，are disturbed ；i．e．the old injury or weakness makes itself felt again whenever the body becomes diseased．The same simile is used by the orator in Olynth．，II．，\＆ 21 ：


§ 199．modùs．．．lyкetrav，he lays great stress upon．The predicate adj． $\pi$ o ćs used st．an adv．；cf．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ p̀tovt $\iota, \S 136$ ．Cf．H． 619 ；G． 926 ；Cn． § 361．8．－ci．．．ŋŋv：he assumes，for the moment，that it is so．－тov́rov $\eta \mathrm{\eta}$ ，ought the city to have abandoned these things；referring to the measures wh．he at that time advised the city to adopt．
 alludes to the famons $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu o v i a$ of the Athenian state．－ároovâoa ：of．

 our ancestors did not undergo．For the use of the negat．cf．H．103］； G． 1618 ；Cu．§ 619 ，Obs．－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ov ：we naturally expect $\tau \hat{\jmath} s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ here， but the orator suddenly turns the thought upon Esch．，as if he alone
 as $\sigma 0 \hat{\text { ；}}$ ；we supply $\epsilon ⿱ ⺌ 兀 \pi \omega$ after $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ：for let me not say＂the city＂（ $\gamma \epsilon$ makes $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ emphatic），nor yet＂me．＂
 protasis to $\tau i \sigma \iota \ldots \dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \kappa \nu o v \mu \hat{\jmath} \nu o u s$ ，and consists itself of two contrasted parts，

 that $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ corresponding to $\delta \epsilon$ in $\tau \partial \partial^{\nu} \delta^{\circ} \ldots \pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \eta \mu \notin \nu o c$ is omitted，the full ex－ pression being $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ to correspond to $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \delta \bar{\delta} \ldots \tau \partial \nu \delta \delta^{\prime}$ ．With such contrast of principal and subordinate parts $\mu \dot{e} \nu$ is expressed both times in
 now have．
 battle of Leuctra， 371 в．c．，when the Theban began．－тоv．．．．ßacıNíws： that Xerxes actually requested Mardonias to make the Athenians such an
offer is stated by Herod., VIII. 140, and alluded to by Dem. Phil., II.,

 Dem. ironically represents the act of obeying in a state of subjection and of giving up the supremucy as a privilege. 入aßoúv $y$ of single acquisition, ¿̇ंoúry of permanent possession.
§ 203. ís toıkє : ironical ; so also in § 212. - тátpıa : " $\pi$ d́ $\tau \rho t o s=$ that wh. is peculiar to ancestors; as $\epsilon \theta \eta$, עó $\mu o . . "$ Krüg. W. paraphrases these adjectives thus: "This they had not inherited fr. their fathers, nor was it consistent w. their ideas of honor (dंveктd̀ $=$ to be tolerated by their moral sense), nor was it congenial to their nature." - $\mathbf{\ell \kappa} \ldots$... xpóvov : cf. note $\$ 26$. — $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu \dot{\mu} \nu \eta \nu$, by attaching herself to ; the partic. denotes means. - à $\mathbf{\gamma \omega -}$
 plementary partic. : cf. G M T. 879 ; H. 981 ; G. 1580 ; Cu. § 590.
§ 204. $\eta_{\theta} \theta_{t}$ : "character, as the result of manner and habits. $\quad j \theta o s=$ a prolonged and strengthened $\ell \theta$ os. Cf. Aristot. Eth., II. 1." Tyler. -
 tion shows that Dem. has the time just prior to the battle of Salamis in mind. Cic. de Offic., III. 11, speaks thus: "Cyrsilum quendam suadentem, ut in urbe manerent Xerxemque reciperent, lapidibus obruerunt." Herod., IX. 5, gives the same account, but of a man named Lycidas, and places the event just before the battle of "Platea. That there were two victims to the popular excitement of those stirring times, both meeting with a similar death, is not impossible.
§ 205. 户́tropa $=$ here $\sigma \dot{u} \mu \beta$ oùov, statesman.- ©oudev́rovatv, they might enjoy slavery ; spoken w. a peculiar bitterness and irony of tone. The common reading inserts $\epsilon \dot{v} \tau u \chi \hat{\omega} s$ after it. The use of the fut. indic. after an
 Wh. remarks that by the use of the indic. here Dem. identifies the Athenians of the two different ages, and represents the liberty and independence of one epoch as the unbroken continuity of the freedom asserted in another.
 Death is represented (1) as fixed by destiny ( $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu$ oipas), in distinction fr. death brought upon one hy his voluntary act ; (2) as that wh. comes in the course of nature (as by disease), in distinction fr. death caused by ex-
 $=a l s o$, i. e. as well as to his parents. - $l \pi \downarrow \delta \in i v=$ to live to see (any evil). C'f. Esch. Agam., 1246. - © $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{e} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \text {, will volunteer. The fut. (st. the pres., }}$ as in $\pi \in \rho \iota \mu \bar{\nu} v \varepsilon)$ denotes that he will do this whenever the demand comes. In illustration of this change of tense cf. Soph. Antig., 349, 350. - rov̂ Oavárov: by its emphatic position $=$ than death itself. Wh.
 between (1) what he was not doing and what he was doing ; (2) between
 $\mu \eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \dot{\varepsilon}$ : a mixed condit. sentence: if I were undertuking (as I am not), ccery one would consure me with good reason (were he to do what is reasonable). Cf. GMT. 504. - íperépas, are yours; predicative, and placed first for the sake of emphasis. Here Dem. purposely underestimates his own services in order to place the conduct of $£$ Esch. in a more conspicuous light. - тฑ̂s $\mu$ evtot $\delta$ rakovias, but in the management of each of the affairs transacted I affirm that I also lucve a share. סoakovias is contrasted by means of $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o \iota$ w. $\pi \rho o a \iota \rho \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ and $\phi \rho \dot{\nu} \nu \eta \mu$; to correspond to $\mu \hat{\prime} \nu \tau o \iota(=\delta \epsilon)$ there should be $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ wh. is suppressed, as in $\S 201$. W.
 ministration (סсакоvia). This is a skilful turn of the orator: my part, he says, was merely to execute what you willed ; your part was to cherish the noble purposes and adopt the most patriotic measures. Now Eschines attacks the whole, and in doing so commits a wrong against you greater than against me, inasmuch as your part was more prominent than mine, and the renown belonging to you is eternal, while the honor proposed for me is temporary. - $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \ell_{\mathrm{X} \in \tau \alpha \mathrm{L}}$ is a strong word; acc. to its etymology it denotes
 $\mu \mathrm{o} \nu$ as a special laudation bestowed for particular and brilliant action, while
 Gúvn, by the perverseness of fortune.
§ 208. $\mu \dot{\alpha}$ тov̀s Mapa日wิv, no! by those of our ancestors who bore the brunt of the danger at Marathon. The common reading has ov $\mu \dot{a}$, but the negat. is readily understood w. $\mu$. In the partic. the $\pi \rho o$ - implies the fore-front of the battle. So Thuc., I. 73, represents the Athenians as say-
 mon text has $\epsilon_{\nu} \nu$ M.; but the prep. is regularly omitted w. the names of Attic demes. Cf. H. 783 b ; G. 1197; Cu. §442. - $k \pi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ 'Apт $\epsilon \mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} i \Psi$, off Artemisium. It will he ohserved that the orator departs fr. the chronological order and names the land and the naval engagements in succession. -iv тois ... $\mu v \eta \mu \mu \sigma \iota$ : these were in the outer Ceramicus, along the road leading to the Academy. Cf. Pausan., I. 29 ; Thuc., II. 34. But the heroes of Marathon lay buried on the field of battle. The custom of honoring those who had fallen in battle $w$. a burial at the expense of the state, goes back to the time of Solon. Cf. § 285 . - ópolws : emphatic. This lofty strain of eloquence, known as "the Demosthenic oath," has been deservedly admired by all critics. Cf. Hermogenes, p. 425 ; Quint. Inst. Orat., XI. 3, 108 ; Lord Brougham, Vol. VII. 124. The chief points to be noted are
these：（1）This oath was an act of religious appeal，for wh．there was a suf－ ficient ground in the belief and feelings of the audience；it was not，there－ fore，an empty rhetorical flourish．（2）As a solemn appeal it served to rebuke Æisch．，who had brought Dem．into disparaging contrast（see the $1^{\text {rassages cited fr．Æsch．）w．the ancient heroes of Greece．（3）The simplicity }}$ of the orator＇s style is apparent even in his most impassioned flights．Lord 13．thus expresses himself on the word dra0ous：＂Mark the severe simplicity， the subdued tone of diction，in the most touching parts of the old man elo－ quent＇s loftiest passages．In the oath，when he comes to the burial－place where they repose by whom he is swearing，if ever a grand epithet were allowable it is here ；yet the only one he applies is dyaOous．＂（4）The ora－ tor，while apparently carried away by his enthusiasm and passion，does not for a moment lose sight of his argument，but carefully subordinates everything to the main thought．＂He teaches us，＂says Longinus，＂that in the height of passion we should retain our judgment．He nowhere says ＇by those who were victorious，＇but everywhere shuns the word wh．would indicate the issue of the battles，lest the defeat of Chæronea should be sug－ gested to his opponents or his hearers；till at length he has prepared the way $w$ ．the hearers for the conclusion ：all of whom alike the state buried， and not those alone who were successful．＂
 ф’̇tes रрáфovбıv．Etym．Magnum．Cf．§261．The two epithets may be rendered by accursed scribbler．－Eleyes ：ef．Eseh．§ 181，cited on p．92．－
 $I$ ，who came forward as councillor to the city in matters pertaining to her supremucy，whose spirit ought I to have assumed in ascending the Bema？－ трıтаүшท⿱宀та́ ：cf．note § 129．Observe the contrast implied in the juxta－ position of this epithet w．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ；also the emphatic position of $\epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon}$ ． －toúrov ：masc．，referring to the Athenians．Tyler follows Holmes in

 used of civil suits in a general sense．Cf．L．and Sc．－imi．．．бкoтоôvtas， by considering them in the light of．For this sense of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ w．бкотeiv cf．
 dents．－тapa入apßávetv，to take along（ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ）；depends on $\nu o \mu i \xi \in \epsilon$ and has
 a staff，on wh．was painted the letter of the alphabet corresponding to the section of the Heliastic court in wh．he was to serve for that day，and a ticket upon wh．the name of the holder and the number of his division were written．At the close of the sitting the $\sigma \dot{u} \mu \beta$ Bòov was given up as a voucher for the dicast＇s fee of 3 obols．－$\tau d \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ a，the court－room．－\＆кeivav，i．e．


 begins w. § 180. - ádıкó $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}$, we had arrived ; i. e. we ambassadors. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad a \lambda \lambda \omega v$, sc. the Etolians, Dolopians, Phthiotans. - $\pi \rho \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathrm{\epsilon t}$ : Amyntas and Clearchus are named as the Macedonian envoys. - vôv : to prove that I am not telling a different story now fr. what was told at the time of the embassy.
§ 212. бuкофаvтias, calumny. - т̀̀v каıрбv: ef. Esch., § 137 (cited be-
 - ó $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \beta$ oudos кal $\rho \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \rho$ : Diss. observes that the revetition of the article would be objectionable, as the ideas of $\sigma \dot{u} \mu \beta o u \lambda o s ~ a n d ~ \dot{\rho} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$ flow into each other. The article is repeated in $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \rho a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ каi $\dot{\eta} \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a(\S 93), \tau \delta \nu \tau \eta{ }_{\eta} s$
 where either the emphasis or a logical distinction seems to demand it. ovidèv... $\sigma$ vvaltios: contrasted w. $\mu$ évos ailcoos. In those things wh. might naturally be supposed to have been done in part by me, as being a statesman, he allows me no share; but for those misfortunes wh. are in no way related to my calling and work, I am alone responsible. What consistency !
 those fr. the other allies of Thebes, who had the precedence. - тò... кєф́daiov $=$ in summa. $-\dot{\omega} v=\tau$ oúr $\omega$ vä. - av̉rov̀s, i. e. the Thebans. - $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ovidovtar: the direct for the indirect mode, to give vividness. - $\hat{\dagger}$ §tívias aúroves, either by allowing themselves (i. e. the same as $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i v o u s ~ a n d ~ s u b j . ~ o f ~ \grave{\eta} \xi i o v \nu) ~$

 uffirmed we were about to advise, their property in Breotia would be plundered by meuns of the war. An argument, as W. remarks, that would have great force $w$. the selfish Thebans. - ovvetivovi', all aiming at the same result.
 harsh. Some MSS. have ávrelito $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \ldots \pi \mu \eta \sigma \alpha i \mu \eta \nu$, to repeat these things severally I would count worth all


 G. 1313. Transl. : thinking thut even a deluge, as it were, had swept over the events; i. e. all trace of them had become obliterated.
§215. $\mu e \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тav̂ta : this narrative is entirely contradictory to the statement of Esch., $\$ \S 137,140,141$. Diss. thinks that such a bare misrepresentation as this of Esch. (assuming that the account of Dem. is true) could not have been made unchallenged before the court; and he regards
the passage as a later insertion in the revised edition of Æsch．－ $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ， （ $\beta$ oŋ $\theta$ eite：Bremi calls attention to the asyndeton as deseriptive of rapid
 encamped outside the walls．Reiske understands that the Theban infantry and cavalry vacated their own city for the secupation of the Athenian army and encamped outside．This would be a＂compliment＂unheard of ！ Besides，as Diss，observes，the distinctive pronouns your and their could not be wanting．Boeckh Econ．，p．387，speaks of the difficulty，owing to the laxity of discipline among the ancient soldiery，of obtaining permission to introduce an army into an allied city for quarters．The meaning seems plainly this：the Athenian forces after pitching their camps outside were invited to take up＇quarters in the houses of the Thebans ；accordingly，$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau i a ̀ \nu$ embraces as a general term $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\boldsymbol{j}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ and $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu i \pi \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ．－кa日＇

 －$\sigma \omega \phi$ porivnŋs，self－command，Wh．；good bchavior，Kenn．；Euthaltsron－

 closest graurd not only by themselves but also by all men．
§216．кaтá $\gamma^{’}$ ipâs＝quod ad rcs quidem attinel．V．－ov̀тє．．．тe： negat．and affirm．correlated ；so often．－tàs $\pi$ рáras ：all the MSS．excep．t $\Sigma$ add $\mu \dot{a} \chi a s$ ．W．is the only editor we know of，besides Z，that follows $\Sigma$ ． Another instance of such a verbal ellipsis is found in Lysias pro Muntill．，
 sentence we would more naturally supply тapará̧ets＝mamoueres，skir－ mishos，fr．the preceding partic．W．thinks this admirably suited to the connection，as the allusion is probably to the preliminary marches and skirmishes by wh．the combined Thebans and Athenians songht to check the advance of Philip．－tov $\pi ⿰ 丿 ㇄$ auov，i．e．the Cephissus，wh．flows through the plain of Elatea and enters Brentia not far fr．Chæronea．－Tìv Xeluept－ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\eta} v:$ eritics are divided as to the application of this epithet，some making it mean the skiomish in the winter，others in the storm．But for the latter meaning the word is properly $\chi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \dot{\rho} \rho o s$ ．If，w．Grote，we suppose an inter－ val of 10 months（fr．Oct． 339 to Aug． 338 в．c．）between the capture of Flatea and the battle of Chæronea，there is no difficulty in placing these encounters early in the spring of 338 в．С．，and understanding $\chi є \iota \epsilon \epsilon\left\llcorner\nu_{\nu} \nu\right.$ of a wintry time among the mountain passes of Phocis，where snow is some－ times found in the spring months．But if，w．Clinton Fast ．Hellen．，App．． p．16，we suppose that the battle of Cheronea occurred only 50 days aftel the news arrived of Philip＇s entrance into Phocis，we have no recourse left other than to say w．him，＂the word $\chi$ єढ $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \nu$ is probably corrupt．＂

 to witness as being most excellent ；sc．by participating in the sacrifices，etc．
 imply a condemnation of the orator＇s policy．－rov̀s $\theta$ eovs ：the gods by whom the judges were sworn were the same as those to whom Esch．had
 Lord B．comments upon the exquisite diction，the majestic rhythm，the skilful collocation of this passage．The dilemma，he remarks，is better than the average dilemmas of oratory，and quite sufficient，though incom－ plete，for the momentary victory at wh．alone the orator often aims．What retort could Esch．obviously have made ？
§ 218．Oŋßaiot．．．voulyetv，but the Thebans were in the belief that they had been prescrved through us．The careful student will have noticed before this the fondness of Dem．for infinitive clauses w．the article．－тois voplyou－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \iota v$, i．e．$\dot{\eta} \mu i v \nu$ ．So reads $\Sigma$ ；all other MSS．have $\delta$ oкoṽ $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．V．supposes vouijovach to be a corruption due to the proximity of $\nu o \mu i j \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ．－ovitol refers to $\mathbb{E s c h}$ ．and his associates．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon v}$ ：the imperf．denotes the frequency of this correspondence，the object of wh．was to incite his allies in the Peloponn．war to render him more prompt assistance．Cf．§ 156．－oové－
 hardships．－$\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma$ ope ：there is no passage in Esch．speech where this is doue．But cf．Aesch．$c$ ．Ctes．，$\S 100 .-\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{i}$ is placed last for emphasis．
§ 219．Kad入íтparos，thut distinguished C＇allistratus．He was the most cminent orator of his period，and is said to have incited Dem．when a boy to the study of eloquence ly his speech on Oropus．－＇Apıoтoфŵv ：cf．§ 70. －Ḱ́фa入os ：cf．§ 251 ．－Oparúßou入os ：of Collytus ；not the famous de－ liverer of Athens from the rule of the Thirty Tyrants．－8id mavros＝ $\dot{u} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ ．Cf．$\S \S 88,179$ ．－ $\mathbf{v} \pi \in \in \in \in เ \pi \epsilon$ ，was wont to reserve for himself pri－
 fur in case of a calamity．－àvaфopà，a final resource，a means of recovery．
 עos．－X＇́pav oúbè mpóvotav，that it seemed to me to allow no opportunity nor cven forethought for personal safcty．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda e i a s ~ b e l o n g s ~ g r a m m . ~ t o ~ \pi \rho \dot{~}-$ vocav，but logically also to $\chi$ ஸ́pal wh．would be followed by the dat．－aya－ $\pi \eta$ тoेv eival，one must be content．The orator means to say that in his opinion duty to country should in such a crisis overshadow all personal considera－ tions，and one should be thankful if he were able to discharge that duty．
 the condition（cf．$\$ \S 30,190$ ）；$\not \partial \nu$ to be taken w．the infin．$\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\psi} \psi u, \pi \rho a \hat{\xi} a$, ，

constantly made myself the foremost．W．considers the phrase nearly

§222．Els taûra，к．т． $\mathfrak{E}$ ：the rhetorical order of the Greek may be imitated in Engl．：This is the condition into which，etc．；this is the utterance which，etc．－Emaupónevos $\lambda$ óyous，he who before this hurled nany defiant bousts ayainst the city．$\phi \omega \nu \grave{\eta} \boldsymbol{\ell} \dot{\epsilon} \pi a i p e c \nu, \$ 291,=$ to lift up the voice in loud tones．－$\Delta \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{2} \delta a s: ~$ ef．$\$ 249$ ．Acc，to the author of the Lives of the Tcn Orators， 848 c，Diondas prosecuted not only the authors of this deeree，De－ momeles and Hyperides，but also Aristonicus（§ 223）．－тò $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \rho \mathbf{\rho}$ ：cf．note § 103．－áтотєфєүүóта，acquitted，rarely used of things．
§ 223．＇Apıotóncos：cf．note \＆83，where the decree of Demom．and Hyper．is taken as a single one，and that of Ctes．is regarded as third in order．－бטүкатךүópクбev：the usages of Athenian law－courts permitted the prosecutor to associate $\mathbf{w}$ ．himself several assistants in making complaint and in carrying on the suit．Cf．Meier and Schöm．Att．Proc．，p．710．－ $\Delta \eta \mu о \mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ：a cousin of Dem．A．Schaef．，II．528，conjectures that the crown－ ing proposed by Demom．occurred at the great Dionysia，April， 338 в．с．， and that proposed by Hyper．at the Panathenaic festival，August， 338 в．c． I prefer the view of W．，that Hyper．was only incidentally comected w． the crowning proposed ly Demom．－$\mu \hat{a} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．．．eikótws，nore properly than this man，i．e．Ctes．；simply hecause it is more fitting to punish the first offence than the second after the first has been passed by unnoticed．
§ 224．т⿳⺈⿴\zh11 ：refers to C＇tes．；$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i v o u s ~ t o ~ t h e ~ p e r s o n s ~ j u s t ~ m e n t i o n e d ~ ; ~$ тои̂тov aủtò to Esch．；oũтos to Ctes．again．－àveveүкєiv $\left\langle\pi^{\prime}\right.$ ，to refer to （as a precedent）．The force of the prep．remains throughout the sentence．
 could not be renewed in an Athenian court of law．But the suit brought by Esch．was not exactly identical $w$ ．that brought by Diondas against Demom．and Hyper．；so that the spirit of the law，rather than its letter，is meant，and the argument of Dem．is a moral rather than a legal one．－ то́те，in that case ；i．e．supposing Esch．had brought suit in the first in－ stance．－тò $\pi \rho a \hat{\gamma \mu a}$ ，к．т．E．，the real question（i．e．whether Dem．was deserving of a crown or not）would have been tried on its own merits，befire it had obtained any of these precedents．These points seem well taken．
 transactions connected $w$ ．the peace of Philocrates．$-\mu \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \ldots \mu \eta \delta \in \mathbf{l}$ ，st．ov゙ $\tau \epsilon$ ．．．ov $\delta \epsilon$ is，because there is a shade of uncertainty in the thought，wh．is im－
 speak to the purpose．oixèे $\lambda \in$＇rew $=$ to speak to no purpose．


$\S 227$. $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$, i. e. Esch. and himself. Dem. makes the illustration of his rival apply to them both. This idea is brought out more fully in the
 that money remains over in the hands of some one. The figure is taken fr. trade or banking business. - кaßaupêซtv, lit. if the pebbles cancel or remove, se. one's credit of money ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \rho \rho^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$ ) ; i. e. if accounts balance. This sense of кäaupeiv seems somewhat forced ; aipeiv in the passage cited fr. Æsch. is to be taken in much the same way, and àvavedeiv (lit. to take up on the opposite side), § 231, has the same sense. Other Editt. except V. read кa $\theta a-$ pai $\hat{\omega} \sigma \nu$, wh. is explained as referring to clearing away the pebbles from the board (cf. daßáktov, Abacus, Dict. Antiq.) in opposite columns of debit and credit, until the whole board is cleared and accounts balance.
 oủ $\mathbf{\gamma a ̀ p}$ à, к. $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. E., for he would not be seeking to change your mind, if there were not such an opinion as this existing in regard to each of us. Dem. proves himself skilful in retort.
 predicate ; for the mode of reckoning public deeds is not this (i. e. the arithmetical, but another).
§230. таратаદ̆apévovs: in agreement w. av̉roús supplied fr. Өnßaious


 wards, hence on the side of the sea; referring to the security of the coasts fr. predatory invasions. - $\lambda a \beta$ óvca, after he should have taken Byzantium; to be taken in close connection w. Philip's conceived (not actual) occupation of the Hellespont.
 this question in a sneering tone : Can the statement of deliverance fr. such threatened calamities be likened to an arithmetical computation of debit and
 ayainst each other? Cf. note § 227. Does he mean (1) offset his own good deeds or benefits against the misfortunes that are charged to his administration ; as Lord B. expresses it: "Must these events be taken out of the opposite side of my account?" Or (2) offset these benefits just enumerated against the common misfortunes of the country ; wh. is the interpretation of Reiske, Wh., Kenn., et al. Or (3) should our deeds be made to cancel fach other ; are my services to the country to be set over against your misdeeds, so that they mutually cancel, and neither go down to later remembrance? The last interpretation seems most in accordance $\mathbf{w}$. the spirit of the entire passage. In the preceding section Dem. implies that the calamities
wh. were prevented by his policy would have been the legitimate result of his rival's conduct. And we understand this inquiry to be directed, as the one just before, to Esch., and w. a touch of sarcasm. The thought, then, of the passage is this: when Fsch. asks the Athenians to change their opinion respecting Dem. by taking into consideration the debit side of his account, Dem. responds : "let our accounts stand as they are; they are not to be cast un, and balanced as trading accounts, and then forgotten." $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau i \theta_{\eta \mu}$, add to the account ; a mercantile term in harmony w. the preceding $\lambda$ orı $\sigma \mu$ bs. - lv ois, in those cases in which. - каAámak : ef. § 197. erépors means the Phocians and Locrians, also the Thebans after the battle of Chæronea. -- $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathrm{\jmath}$ s $\phi \downarrow \lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ las refers to Philip's generous and kind treatment of the Athrnians after the battle of Chæronea. Demades


 $\pi \in \rho \_\beta a \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{evos}$, with $n$ view to accomplishing the rest of his purposes. $\pi \in \rho \iota-$ $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota=$ to compass or embrace in one's plans; ef. Isocr. Pancyyr., § 184. - ка入̄̄s moเôvтes, happily; indicating the subjective feeling of the speaker, $=I$ am happy to say. The difference between this and калиิs



 as that of the $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o t$ - $\uparrow \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha \sigma_{\chi} \boldsymbol{q}_{\mu} a \tau a$ : the former Cic., Orat. VIII., understands of the criticism Esch. ( $(\S 82,166$ ) made upon several expressions used by Dem.; the latter word may refer to the mimicking of certain gestures peculiar to Dem., wh. were, perchance, not altogether in precise keeping (cf. Æsch., § 167) w. the artificial rules of the schools. 一 Távv yàp, for altogether in consequence of this - don't you see? - have come about the affairs of the Greeks. A similar ironical use of oux ópqus is found in § 266 . - тарңŋveүка, I extended my hand on this side, but not on that side.

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma a} .$. deficveve : notice the diff. in the tenses: if I had made...he would
 phatic paraphrase for $\lambda \epsilon \xi \omega$.
§ 234. тov̀s deveveotárovs: when the peace of 346 в. c. was concluded, Athens had control over Peparethos, Proconnesos, Tenedos, Thasos, Sciathos, in addition to Lemnos, Imbros, Scyros, Samos, wh. belonged to her as dependencies. Chios and Rhodes had torn themselves loose in the Social War, $357-355$ B. c.; about the same time also occurred the revolt of

Corcyra. - $\sigma$ vivataktv: a euphemistic word, whose origin Harpocr. explains:
 ${ }_{\text {oro }}$ оиа. Bceckh Publ. Econ., p. 544, surmises that this contribution was sulsequently increased (ef. $\$ 237$ ) to as much as 400 talents. (Cf. Dem. Phil., IV., § 37 ff . This contribution was made under the new Athenian

 $\mathfrak{i} \pi \pi \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{a}$ : an instance of asyndeton. Cf. § 67 . - $\tau \omega ิ v$ oikei $\omega v$, the citizen-soldiery; the same as $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \div 0 .: \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}, \S 237$, in distinction fr. mercenary troops wh. are meant by $\dot{\partial} \pi \lambda i \tau \eta \nu, i \pi \pi \epsilon \in a$ and $\xi \in \nu 0 \iota$ (§ 237). - фоßєра́татоv, se. $\tau_{i} \nu$. - ovirot: Essch. and his associates.
 intéfuvos: a most lifelike picture of the comparative weakness and strength of a democratic and despotic form of government. Cf. Isocr. Nicool., § 19. Larned compares Canning's description of Bonaparte's power: "He asks no counsel, he renders no account, he wields at will the population and resources of a mighty empire and its dependent states."
§ 236. кal yàp $\tau 0 \hat{\tau^{\prime}}$ ' : the force of кal = also falls upon $\tau 0 \hat{\tau^{\prime}}$ ', wh. refers

 vov $\mu$ eteîxov : his only privilege, as compared w. the advantages of Philip, was that of haranguing the people, and this he had to share w. his opponents. - $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \gamma$ ยvoเvтo, and whatever successes thase gained over me; he means in the discussions and resolutions of the Assembly. - $\delta_{\imath} \imath^{\prime} \ldots \hat{\eta} v . . \pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma t v$, through whatever pretcxt each success might chance to arise. Ékaotov $=$ тò
 you adopted in favor of the enemy, and then took your departure (sc. fr. the Assembly).
§ 237. ik, out of ; i. e. as starting-points. - Meyaptas is written Mera-
 tion. - $\delta \sigma \omega v \ldots \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ : $\delta \sigma \eta \nu$ would be the usual constr.; the genit. is due to attraction. A decree found at the close of the Lives of the Ten Orafors states that this contribution amounted to more than 500 talents.
§ 238. Ti....8ikata, mur just dues as regurds the Thebuns. He alludes to the complaints of Æsch. contained in § 143, wh. is eited on p. 85. - Ti
一 Tīv lowv: "тà loa hoc loco sunt æequæ portiones sumptuum in bellum faciendorum." Schaef.-Tติv...трıйpడv : genit. of the whole depending on ràs $\delta: a \kappa o \sigma i a s .-\tau р \iota a \kappa o \sigma i \omega v$ : the whole number of ships at the battle of Salamis is given ly Thuc., I. 74, acc. to some MSS., as 300 , acc. to other MSS., as 400 , of wh. Athens furnished two thirds. Herod., VIII. 48, says there
were 378, and of these 180 were Athenian. - غोarrovor日an, to be defrauded. Dem. turns into a meritorious act what Æseh. had censured as an injustice practised upon the city by Thebes, through the venality of Dem.
§ 239. кєvds, к. $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. $\mathcal{E}_{0}$ : you confer upon these (i. e. the Athenians) empty, favors in calumniating me; empty, because so entirely useless after all was over. - паршшv, i. e. in the Assembly, as érpaфes shows. - èveठéxєтo, if, indeed, they (raû̃a) adinitted of it, sc. $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \tau \epsilon \omega$; i. e. if they were prarticable. $-\pi a \rho \alpha ̀=\delta i \alpha ̀$ : on account of, under the existing circumstunces. Schaef. But the sense seems quite as good if we take it as in map aưrà $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \kappa \bar{\eta} \mu a \tau a, \S 13,=$ at the moment of ; implying that the crises were such as to demand instantaneous action, when they had to accept what they could get, without deliberating upon what they might wish to have. - 8 $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ a
 what is indefinite. - кal...kai, not only...but also. - тov̀s...áme $\lambda a v v o \mu \hat{\text { evovs : }}$ W. takes in a hypothetical sense, and as referring to the Thebans and others who were negotiating for an alliance w. Athens, in case they should be repulsed through the exorbitant demands of the Athenians.
§ 240. vôv, as the case stands. - кarךyoplas: the plural, since there were many points embraced in the one formal accusation. - $\alpha v$ adds emphasis to $\tau \ell$ and modifies $\pi o t \in i ̂ \nu . . . \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ below, where it is repeated. C'§.
 -ákpıßodoyovpévov: deriv.? clueffering, hugyling.
 above. - eira, furthermore ; it continues the question in the direct form introduced by oủx; the whole is summed up at the close in oủk ằ raûr $\begin{gathered}\text { EXerov. }\end{gathered}$
 impending results of the policy of his rival, the orator here sums up in a different order as likely to be laid to his charge by Esch., had he not prevented them. His enemies now find fault $\boldsymbol{w}$. the means by wh. he averted the calamities wh. they were preparing; but these very calamities, had they occurred, they would have laid at his door; they were bound to blame
 - кal...үє, yea...and.
 for $a$ man; the nenter and the diminutive combining to form the contemptuous term. - aüтoтpayıкòs $\pi$ ( $Ө \eta$ коs : an allusion, probably, to his extravagant imitations and mimieries on the stage. - dpovpaíos Olvómaos : ef. note § 180. - $\mathbf{\delta e v}$ órns, power as an orator, eloquence. - тatpl $1 \delta$ : for the omission of the art. cf. § 170. - vôv, now at last, to-day; this emphasis belongs to it also in vôv $\lambda$ t' $\gamma \epsilon \mathrm{cs} ; \$ 243$.
§ 243. Ẅrmep àv et : the ellipsis (ef. § 194) is somewhat as follows: to do
 visiting his patients in the course of their sickness．On the parallel passage
 ary rites were being performed over him．－тò кal тoे，this and that，so and
 Bekk．＇s emendation for ${ }^{2} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ os of the MSS．，on the ground that the art． is needed w．the demonstr．pronoun．－eita vôv，do you then now at last （when all is over）speak？
§ 244．тolvvy marks the conclusion．－тìv ijvtav ：$_{1}$ at Chreronea．－$\pi a \rho^{\prime}$
 while $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon i \eta \nu, \S 45$ ，states the fact indefinitely．－ov̉к．．．ov̉к．．．ov̉к．．．ov̉．．． oủk．．．oúk．．．．ov ：a marked instance of asyndeton，adding much emphasis to the expression．－Өєтradias ：of these embassies little is known besides what is said in this oration and in the Third Philippic．The Theban has been described in § 211 ff ；that to Byzantium，in § 88．The kings of the Thracians were Teres and Cersobleptes．The latter Esch．，§61，mentions
 went on a similar embassy to the Peloponn．states．Cf．§ 79 and note § 45.
 ment，he came with arms and carried the day．Kenn．
§245．Ma入aklav $\sigma \kappa \omega \pi \pi \tau \omega v$, scoffing at the same man for cowvardice．In allusion to the charge of Esch．that he had fled fr．his post on the field of battle．This story，first found in Essch．，grew as it was repeated，until in the Lives of the Ten Orators，p．845，it is narrated as a fact，that Dem．，as he was fleeing fr．the battle－field，became entangled in a bramble－bush，and panic－stricken turned to the bush，saying：＂Spare my life and take me prisoner ！＂Against this charge the orator finds a sufficient defence in this and the succeeding sections．一 Tîs．．．母vXगिs：commentators are uncertain whether to take this as courage，temper，or as life．
 beg off．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho a к \tau a l:$ Grote，XI．121，remarks upon this claim of Dem．： ＂The first Philippic is alone sufficient to prove，how justly Dem．lays clain to the merit of having＇seen events in their beginnings＇and given timely warning to his countrymen．＂－ $\boldsymbol{\pi 0} \lambda_{\iota \tau \iota} \dot{\alpha}=$ oikeia ；which are inherent anil unavoidable defects belonging to all popular governments．$\pi b \lambda t s$ is used here in the sense of $\pi$ oגıceia．Cf．Isocr．Nicocl．，$\S 17 \mathrm{ff}$ ，for a comparison between democratic and monarchical government．－ws ：separated fr．the

 G． $1360 ; \mathrm{Cu} . \S 620$.


 matter of being bribed by money or not. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \alpha \phi \theta$. is dat. respect. - $\dot{\delta} \dot{\omega} v o u ́-$
 conquered, sc. arms and bribery. But with arms I had nothing to do, and as to bribery, I proved myself incorruptible ; ergo, the defeat of the city is chargeable to me neither in this nor in that.
IV. Ses 248-290. Demosthenes defends his Policy against the ('harge of being unfortunate; compares the Fortune of Athens with that of other States, and his own Fortese with that of \&schines; and finally appeals for Vindication of his Policy tu the Indorsement of the People after the Battle of Cheronea. 'A $\mu$ 'v: relat. clause precedes for the sake of emphasis. - rov̂rov: Ctesiphon.

 at all surnrising, if the multitude hud become disaffected towards me. For
 attack fr. Philip, the Athenians placed their city in a state of defence w. all possible speed. Read the graphic account given by Lycurgus in his speech against Lcocrates, $\$ \S 39-44$, of the state of feeling at Athens in con-
 cusable exaggeration. The proposal to place the Peiræus in a state of defence, to make the Metics citizens, to set free a portion of the slaves, to restore to citizenship those who had lost their political rights, to bring the women and children to the Peiræus for safety, was made by Hyperides the orator. - $\tau \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathrm{s} \tau \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathbf{l} \mathbf{X} \eta \mathrm{Xp}$. : the hasty and tumultuous preparations of defence described by Lycurg. 1. c. are meant, for wh. a special contribution seems to have been made. Cf. $\S \S 171,312$.- $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ เт $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta \boldsymbol{v}$, grain commissioner. Special commissions were appointed in time of war and of unusual searcity to procure an extra importation of grain.
§ 249. $\mu$ erd $\tau$ cav̂ca : when the excitement had died away, and Philip had announced through Demades his friendly intentions. - ovarávт由v: without the art. to make it indefinite. - үpaфds, se. $\pi \alpha \rho a \nu j \mu \omega \nu$, as appears fr.

 indicates that they did later. The leaders of the Macedonian faction had to move cautiously, as there was still strong sympathy w. Dem. among the

 крárous : not the same person as the one mentioned in § 21, but of Eleusis. - $\Delta$ twroסov : cf. § 222. - toivvv resumes the thought interrupted by the
 gave a verdict in accordance with their oath.
 setting the seal upon, spoken of the logistæ. The force of the impf. in all

 spond in their order to the different kinds of trial referred to by Dem. above; thus, the cioaryє入ia was tried before the demus, the $\gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta}$ тapavó$\mu \omega \nu$ lefore the dicasts, and the $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} \nu \eta$ before the logiste where the trial was an issue of fact.
§ 251. тov̂ Kєфá入ov: a statesman who flourished at the time of the restoration of the democracy under Thrasybulus. He was instrumental in uniting Thebes and Athens during the Corinthian war. - тò... фе́vetv, but it was the honor of Cephalus that he was never indicted. - $\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o v$, se. $\delta \dot{\alpha}$ rov̂ro: the sense is : why should a man who has never been convicted, but often been tried, on this account be held in reproach more justly than the mans who has never been on trial? - $\pi \rho \frac{5}{s} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ тov̂tov, as far as this man (Æseh.)
 ing in the bill, the latter that of carrying it through the court. - $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \mathrm{v}$, st. ovं $\delta \dot{\rho} \nu$, necause it is as the acknowledgment of Æsch. (not as the absolute fact) that he is in no respect a worse citizen. For the form of the neg. ef. G M T. 685.
 found in the Florilegium of Stobæus. - $\eta \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathrm{a} p:$ the relat. precedes the antecedent $\tau \alpha u u_{\eta} \eta$. By beginning the transl. w. $\pi \hat{\omega} s \chi_{\rho} \eta$,,$\kappa . \tau . \dot{\epsilon}$., the stndent will make a clearer Engl. sentence. - xpๆ̂rat тஸ̣̂ $\lambda$ óyч : cf. § 233. àӨpఉтเขผ́repov: the sense is with a more just notion of human life.
§ 253. $\Delta \omega \delta \omega v a i o v$ : the oracle of Zeus at Dodona was the most venerable of all the Greek oracles, although long ago superseded in influence by that of Apollo at Delphi. It may be that the orator purposely passes the Delphic oracle by from a suspicion of its truthfulness and patriotism, - a suspicion attributed to him by Æsch. in the words $\phi \iota \lambda \iota \pi \pi i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \eta \nu \nu \nu \theta i a r$
 time Thebes was in ruins, the recent uprising against Macedon in the Peloponnesus had been quelled by Antipater, and Alexander was in the midst of his conquests in Asia.


 yoke w. the rest of the Greek states, preserved its independence in affairs of home government much better than those Peloponnesian states ( $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ oin $\theta \in \nu \tau \omega \nu . . . \delta c a \xi \in(\nu)$ that had stood alool fr. the struggle against Philip.-
 obj．of $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi \in \nu a l$ ，wh．，like $\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta i \delta \omega \mu t$ and $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \omega$ ，may be followed by the accus．as well as by the partit．geuit．－tò $\mu$ f́pos ：in appos．w．the preceding infinitives；as that portion of the fortune of the rest of mremliml which has been allotled to us．
§255．LSLav．．．iv rois 18 iots，personal．．．in personnl reffairs；not in pub－ lic matters．This is in reply to the charge of Esch．that the misfortunee of the state were partly due to the ill－starred fortune of Dem．－ $\boldsymbol{i} \mu \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，se．
 －кирเштє́рау，paramount to；i．e．more effective for weal or woe．
§ 256．тávт $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ，altogether，at any rate；modifies the idea of $\pi$ poaupe？ $\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \oint \epsilon \iota v$. －$\mu$ ou $\pi$ pòs $\Delta$ tòs ：the same order is found in § 199．－廿vxpó－ т $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ，folly，Lord B．；bad taste，Kenn．；coldness，indifference，L．and Sc．； ungencrons feeling is the excellent rendering of Holmes，who explains the word as denoting＂a lack of that human wannth of heart and sym－ pathy which would naturally produce great tenderness of word and deed

 т由v，under the existing circumstances；lit．out of the things possiblc，i．e．as material．
 schools when I was a boy；in distinction ir．the schools attended by his rival．A Greek school education，as described by Plato Protag．，p．325， consisted of（1）vрáuцara＝language spoken and written ；（2）literature，or the study of authors ；（3）music，including rhythm ；（4）єúкобмia $=$ good principles and proper behavior．Before $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ all MSS．except $\Sigma$ and L．have $\mu \not ̇ \nu \delta \nu \tau \iota$ фoırầ $\epsilon i s=t o$ attend upon；this reading may have arisen fr ．the desire to obviate the harshness of the constr．that joins $\dot{u} \pi \hat{\eta} \rho$－ $\chi^{\in \nu}$ first w．a subst．and then w．an infin．（ $\left.\chi^{\epsilon \in \iota \nu}\right)$ ．－${ }^{\circ} \sigma a$ ．．．kvecav ：the father of Dem．left property to the value of 14 talents．How dishonestly this estate was administered by the guardians of Dem．，so that but a small fraction of it fell to him as inheritance，we learn fr．his speech against
入ou日a тoúrols，in keeping with these（my）circumstances．－Xор $\eta \gamma \in i v$, to act as choragus．See Dict．Antiq．Dem．is known to have been charagus in $350 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{c}$ ．，when the difficulty w．Midias occurred．（＇f．Orat．c．Mid．，§ 13. －трıทрapхєิิ ：ef．note § 102．The duties of trierarch were performed by Dem．several times：once in the Euboean war（ $357 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{c}$. ）；ff．§ 99. His guardians had him eurolled in the first class，and he was for 10 years
 dici ue tributo extraordinario ad belli necessitates．Diss．－фi入otıulas，
acts of liberality, Kenn.; Freigebigkeit, Jacobs. Two such acts of generosity are alluded to in § 268 . - $\pi \rho$ òs $\tau$ d̀ kotvd̀ $=$ upm public life. $-\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ ákıs
 disastrous the result may have been. "Dem. never forgets his leading distinctions." Larned.


 $\S 259 \mathrm{w} . \dot{\mathrm{e}} \pi \mathrm{l}$. The antec. of ots may be understood as roîs $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu a \sigma \mathrm{l}$. $\sigma \epsilon \mu v v v^{\prime} \mu \in v_{0 s}$ : this word is repeated w. a certain degree of bitterness. roiq $\tau เ v h$, what sort of $a$; the addition of $\tau$ is adds to the indefiniteness of the question. W. remarks that this entire passage now following, as well as that contained in $\S 129$, is to be regarded as the revenge of Dem. for his rival's similar attack upon him. (Cf. Esch., § 171 ff .) We could wish that the orator's revenge had been, instead of this, a dignified and contemptuous silence. - $\boldsymbol{\tau \rho} / \beta \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ : the ink was probably prepared, like our India ink, by rubbing from a cake. - oikitov, к. т. E., having the rank of a menial, not of a gentleman's som.
§ 259. Te入ov́rच, engaged in the riles of initiation. The allusion is to the celebration of Orphic-Bacchic mysteries of Phrygian origin, wh., though under the public ban, had gained at that time considerable foothold in Greece, owing to the prevalent taste for semi-religions excesses. The deity who was worshipped in these rites was Sabazius or Sabadius, the son of Rhea or Cybele, who in later times was identified w. Dionysus Sabazius. ('f. Aristoph. $A v ., 875$. - $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ( $\beta$ 入ovs: Plato Republ., II. 7, speaks of the large number and extensive influence of soothsayers and priests, who carried about with them volumes of oracular sayings and formulæ of consecration, wh. they pretended came from Orpheus and Musens. - veßpitcov, wrapping in fawn-skins (sc. the novitiates). - крarnpi\}cv is defined by
 is explained by Wyttenbach (ad Plut. de Superstit., p. 166) as follows: "Lustrationis pars erat ut corpus lustrandum circumlineretur et quasi circumpinseretur imprimis luto [ $\pi \eta \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ ], tum abstergeretur [ $\pi \iota \tau$ v́poıs], quorum illud est $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \epsilon \downarrow$ hoc $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \mu a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$; sed utrumque promiscue de tota lustratione dicitur. - dvioras : the candidate seems to have been in a lying or sitting posture during the process of purification. Cf. Aristoph. Nub., 255. - Eфvүov, к. т. ह. : a formula wh. signified that one had passed into a new life. It was used also in certain nuptial ceremonies.
 voice, wh., if we may credit Dem. (cf. $\S \S 280,285,313$ ), he used not with-

fennel plant possessed the property of charming serpents; the white poplar was believed to grow in Hades, and served as a symbol of life and death. Harpocr. Lex. says that those initiated in the Bacchic mysteries werr crowned w. the white poplar, because Dionysus was a $\theta$ eds $\chi$ ${ }^{\theta}$ óvos. - тov̀s mapeias, squeczing the puffy-checked snukies; so called fr. their large pulfy cheeks (пapeia); they were thought to be harmless. Cf. Etym. Mayn., 653 ; Aristoph. Plut., 690. The use of serpents in the Bacchic services is alluded to also by Eurip. Bucch., 697. - єvoî $\sigma a \beta$ ồ, Euoi Saboi! a Bacchic exclamation, whose origin grammarians give variously : củoî fr. $\epsilon \hat{v}$ ol,

 Hycs Attes! üns is generally taken as simply an exclamation w. ârons, and ärrŋs as an equivalent of $\Sigma a \beta a ́ s i o s . ~ T h e ~ n a m e ~ " A r r \eta s ~ i s ~ f o u n d ~ i n ~ P a u s a n ., ~$ VII. $17, \$ 5$. The whole is supposed to be the opening or the refrain of a Bacchic street chorus, that was accompanied by dances and contortions of
 the procession, marshal. - кıттофópos, adorned with ivy; Attic for кьббофópos. The ivy was sacred to Dionysus. This is the MSS. reading ; but Reiske, Bekk., Taylor, and others follow Harpocr., Suid., Phot., and read $\kappa \iota \sigma \tau \circ \phi \dot{s} \rho \mathbf{s}=$ bearer of the chest (wh. contained the sacred utensils). V. contends that it is improbable that the same person should be both кıoto申'spos and $\lambda_{t \kappa v o \phi o p o s ~ i f ~ t h e y ~ m e a n ~ d i f f e r e n t ~ t h i n g s, ~ a n d ~ t h a t ~ b o t h ~ w o r d s ~}^{\text {a }}$ should be used if they mean the same thing. - $\mathrm{ev} \theta \mathrm{p}$ utra, sops; acc. to Photius they were bits of bread sopped in wine and sprinkled over w.
 fresh-ground barley-cakes dipped in honey and studded w. raisins and chick-peas.
§ 261. $\dot{\text { o }} \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \sigma \delta \dot{\eta} \pi о \boldsymbol{\epsilon}=$ quocumque modo ; implying that it was in a way anything but creditable. Cf. note § 130. The enrolment in the register of citizens was made at the expiration of the 17th year of one's age. -
 $\lambda$ áms: by the choice of this word he wishes to imply that it was a hard and disagreeable service to $w h$. Æsch. had been bound. - тథ $\ldots \boldsymbol{\beta} / \varphi$, b! your subsequent career.
§ 262. tois $\beta$ apuaróvots, nicknamed the groaners; probably fr. their ridiculous overacting of the pathetic. - $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mu \mathbf{\mu} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\sim} \boldsymbol{\sim}:$ Demochares in Vita AEsch., p. 246, mentions the fact that Esch. wandered w. these strolling players through the land and appeared on "the provincial boards" in the celebra-
 ering from the orchards of others, just as a fruit-huchster. The country Dionysia occurred at the time of the vintage. Esch. is represented bere, ace. to.
one view, as going about the country gathering up fruit, either as a thief or as a beggar ; acc. to another view, as picking up fr. the stage the fruit w. wh. the audience pelted him and his associates for their poor acting. If the latter view is correct, it is difficult to see what $\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho . \chi$. refers to, since it is wholly superfluous w. $\delta \pi \omega \rho \rho \dot{\omega} \eta \eta s .-\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ̆ v \omega v$ : the sense is obscure. Most eritics understand these words to mean that Æsch. harvest of fruit was greater than his earnings as an actor, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu$ referring to the dramatic contests in which you (the actors) were engaged at the peril of your life. By taking $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \nu$ to refer to contests between the spectators and the actors the gloss $\tau \rho a u_{\mu} a \tau \alpha$ after toúr $\omega \nu$, wh. is omitted by $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ alone, crept into the text. Others understand the allusion to be to the blows received by him as a vagrant in the orchards. But Dem. would not say : "you received more blows from this calling than from your acting," when he states immediately afterward that they acted at the peril of their life. Professor Tyler seems to combine the two interpretations, as if the orator used an intentional ambiguity : "the result was that they got more (both kicks and coffers) in this way, than from acting in the plays." - $\sigma \pi \pi 0 v \delta_{0}$ кal ák truce, i. e. unccasing ; and without herald, i. e. implacable. Cf. Xen. Anab., III. 3. 5. - трav́par' alludes to the showers of stones, fruit, and other missiles sent by the audience for his wretched acting. This is more fully


 $\lambda$ vis : with reference to the reproach of cowardice that Esch. brings repeatedly against him.
 shull procced to consider step by step the actual charges against your character. - потє, at last ; " continuing the taunt that ※sch. was long exeluded fr. political life by his low origin and occupations." Holmes. - $\lambda a y \omega$ à $\beta$ iov \% $\ddagger$ ns, you led the life of a hare; a proverbial expression for a life of anxious fear and timidity.
 83, state that a thousand Athenians fell in the battle of Chæronea, and that two thousand were taken prisoners. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \mathrm{v}$ ต็ $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ contains an implied

 G M T. 525 ; H. 914 ; G. 1430.
 ('f. § 130.-8i(8arkes ... $\pi a \pi p i \delta o s:$ this passage was regarded by the ancient rhetoricians as a model of antithesis. But Demetrius de Elocut., § 250, finds
fault w. the number of balanced sentences; he thinks the effect of the contrast is weakened by so many antithetic parts, and the entire passage shows more artifice than earnestness. In sharp and severe outline Dem. sums up the career of his rival in its five leading points : (1) as assistant to a pedagrogue ( $\S 258$ ); (2) as helper in the initiations ( $\$ 8259,260$ ) ; (3) as clerk (§ 261) ; (4) as actor (§ 262); (5) as statesman (§ 263). Most Editt. have
 in $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, L., nor in the oldest rhetoricians. - ereגes...kreגoúp $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ : the antithesis becomes clear when we understand $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \epsilon$ ets of the disreputable Sabazian mysteries and $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \lambda$ ov́ $\mu \eta \nu$ of the sacred Eleusinian mysteries. Kenn. quotes fr. Milton's Apology for Smectymnuus the following imitation: "Speaking of the young divines and students at college, whom he had so often seen upon the stage acting before courtiers and court ladies, he (Milton) proceeds thus: 'There while they acted and overacted, among other young scholars I was a spectator; they thought themselves gallant men, and I thought them fools; they made sport, and I laughed ; they mispronounced, and I mimicked; and, to make up the Atticism, they were out, and I hissel.' "
 no wrong whatever. Tò a $\delta$ cxeiv is the accus. retained w. the pass. constr. -
 and you ure in danger either of being obliged to cominue to do this (i. e. act the part of slanderer, since Æsch. to be consistent must play his rôle to the end, must not stop, therefore, with gaining this suit) or of being forthwith silenced, by losing this suit. Thus, the orator means to say, Esch. must

 comm. reading alds wंs $\phi$ aú $\lambda \eta s$, wh., as Voem. justly remarks, weakens the

 me read, although below he says $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon$; but it is well known that what one orders another to do, he may be said to do himself. $-\mu \mathrm{ot}=I$ pray, thee : the ethical dat. Cf. note § 178. - Eגvpaivov, used to murder. ('f. § 180. We speak of murdering the king's English.- $\dagger$ кш ... $\pi$ údas: the beginning of the Hecuba of Euripides. tuvias is governed by $\lambda \iota \pi \omega \dot{\nu}$ in the next verse,
 this play the shade of Polydorus as trilagonist. - какаүүелeiv is an ämağ גeүómevov. This iambic verse is from an unknown tragedy. - кaкòv какŵs : an instance of paronomasia ; cf. § 11 , and the Latin malos male perdere.
§ 268. тoloûtos, sc. 㔚 غ่रढ́. — кo vòs, se. ciui, I am pubicc-spirited. A similar omission of the first pers. of this verb oceurs in Dem. Lept., § 55,
 ransomed a number of Athenians who had been taken prisoners in the Olynthian war．－ouvej $\delta \delta \%$ ка，joined with any（sc．the poorer of the citi－ zens）in portioning their daughters．Every bride must bring her husband a marriage dower；the poor obtained it by the generous contributions of
 might be placed contributions to defray burial expenses，and to buy military outfits for the poor．
 Anicit．，XX．71，as follows：＂Odiosum sane genus hominum officia expro－ brantium，quæ meminisse debet is in quem collata sunt，non commemorave

 is almost like reproaching one．－троахӨ斤́боцац，sc．тоьєiv．－8тшs по日＇， к．$\tau$ ．غ．，but in whatever way I have been regarded as respects these thinys， $I$ am content．
§§ 270，271．àma入入ayeis，having escaped from ；as if from some unpleas．
 of the Greeks．－à̂êos，unscathed by．－vîv，i．e．in the year $331-330$ в．c．

 jected by V．and W．But this word seems necessary to the sense．Dem．is refuting the notion，so persistently declared by his rival，that his personal fortune was answerable for the misfortunes of the country，and his argument runs thus ：you cannot name any one who has not been injured by Philip or Alexander；but among those who have been thus injured there are many who have never seen me nor heard my voice．Can I be the cause of their misfortunes？How much more just，then，is it to say that our mis－ fortunes and theirs are attributable to a common cause（sc．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \pi \dot{\pi} \alpha \tau \omega \nu$
 the cause of all，i．e．of all the evil that has come upon the Greeks and Barbarians．Voem．and West．render ：I concede that my fortune，whether you plectse to call it good or ill，has become the fortune of all（men）．But how
 tıva．．．osx olav R6et，a certain irresistible and deplorable tide of troubles． Some take фopáv here in the sense of crop or harvest，as in § 61.
§ 272．mapd tovtouth，among these ；i．e．my fellow－citizens，as dis－
 even if not．－aùтoкрátwp，e．g．like Philip．Cf．§ 235.
§ 273．बفो．．．тpoutiect $\sigma \kappa 0 \pi \epsilon \mathrm{iv}$ ，and at all times the city proffered the op－

this taunt is not fair. Esch. and his party were cutvoted and had to submit to what they could not prevent ; their approbation they did not give.
 it was not out of good-will, cortainly, that you relinquishad to me, etc.; i. e. you did not give up these in order that I might have them. - $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \omega$, hopes, prospscts of rcururd. - ทंттஸ́цєvos, because you were compelled. -
 gives variety. Which of these forms of expression has more reference to the mature and contents of the action? - vôv '̇үка入ิิข...тóт' oűk eľxєs : how and where has the orator made this point before? Cf. $\& 8$ 217. It is the characteristic of a good orator to impress an important point by frequent yet ever-varying repetition.
§ 274. ${ }^{t} \mathbf{\gamma \omega} \gamma^{\prime}$, I for my part see; however oblivious of these principles my opponent may be. $-\pi \omega \mathrm{s} \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тotav̂ra, such principles as these somehow defined and laid doun. - $\mathbf{\alpha} \delta$ เкєî tis ékév : former examples of this hypo-


 $\nu \omega \nu$ are placed at the beginning so as to connect this third category more closely w. the two preceding. - ov кат $\omega \boldsymbol{p} \theta \omega \sigma \epsilon$, failed in common with all.
 lihct., I. 13. - тథֻ тооои́тథ : the addition of the art. makes the reference more specific $=$ such an one as this.
§275. rois vópots, from the laws. The distinction between vorot and $\nu \dot{\mu} \mu \mu \boldsymbol{\iota}$ may be stated thus: $\nu \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{o s}=$ a positive, definite law or ordinance, generally written ; $\nu \dot{\rho} \mu \mu \mathbf{o s}=$ a principle or rule that is founded upon custom or upon the moral sense of every human being. Cf. Soph. Antig.,

 VII., p. 793 ; Dem. c. Aristocr., § 70. - $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ : cf. note § 114. - árvx $\eta$ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{a}^{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ : misfortunes he imputes to me as crimes.
 G. 1379 ; Cu. § 533 , Obs. - ©etvòv, skilful as a speaker, hence eloquene.


 these statements must also be true. For the constr. cf. § 122.
 The thought thus interrupted is resumed w. the words $\epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ oilv...éñetpla тouaút $\eta$. - Votw yáp, nay, be it so. Let it pass that I am an orator; this imputation is, after all, a kind of proof that $I$ am in sympathy $w$. the

 you may receive and feel kindly towards each. For the subj. w. ăv cf. G M T. 532 ; H. 914 B ; G. 1431 ; Cu. § 534. - $\delta \delta 0 \xi \epsilon$ : why the aor.? Cf. G M T. 533 ; H. 840 ; G. 1394 ; Cu. § 494 . This sentiment, that the audience controls the speaker, not the speaker the audience, is stated negatively in the $d e$ F. L., § 340 : "Other powers are tolerably independent, but that of speaking is reduced to nothing when you who hear are opposed." The same important truth has been dwelt upon by all rhetoricians fr. Aristotle to Whately.- $\pi a \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{ol}$ :

 referred back to the collective $\tau \iota s$, as in $\S 99$.
 quire to secure to himself; i. e. by condemning his opponent. - clotéva, nor ought he to come before you, as a prosecutor. - $\pi$ páws... $\mathbf{X x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{v}$, to have them (i. e. these feelings) mildly and moderately disposed. - Toेv modtтewónevov... tòv ค́ $\mathfrak{T r o p a}$ : the former is one that takes an active part in public affairs, the latter more distinctively a speaker and advocate in the public assemblies. For the repetition of the art. ( $\tau \delta \nu$ ) cf. note $\S 212$. $\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{v}}$ ois...т $\boldsymbol{\tau} \delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mu \varphi$, et in quibus populo res est cum adversariis. The full ex-



 art. (тঠे) is used w. this infin. below. - ovveoкevar $\mu \hat{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{v}$, having concocted.

 sum of all baseness. Most MSS. omit кal, and then vivv...какiay forms a pentameter.
 Esch. practice in elocution and his fine voice are derided also in § 308.
 All this is by way of retort upon Esch., who in de F. L., § 157 , speaks of Dem. voice as $\delta \xi \in \epsilon i a \nu$ кai ad ${ }^{2} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota v$. Yet how much pains Dem. took to cultivate his voice and delivery, Quintilian mentions, Orat. Instit., XI., Chap. III., §§ 53, 130. - $\beta$ оидónevos: as indicating the motive. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} / \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\circ} \boldsymbol{v}$, the thing to be valued. - Tóvos ( $\tau \epsilon(\nu \omega)$, the pitch of the voice. Cf. $\begin{gathered}\text { édipas } \\ \tau \eta \\ \nu\end{gathered}$

 is not moored by the same anchor as the multitude. A common metaphor.

 ${ }^{\text {mulblic）nor personal（as opposed to public interests）．}}$
§ 282．$\epsilon \dot{\theta} \in(\omega \mathrm{s} . . \mathrm{k}$ \＆ embassy went fr．Athens to Philip，to treat for the release of the prisoners of war．At the head of this embassy was Demades（cf．§ 285）．His asso－ ciates were Eseh．and Phocion．Cf．Grote，Chap．XC．；Plut．Phoc．， 16. －$\delta \mathbf{s}$ refers to Philip．－mávia Xpóvov ：taken literally，this is an exaggera－ tion，since Esch．went on two embassies concerning the peace of Philoc－ rates；he is to be understood，therefore（and doubtless he was so under－ stood by his audience），as meaning all the former time since 346 в．с．－ тaúrŋv Tìv Xptlav，although he refused this service（i．e．of going on an embassy to Philip）．－катарärat ：at the opening of each Assembly it was customary for the crier or herald to imprecate curses on the enemies of the state，and more particularly on those who plotted to overthrow the de－ mocracy and were bribed to betray their country into the hands of foreign foes．Cf．Schüm，de Comil．Athen．，p．92．Cf．§ 130．－ov̉ros＝totoûtos， wh．is the reading of many MSS．
§ 283．ov $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{\sigma} \theta$ aı ：why not $\mu \eta$ ？Cf．G M T． 594 ；H． 1024 ；G．
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \nu, \S 282$ ．－т $̀ \boldsymbol{\eta} v$ aitiav ravir $\eta \mathrm{v}$ ，this charge，sc．of holding friendly relations with Philip．
§ 284．пробधтоovô．．．dvópara：cf．§8 51，52．＂The repetitions，the en－ forcement again and again of the same point，are a distinguishing feature of Demosth．，and formed also one of the characteristics of Mr．Fox＇s great
 were accompanied with the beating of drums and cymbals．－$\xi \in \operatorname{vos} . . \phi_{\text {il }}$ 人os ．．． $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ риos ：the thought is presented in the form of a climax，as each of these terms expresses less than the one next preceding ：guest－friend，friend， ＂cquaintance．－єi入$\lambda \mu \mu$ ivos．．． $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{o v \omega s}$ ，although you yourself have been thus openly apprehended as a traitor，and although you have become，ete．－ $\mathbf{k \pi i}$
 fr．the connection．Many MSS．add these words；an addition that，coming after so many long syllables，would mar the rhythm of the sentence．
§ 285．По入入d．．．j $\mu v \eta \mu o ́ v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ：the connection between this sentence and what immediately precedes is so loose，as to lead Dobreus to strike out this and to connect $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \overline{i o \nu} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ directly w．the last sentence of § 284，making the
 as it stands，though not very close，seems clear enough ：＂I was not the author of the evil he charges upon me，but of much good；and here is the proof that the people so regarded me．＂－xelporovwิv．．．lpov̂vr＇：the custom of pronouncing eulogies upon those who fell in battle was a later addition to the public funeral rites with wh．they were honored（cf．Thuc．，II．35），
dating probably fr．the close of the Persian wars．Cf．Diod．，XI． 33. Speciinens of such funeral orations are the famous one of Pericles，given by Thuc．，II．35－46；that found in Plato＇s Menexenus；the èmırdфıos tois Ko－ $\mu \nu \theta l \omega \nu$ ßon $\theta o i ̂ s$ ，attributed to Lysias ；and an $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \phi t o s$, attributed to Dem． The choice of an orator was made by the people，the Senate having only the right to nominate（ $\pi \rho \circ \beta \lambda \eta \theta \dot{e} \nu \tau a) .-\Delta \eta \mu a ́ \delta \eta \nu$ ：an orator of consummate ability，regarded by some as a match for Dem．himself（cf．Quint．，Instit． Orat．，II．Chap．17，§§ 12， 13 ；Plut．Dem．，8，10）．He was also a man without principle and a notorious partisan of Philip，described by Plut． Phoc．，I．，as vaváyıov $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ．As prisoner of war at the battle of Chæ－ ronea he secured the favor of Philip，and became the agent of the peace
 ${ }^{\text {＇Hy }} \mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ova}$ ：a member of the Macedonian party and a self－educated orator． Esch．c．Ctes．，§ 25，mentions him as the author of a law w．reference to the Theoric fund enacted soon after the battle of Chæronea．Hegemon and Pythocles were put to death together w．Phocion，five years after the death of Alexander the Great．－Пvөorkéovs ：at first a friend of Dem．，he became later the hireling of Philip．Cf．de F．L．，蓣 225，314．－V＇${ }^{\prime}$ äctvov，etiam luculentius et studiosius Diss．
 the order of relat．and antec．clause．－ $\boldsymbol{\oplus}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\eta} \sigma a r e$, this you acknowledged in the misfortunes of the state ；referring to their sympathy and friendship， w．Philip，as at the beginning of $\S 284$. －oiv，accordingly ；as the natural inference fr．such conduct．－ $\mathbf{\omega} \mathbf{v}$＇̇申póvovv．．．．．8etav，they（the people）had the opinion that those who found in the public disasters security for the free utterance of their sentiments（lit．freedum from fear on account of what they thought，or，as some think，on account of what they purposed）had been their enemies long ago，but at that moment had become such manifestly．
§ 287．Eita кal тpoofketv，in the next place to be fitting also．－imo入au－ $\beta$ ávovtes．．．tov̂to $\delta^{\prime}$＇ éwpov：an anacoluthon，$\delta \epsilon$ being used as if a verb st．partic．preceded．So in Xen．Anab．，VI．6． 16 ：$\chi^{\lambda \lambda \epsilon \pi \delta \nu, ~ \epsilon i ~ o i \delta \rho u \in \nu o t ~}$

 ．．．ónóvoovסov：deriv．？Should not have sat under the same roof or at the same table with．Kenn．Pabst renders by Haus－und Tischgenosse．－kikễ： at the court of Philip．－кшнáşıv кal maıшvi̧̧tv ：Dem．de F．L．，§ 128，



 $\pi \rho \circ$ йт $\omega \in \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Theopompus，as cited by Athenreus，X．435，states that after
the battle of Chroonea Philip invited the Athenian envoys to dine with him. Cf. note § 282. - 〒ท̂ фшvŷ Sakpvesv : the idea is that he assumed a pathetic tone. - ímokpıroúvous, acting a part. Cf. § 15. The plur. is used so as to include in the allusion Demades, Hegemon, and Pythocles, besides Esch. úpiv, ímâs below have the same reference.
§ 288. oi...aipefévets : an attributive clause, hence the repetition of the

 relatives of the deceased formed a sort of committee of arrangement for the funeral ceremonies, the closing act of wh. was the $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \delta \epsilon \iota \pi \nu 0 \nu$ or $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \delta$ $\delta \epsilon \iota \pi v o v$. Cf. Dict. Antiq. sub Funus. - a $\lambda \lambda \omega \omega$ s $\pi \omega s$ : supply a verb like
 $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon^{l} \omega \theta \epsilon$, i. e. to make the funeral feast at the house of the nearest relative. Cf. Lucian de Luctu., C. 24. - кotvŋ̂ : as opposed to $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \in$ e. The sense is this : the statesman who labors for the welfare of all the citizens stands more nearly related to all than any other individual citizen; each individual mourns over his own kin, but his grief is for all alike. - \$... $\delta$ u$\phi e p e v: \delta a \phi \hat{\rho} \rho \epsilon \omega$ is used here like the Latin interesse w. the dative. - a $\mu \eta$ пот’ åenov, sc. тaөeiv: what kind of a wish? Cf. © M T. 734 ; H. 871 a; G. 1512,1513 ; Cu. § 515.
§ 289. EПIIPAMMA : the monument erected over the ashes of those who fell at Chæronea is mentioned by Pausanias, I. 29. 13, as situated in the outer Cerameicus, on the road to the Academy. Such monuments bore inseriptions (ė $\pi \iota \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu a \tau a)$ wh. contained, besides the names of those buried, some poetic commemoration of their bravery and patriotism. The inseription before us is of unknown origin, is not found in $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ and several other MSS., contains many irregularities of diction, and is altogether so unsatisfactory, that almost all critics believe it to be of later composition. - $\mathbf{l l} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \eta \mathrm{p}$ เv ${ }^{2} \theta \epsilon v \tau 0 ~ 8 \pi \lambda a$, put their arms into the conflict ; an unusual expression ; $\theta \in \sigma \theta a t$
 cal exaggeration as regards the result of the battle. So Lycurg. c. Leocr.,
 щарvápevot $\delta^{\prime}$ áperffs кal $\delta \in(\mu a \tau о s: ~ s o ~ r e a d ~ t h e ~ M S S . ~ V o e m e l, ~ i n ~ a n ~ E x-~$ cursus, gives at least 15 different interpretations of this distich, of wh. the more important may be stated under these four heads : (1) Many recent Editt. accept Valckenaar's conjecture of $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau o s$ for $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta}$ íдatos, and join both genitives $w . \mu a \rho v$. as genit. cause or price: contonding with bravery and courage; or, retaining $\delta \epsilon i \mu a t o s$, understand it $w$. the Schol. as $=\phi b \beta$ ov oṽ
 virtute intrepida; or read $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \uparrow \hat{\eta} s ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \epsilon i \mu a t o s=$ virtute contra communem

their lives as the price of bravery and courage; or read $\delta$ id $\delta \in i \gamma \mu a t o s=t h e y$ did not, etc., through a display of bravery. (3) Take ápeт $\hat{s}$ каi $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau$ os as an exclamation $=0$ valor and courage! or change to ápєr $\hat{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{\omega} \dot{\omega} \delta \in \mathfrak{\gamma} \gamma \mu \mathrm{a} o \mathrm{~s}=$ 0 display of valor! (4) Join dं $\epsilon \tau$ ท̂s каi $\delta \epsilon i \mu a \tau о s ~ w . ~ \beta \rho \alpha \beta \hat{\eta}$, the negat. clause oúk... $\psi v \chi$ ás being parenthetic and requiring the $\alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ following.
 סeiцaros... $\beta \rho \alpha \beta \hat{\eta}$. A similar transposition in the order (called Hyperbaton) is

 view the sense is : these by their death proved what they were, whether brave men or cowards; brave men, of course, for they all chose Hudes as their common arbiter. V. cites Lycurg. c. Leocr., § 49, in support of this interpretation. - oüvecev 'Eג $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \eta \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ must be taken closely w. the idea of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \theta$ apov that is contained in ov̉k é $\sigma a ́ \omega \sigma a \nu \psi v \chi a ́ s . ~-~ \zeta v \gamma o ̀ v ~ a u ́ \chi e ́ v \iota ~ \theta e ́ v \tau \epsilon s: ~ t h i s ~ i s ~$ properly said of victors who impose the yoke upon the vanquished. Diss. renders it by se subjicientes. The idea would be more properly expressed
 burned upon the field of battle. - $\boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ крiots: referring to what follows.
 The two main interpretations are given : (1) The transl. ace. to the punctuation here adopted, wh. is that of Bekk., is: to fail in nothing is of the gods, and to succeed in all things in life; but from destiny no escape is allotted. The sense of the entire passage then is this: these sacrificed their lives for the freedom of their country; in that they lost their lives they met their $\mu 0 i \rho \rho a$, fr. wh. there is no escape anyway; in that they failed of success they were not at fault, for success ( $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$ кatop $\theta o \hat{v} \nu$, wh. is the positive side of $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \epsilon i \nu)$ is the gift of the gods. $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ is possess. genit., it belongs to the gods, hence is theirs to bestow. So Eschyl. Septem.,

 It also agrees, as we shall see, w. the application Dem. makes in § 290.
(2) But Z., W., Dind., not to mention many more, punctuate after катор$\theta$ oûv, join $\epsilon \nu \beta t o r \eta ̂$ w. what follows, and read thus: to fail in nothing and to succeed in all things is the prerogative of the gods; but in mortal life (i. e. to mortals) it is decreed in no wise to escape destiny. Here the condition of the gods and of men is contrasted, and we should expect some such application as this : it must, therefore, occasion no surprise, if we mortals fail in our undertakings. But how different is the application wh. the orator makes below in oủ $\tau \hat{\psi} \sigma v \mu \beta o v ́ \lambda \psi, \kappa, \tau, \dot{\varepsilon}$., we shall presently spe. To the many metrical translations already published of this epitaph, all of which follow in the last distich the sccond interpretation just given, I venture to add the following : -

> These for their country rushed into the fray, And bravely kept the boastful foe at bay; Spared not their lives, but prizing honor's name, Chose Hadles judge of glory or of shame; For Hellus' sake, that fur removed might be Forevermore the curse of slavery. And now geurds well their slecp the fatherland, Since this decrec from Zeus shall ever stand: ' $T$ is of the gods whon all life's plans succeed, But no escapc from fate to mortals is decreed.
 that the statement...attributes not to the statesmum but to the gods the poner of muking those who contend successful. How the second interpretation of the last distich, the gods alone are successful, can be made to harmonize w.
 place a colon after the words, and thus make a harsh asymuleton in ou $\tau \hat{\psi}$
 870 ; G. 1507 ; Cu. § 514.
V. §§ 291-323. Conclusion. (u) §§ 291-293. The Feelings exhibited by Eschines on Accocet of the Calamitils of his Coln-


 - тoîs...ávapoîs, in the distressful cvents that occurverl. Cf. סvo $\mu$ evaivav roîs kouvô̂s ára | oîs, § 217. |
| :---: |

 fessed in his speech great solicitude for the preservation of the ancimst laws. - кal ei : cf. note § 272 . - tetáx ${ }^{2}$ aı, to runge himsslf. - $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$, troubles. Den. purposely uses a mild term ; but Nsch., § 57 , more strongly
 denotes the point of departure; not before but only from the adoption of my policy.
 order, $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho$. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta}$, throws the emphasis upon $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta}$. Similar are $\tau \dot{\alpha} s . .$.
 trary, the regular attributive position of the partic. is found in tôv
 rois $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ots means the rest of the statesmen who may have received public
 that any single individual could make any such arrogant claim.
(b) §§ 294-296. Wsthines is consprictor's among Thartohs. ti...
$\ell \pi เ \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega}$, But why do I rebuke him for this, when, etc. - $\phi \backslash \lambda เ \pi \pi เ \tau \mu$ óv: Lord B. compares "Jacobinism." Cf. § 176. The orator has probably in mind

 is purposely left indefinite, agreeing w. the implied subject of $\sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a$, . Below he becomes more specific by the use of $\epsilon \tilde{\rho} \rho o \iota \tau^{\prime}$. - $\boldsymbol{\omega} \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$, really. Cf. $\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \mathrm{s}, \S 8$. This $\dot{\omega}$ is the same as that used $w$. expressions of comparison and the superlative, but is usually not translated before adverbs.
§ 295. тov̀s vimápxovtas $\pi \mathbf{~ o}$ ítas, cives suce factionis. Schaef. Cl. note \% 174. The historian Polybius, Vol. XVII. 14, criticises the unfairness of Dem. for including in the category of traitors all those public men who did not sympathize w. his policy of resistance to Philip; and he defends the persons here named on the ground that they availed themselves of the aid of Philip only for the benefit of their respective countries, not for their own aggrandizement. Most of these names are mentioned by Harpocration and Athenæus, who quote fr. the lost history of Theopompus, and in Plutareh's Lives of Dem., Alex., Phocion and Timoleon. Cicero has imitated this passage in his oration against Verres.

 nus praises the cumulative force of these metaphors. $\dot{\eta}_{\kappa \rho} .=$ having crippled. Lord B. translates $\pi \rho o \pi$., having toasted away. $\pi \rho o \pi i v \in ⿺=$ to drink
 the custom of bestowing the drinking-cup as a gift upon the person whose health was pledged (cf. de F. L., § 139 ; Xen. Cyr., VIII. 3. 35), this word came to signify to give up recklessly. So in Olynth., III., § 22 : $\pi \rho \circ \pi$ énota
 dependence. - $\eta \sigma a v:$ the plural by the attraction of the predicate nouns. - ávaтeтрафóтes : a later form, the correctness of wh. is disputed by some who prefer duaterpoфóres.
(c) §§ 297-305. Recapitclation of his own Merits and Services, as contrasted with the Conduct of these Traitors. ougtáócws
 not to trifte; i. e. if one ought in all earnestness to call things by their right
 from you, i. e. with you at the head.
§ 298. ${ }^{\prime} \mu \dot{k}$ : the emphatic position at the head of the sentence should not
 Dem. compares his opponents to the tongue of a balance, wh. readily turns to the side of the scale in wh. personal interests are laid as outweighing

 standing at the hoad of the very weightiest，interests of the mon of my time， or，of weightier interests than any of my contemporaries．T $\hat{\nu} \nu \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \nu \nu$ is partit．genit．w．the attributive superlative，though the genit．belongs．in

 quient．The honesty of Dem．stands unquestioned，in spite of the asper－ sions of his enemies．
§299．$\delta \mathbf{v}$ ．．．$\delta$ uíqupes ：for the language of Æsch．see the citation on
 but，of course，I place these far brlow my politicill measures．－ob 入coors， к．$\tau$ ． $\mathfrak{\varepsilon}$ ：：to bring out the rhetorical emphasis，follow the Greek ordar in translating．－ovi $\delta^{\prime}$ \＆$\pi l$ тov́roเs．．．фpovē，nor of my transactions do I prile．
 enumerates these allied states，friendly territories and harbors．$-\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ ov̀s is bracketed by some Editt．as an interpolation，and placed by others just before rou＇s intè $\rho$ ．With V．we may take it as belonging to both i＇m $\pi$ ous and
 gained by himself of both cavalry and mercenary troops．－тovitav，the Athenians．－тov̀s．．．ápvvoúvous：taken substantively．With the sentiment of this passage may be compared the apothegm of Lycurgus found in Plut．
 $\nu \omega \tau a u$ ．Wh．cites in illustration the well－known ode of Sir W．Jones，com－ mencing：＂What constitutes a state？＂
 were enclosed by ramparts and fortifications of stone and wood，as con－ trasted w．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \omega \rho \rho \alpha$, wh．was not protected in this way．$\approx \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ is the usual Attic for dáceos．－тoîs $\lambda_{0}$ oyıruois，in tactics；dat．of respect．Notice the emphasis of $\epsilon \gamma \dot{\omega}$ as contrasted w．$\Phi_{i \lambda i \pi \pi o u . ~-~ \tau \hat{n}}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{x} \boldsymbol{n}$ ：and yet，ace． to Diod．，XVI．88，the Athenians，after the battle of Chæronea，condemned Lysicles，their own general，to death，on the accusation of Lycurgus．
§ 301．ov́к．．．троßa入́ér日ar ：the orator，having spoken of the general aim of his measures，now proceeds to particulars．The topies here presenteal have been treated before in $\S \S 230,240,241 .--\boldsymbol{d x}$ 0a入ávTグs：cf．note
 cf．note $\S 87$ ．For a similar anticipation in the construction ef．Dem．c．


§ 302．Tà̀ $\mu \grave{v} \ldots \tau \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{\delta}^{\prime}$ ，somce．．．thers．－vimapxóvтav，and to preserve some of those belonging to our side；ef．note on ímápxovtas，§ 295．－totaûra， such things，i．e．as would preserve these allies．－Пpoobvvグoov ：this island
was in alliance w．Athens in 362，when it applied to the Athenians for aid against Cyzicus．－Xeppóvŋซov ：cf．§ 80．－Tévéov ：this island was inı－ portant as commanding the entrauce to the Hellespont．－$\tau \mathbf{d} \delta^{\prime}$ ：obj．of $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi a \iota$ and subj．of $i \pi \pi \alpha \rho \xi \xi \iota$ ；in apposition w．it are the names following．－ Bu乌̧ávtov ：ef．§ 87．－＂Aßuסov ：Abydos was，acc．to Dem．c．Aristocr．， § 158，in constant enmity w．Athens．－Eußouav：the genuineness of this word has been suspected，because Euboea has just before been mentionéd as a part of the Attic fortifications．Here，however，Dem．is speaking of
 in $\pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \epsilon$ ．Diss．The verb is impersonal．
§ 303．кal．．．кal，both．．．and．＂The кai emphasizes the connection of plan and execution，for both of which the orator claims equal approval．＂ Holmes．－тapefevia：Dem．disclaims any crime of negligence，of igno－
 repeated or continued，the final act of destruction as momentary．The plu－ ral in $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \in \psi a \nu$ represents the various agencies named as operating indi－ vidually，as well as collectively．．
§ 304．$\mu$ óvov，only．－Өeтta入la ．．．＇Apka8ia ：for the attitude of these

 ovideis to ovंdéves to correspond．
§ 305．a $\downarrow \nu \quad$ bves ：the $a \nu$ gives a contingent force to the whole sentence， but is to be joined directly w．̈̈xouv．－$\tau \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ is added to these pronouns to give them a more definite reference ：so many and such blessings as these just mentioned．The boast that Dem．here makes was really well founded， and seems to be justified by the following sentiment，wh．is said＂to have been inseribed upon the pelestal of a statue erected to his memory at Athens ：－


 of the facts．－$\lambda$ éyє．．．кal áváyva0ı ：a similar coupling of these words is found in $\$ 28$ ，where see note．－tavrl ：the reference is uncertain，owing to the confused condition of the text．The Editt．of Z．reject the addition $\tau \grave{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \iota \theta \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta o \eta \theta \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \epsilon \mu \dot{\alpha} \psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau a$, wh．is found in most of the
 title of the omitted document or documents：APIOMOC BOHӨEI $\Omega$ N KATA TA EMA $\Psi$ HФICMATA ；but all the other MSS．（quod scian） have APIOMOL BOH日EI $\Omega$ N．From the connection we infer that Dem． calls for the reading of such documents as would show how much Athens had done to assist other states in their efforts to retain their freedom against the machinations of Philip．
(d) §§ 306-313. Dem. places the cowardly and enpatriotic Condect of Æish. in Contrast with his ows. $\delta$ ei : some erities

 should beyond a doubt huve been pre-eminently great, and justly so, too. For the genit. absol. used in place of condit. clause ef. note § 30 . - $\mu$ eүforots agrees w. the implied indirect ohj. ( $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$ ) of $\dot{u} \pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \in \nu$. With $\dot{\psi} \pi \bar{\eta} \rho \chi \in \nu$ and $\pi \rho o \sigma \hat{\eta} \nu$ many MSS. have $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \nu\end{aligned}$, wh., however, is not essential in such expres-

 212. - $\sigma \nu_{\mu} \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega v$ : genit. absol., denoting concession. - $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ : whatever we may have lost, there is saved and left over to us from our loss reputation and honor.
§ 307. ov่ $\mu \mathrm{d} \Delta i^{\prime}$ ovik: thus should the patriotic citizen act, and not, by Zeus, no, not, etc. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{\nu} \mathbf{v} \ldots$...

 mein (resting) upon these; i. e. to abide by these. Cf. note § 17. - v̋imoviov, insidious. What is the metaphor? - oúse $\gamma^{\prime}$, and cerkinly not. The em$\mathrm{p}^{\text {hasis }}$ of $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ falls upon $\dot{\eta} \sigma u x i a \nu$ as that of wh. he is to speak further. - $\mathbf{\delta}$, a thing which.
 rov̂... $\lambda$ 'үovros, sated of the man who speaks incessantly. It seems that this was possible even in an Athenian Assembly ! Dein. refers here to himself, as in those times he must have been unusually active in the debates. -
 $\pi เ v a$, and many are the casualties of human life. Kenn. - $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi v e v ̂ \mu$,', suddenly, like a gale after a calm, he comes out from his silence as a speaker. Tyler's edition remarks justly that $\dot{\eta} \sigma$ wias must be taken in close comer:-
 O. T., 454. Éqávn is the gnomic aor., the tense expressing the suldenarss of the appearance. - $\pi \in \phi \omega v a \sigma \kappa \eta \kappa \omega े s$, with well-truined voice. - ovveipet, he: strings these together clearly and without tuking breath. Cf. notes § 280. $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ тuXóvtı : to some one or other of his fellow-citizens.
§ 309. \& $^{2} \pi$ торіо катабкєvív, arrangements for commerce; by obtaining foreign markets and entrepôts for Athens. - àmo反etx $\theta$ eīเv, undisguised.
§ 310. тoútov...ekfracts : the sense is, all this served as the test for patriotic conduct. - Tois ${ }^{\text {anv }} \mathbf{v}$ xpóvors means the earlier times of the Republic, in contrast w. $\dot{\delta} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \rho \dot{\nu} \nu o s$, the period just past. - ámodéçes, opportunitics to prove one's self. - $\boldsymbol{e} v$ ois, i. e. èv d̀ $\nu \delta \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota ~ к а \lambda о i ̂ s ~ \kappa d \dot{\gamma a \theta o i ̂ s, ~ f r o m ~}$

have becn. By the use of the future the speaker places himself in advance of his own time, and expresses the verdict that posterity will pronounce

 not then connected with what advanced the interests of our country.
$\S 311$. oikel $\omega v$ : all the departments of public service are named here :
 tion of $\delta \iota \alpha \bar{\alpha}$ in the Z. edition is wanting in the best MSS., weakens the
 moiar has a sarcastic force : what sort of? As predicate in all these ques-
 A similar passage is found in Dinarch. c. Dem., $\S 96$. On its rhetorical power Dissen remarks: "Est autem magna et insignis vis in hac singularum rerum enumeratione et toties repetita interrogatione." - тis... $\boldsymbol{\beta o \eta}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \theta \mathbf{\epsilon c a}$ xp $\eta$ $\mu a ́ \tau \omega v$, what financial help of a political and mublic nature have you ever given cither to the wealthy or to the poor? "The poor were benefited by the alterations wh. Dem. effected in the regulations for the trierarchy; the rich by improvements in the administration of the revenues." Wh.
§312. $\AA$ ※ $\tau \hat{\alpha} v=\hat{\omega} \lambda \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau \epsilon ; ~ \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$ is probably an old dialentic vocative of тú or $\tau \dot{v} \eta=\sigma \dot{v}$ with $\nu$, the old ending, originally $\mu$ of the first person, added. (f. $\begin{gathered}\text { E } \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{y} \text {. Dem. puts the following rejoinder in the mouth of }\end{gathered}$ his opponent: well, but if I performed nothing of all this, yet I manifested the right spirit, did I not? - 'Aprotóncos: the same one men-
 Cf. note § 288. It appears that Aristonicus had fallen through insolvency into árcula, that to enable him to regain his lost rights of citizenship his friends made a collection for him, but that he expended for the benefit of the state the money thus contributed. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu} \Phi(\lambda \omega \nu o s . . . \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega v$, the propcrty of Philn, your brother-in-law. - $\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ óv $\omega \boldsymbol{v}$, sc. ह้̈т $\omega \nu$ : some MSS. and many Editt. read $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$; then $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \dot{\tau} \tau \nu \nu$ is taken as a partit. genit. (more than five talcnts of the property, etc.), and it is to be inferred

 $\mu \eta \mathrm{V} \omega$, for the damuge you did to the law of the Trierarchy. Just in what way and when Esch., as advocate of the wealthiest class, crippled this law, we have no data fr. wh. to determine.
 lit. beat or drive myself out of the present (opportunity). This he says with respect to the shortness of the time still left him for the remainder of his speech. - tov́rots ois: masc., meaning the Macedonians. - veavlas, vigorous. "Youth as the type of (1) vigor or (2) violence appears in the uses of yeavias and all its derivations, as the Lexica abundantly testify." Tyler.

- $\lambda a \mu$ arpós, brilliant. When did you condescend to shine? The epithet may, however, be more directly applied to his voice, as below in $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o-$

 Diss. explains the allusion thus: like this notorious inforner and slanderer, Esch. pretends to commiserate the fate of those who fell victims to his own intrigues ; as e. g. when he deplores the fate of Thebes and of Phocis.
(e) §§ 314-320. Dem. compares himself and Esch. with the public Men of the present and of former Times, $\mu \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma a t$ : in auldition to the citations fr. Esch. given below, see also those given in connection w. § 208. - т $\boldsymbol{\eta} v . . . \epsilon$ ©̂votav vimapxovaav : for the position of the partic. (f. note § 293. - тро入aßóvta, taking advantage of, turning to his own account.
 sentiment " Nil mortuis nisi bonum" finds abundant illustration in all

 $\mu \eta$ тat. - крivøцat: what use of the mood? (f. G M T. 287; H. 866, 3 ; G. 1358 ; Cu. § 511.
§316. ov̉ $\mu \dot{\mathrm{v}} . . . \dot{\eta} \lambda i \kappa a s, n a y$, then, one might not say just how great ; se.
 that are conferred upon the present goneration to thanklessuess and contempt. ă $\gamma \epsilon \omega$ implies that he would thus bring these good deeds out of their true, into a false light. For the prep. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ Schaef. conjectures $\pi \epsilon \rho l$, but others defend the use of $\dot{\epsilon \pi l}$ in the sense of intended for, coming to. (Cf. Funkhaenel in Fleckeisen Ann. Phil., 1858, p. 320.) - тоv́т $\boldsymbol{v}$ v is understood hy
 two MSS.) ; by others as neuter referring to the collective $\tau \iota$, and objective genitive, thus : the honorable recognition and kindly feeling manifested for this.
§ 317. ápa : slightly ironical, as if what he is about to say was already clearly enough understood. - тavíd $\beta$ ounopèv $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, aiming at the same objects.


 coluthon doubtless caused the reading ot $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \sigma v \rho o v ~ \mu \dot{\epsilon} v$ found in many MSS. and adopted by Dind., Bekk., and others. The antithesis in the idea is brought out more forcibly by the inversion of the order of subj. and ohi.
§ 318. adedфòs: Esch. had two brothers, Philochares, who was distinguished for military service (Esch. de F. L., § 149), and Aphobetus (Dem.
de F．L．，§ 237），who was a somewhat prominent politician．Diss．thinks the orator refers here to the former，but W．thinks to the latter．－iva．．． $\epsilon \Pi \pi \omega$, i．e．than $\chi$ р $\quad$ б $\sigma \epsilon$ ．In the same vein of sarcasm he calls the entire Macedonian party in $\S 89$ oi $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o l$. －тov̀s ka日＇aútóv，those of his own age．The reflexive refers back to the object $\tau \delta \nu \zeta \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a$ ．
 singular anticipation of this point by Esch．in the extract given below． Philaumon，acc．to Harpocration，was a famous Athenian boxer and ath－ lete，who was evidently well known to the orator＇s audience．－Г $\lambda$ avíkov： Glaucus flourished about 470 B．c．，and is said to have gained the prize for boxing twice in the Pythian，eight times in the Nemean，and as often in the

 fold way，first negatively and then positively，is made very clear and em－ phatic．－ov́éva，I shrink from no one；i．e．from a comparison with no one． So кivôvvov ék $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} v a \iota=$ to shrink from danger．Cf．Dem．Lept．，§ 10．But $\dot{\epsilon} \xi i \sigma \tau a \mu a \iota ~ o v ं \delta \epsilon \nu \ell$ ，wh．is the reading of many editt．，＝I yield to no one．Cf．
 Cf．note § 298.
§ 320．غфацi入入ov：deriv．？When loyalty to the fatherland lay open for competition in common to all．－крáтьттa＝ăpıгтa here ；some MSS．read $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau t \sigma \tau a$. －кal．．．кal．．．кal．．．kal，and．．．not only，but also．．．and．－тоv́тots，
 foreigner，meaning Philip．So in § 323 ＇่ $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ refers to the Macedonians，
 trial，test，as in § 310 ，but rather an inquiry for，demand for；as if the Macedonian party at Athens were mustering into their ranks the best men
 grand people，with splendid equipages．Kenn．＂In a rocky country with a poor soil like Attica，horse－keeping was a sign of wealth．In fact it was so expensive in Athens，that in the time of Aristophanes it was a ready road to ruin for moderate incomes．＂Wh．Hence W．thinks that in the use of $i \pi \pi o \tau \rho b \phi o s$ there lies an allusion to Æsch．expensive style of living at that time．
（ $f$ ）$\S(321-323$ ．The Conduct of each Orator as a patriotic Citizen finally contrasted．tòv фúoft $\mu e ́ t p i o v: L o r d$ B．renders by the citizen of ordinary worth．$\mu$ द́polov seems to be used here，as in § 10 ，to avoid giving offence，and $=$ respectable fair．$\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ seems to be used in the sense of naturally，i．e．what is in the capacity of human nature，as opposed to the superhuman and the supernatural．So also Professor Tyler interprets it．The phrase then means the reasonably good citizen，фv́ $\sigma \epsilon$ limiting
$\mu \epsilon \tau \rho t o v$ ．＂This seems to accord better with the signification of the words and the demands of the context，than the well－disposed citizen，wh．is the reading of Kenn．and Wh．，but which is too nearly identical w．cỉvora，one
 least invidious．－iv．．．． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ais देsovaiaıs，when in authority．So Aristot．Ethic．，
 троalpe⿴เv סıaфиגárтetv，to maintain for the state her pursuit of what is magnanimous and what promotes her precedence．－тウ̀v єüvotav，the spirit of
 control whether he will be patriotic or not；but whether he can increase the power of his country，this often lies beyond his control．－ธavitๆv＝

 кas：the insertion of $\epsilon$ is in $\Sigma$ before these words gives the unusual constr．of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \omega \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i s \delta \delta \kappa \eta \nu$ ．The precise nature of these Amphictyonic suits is not clear．From the statement of Pausanias，VII．10．10，it appears that to the Amphictyonic council was given jurisdiction over any cases of active opposi－ tion against Philip，in violation of the articles of agreement made at the Congress of Corinth．A．Schaef．，III．198，understands Dem．to refer to attempts that were made to bring him to trial before this council on a charge of active co－operation in the Spartan revolt， 330 b．c．Dissen thinks Dem． refers to the time when Philip was Amphictyonic general and he（Dem．） was charged with being his open antagonist．Jacobs understands the allu－ sion to be to the same time that Alexander demanded the surrender of
 made overtures；alluding to attempts to gain him over by bribes．Most texts have oủk ȧmєı入oúvт $\omega v$ before oúk $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$ ．，but this，besides being omitted fr． $\Sigma$ ，violates the exact correspondence of these clauses．－mpoo $\beta a \lambda \lambda$ óvt $\omega v$ ， not when they set these accursed wretches like wild beasts upon me．－bpOìv．．． סskalav are in the predicate position and must be rendered accordingly．－ －eparevécv is in explanation of $\dot{o} \delta \delta \nu$ ，and accus．in apposition with it．

 Xо $\mu a \iota . . . \pi \rho o \tau e l v \omega \nu$ ：what a graphic picture of a man who goes about exult－ ing and congratulating partisans over some piece of good news just received ； and how vividly the terms $\pi \epsilon \phi \rho \iota \kappa \dot{\omega} s, \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \omega \nu, \kappa u ́ \pi \tau \omega \nu$ represent an opposite
 look abroad，with longing hopes of personal preferment at the hands of foreigners．－${ }^{c} v$ ois．．．ètepos ：notice the double antithesis in this brief sentence．
§ 324．Peroration．© mávtes $\theta$ eol ：the oration closes，as it began，wo
 utterly, $\pi \rho \delta$ of speedily, i. e. before they have accomplished our ruin. This expression is a standing form of imprecation. So de F. L., § $172:$ 色 $\xi \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta s$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda о \not \mu \eta \nu$ каl $\pi \rho о \omega ́ \lambda \eta s$, and elsewhere. By no means, $O$ ye gods, one and all, by no means sanction this conduct; but above all implant within these men a better mind and purpose! But if they are indeed incorrigible, cause utter and swift destruction by land and sea to come upon them, and them alone; and grant to the rest of us a most speedy deliverance from our impending terrors, and an unshaken security! The impressive and simple beauty of this peroration has won the admiration of all critics. "The music of the closing passage," says Lord B., "is almost as fine as the sense is impressive and grand, and the manner dignified and calm." In marked contrast with most of this criticism is the peroration of Esch., which alone by itself justifies the famous comparison of Esch. style with that of Dem. given by Quintilian: " Plenior Eschines, et magis fusus, et grandiori similis, quominus strictus est ; carnis tamen plus habet, minus lacertorum."

APPENDIX.

## ABSTRACT OF THE ORATION OF ESCHINES.

Exordium. (§ 1-8.) Eschines complains of the frequent disregard of the ancient regulations concerning the conduct of affairs in the popular Assembly and in the courts, and warns the jurors to maintain well the institution of the $\gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho a \nu o^{-}$ $\mu \omega \nu$ as the safeguard of popular government, and to remember in this trial that the liberties of all the citizens are committed to their care.
I. Ctesiphon has violated the law in proposing to crown Demosthenes while he was still accountable for his offices as Commissioner of Walls and Treasurer of the Theoric Fund, ( $\$ \S 9-31$.)
$\S 9-12$. The law of accountability provides that no public officer shall be honored with crowns or declarations of praise until he shall have passed the legal examination at the expiration of his term of office. This law was ained at those who, previous to this examination, managed to have preliminary votes of praise or public honors proclaimed for them. It was sometimes evaded by a proposal made prior to the examination, to crown a public official "when his accounts shall have been approved." But Ctesiphon manifests his utter contempt of law in that he proposes to crown Demosthenes without adding any such proviso.
$\S \oint 13-16$. But there is another evasion of the law to which the defence will have recourse. The offices to which Demosthenes was appointed, they will claim, are simply commissions
or agencies, not magistracies; and the law applies only to magistracies properly so called. But the law declares that all offices whatsoever shall be accounted magistracies ; hence this claim is a wretched piece of sophistry.
$\S 17-24$. The argument upon which Demosthenes relies as unanswerable is, that he made donations of his own means to the public, and that he cannot be held to an account, unless one is to be made responsible for his benefactions. But the law exempts from giving account no one who has the smallest part in the affairs of the state. This is shown in the case of different officials. The law also forbids the man who has not passed the accounts to dedicate any part of his effects to religious purposes. or in any way to alienate his property. If Demosthenes's claim of having made a donation to the state in the expenditure of money upon the fortifications is valid, why did he not give the customary opportunity of scrutinizing his accounts? It is proved that Demosthenes was actually in office at the time that this decree was proposed.
$\$ 25-27$. While the laws expressly forbid the crowning of one in any office, even of the smallest consequence, before his accounts have been approved, Ctesiphon presumes to confer this honor on Demosthenes when, by virtue of his offices, he was responsible for every kind of public magistracy.
$\S 28-31$. By defining the various kinds of magistracies, it is shown that those officials also who are appointed by the tribes come within the intent of the law. Demosthenes was appointed by his tribe ; and therefore this case is clearly a violation of the law.
II. Ctesiphon has violated the law in ordering the crown to be proclatmed in the theatre at the great Dionysia. ( $\$ 832-48$.)
§§ $32-40$. The law of proclamation provides that a crown granted by the people shall be proclaimed in the Pnyx at the
meeting of the Ecclesia, never in any other place. But the defence will quote the so-called Dionysiac law, which permits the proclamation of such a crown in the theatre when the new tragedies are exhibited, provided the people so determine by vote. On this law, the defence will plead, Ctesiphon has founded his decree. But this is directly contradictory to the furmer law ; and with our regulations for inspecting laws, two that are contradictory cannot coexist.
$\S \S 41-45$. The purpose of this Dionysiac law was to do away with the abuse of proclaiming in the theatre crowns that were conferred by a tribe or by a deme, and also of announcing the manumission of slaves. But this law provides that crowns conferred upon Athenian citizens by foreign states may be proclaimed in the theatre, after first obtaining the consent of the Athenian Assembly. The Dionysiac law, therefore, has nothing to do with the law of proclamation in the case of crowns conferred by the Athenian people.
$\S \S 46-48$. That this interpretation of the Dionysiac law is correct, is confirmed by the distinction made between the crown proclaimed in the theatre and that proclaimed in the Assembly, since the former must be dedicated to Athena, while the latter may be retained by the possessor as a memorial for his family.
III. Ctesiphon has violated the law in proposing to crown Demosthenes on the ground that he aims constantly in all his words and deeds at the best interests of the state, because this ground is false, and it is contrary to the laws to insert false statements in the plblic decrees. Examination of the character and career of Demosthenes. (§ $89-176$.)
A. $\S \S 51-53$. Insintations against the personal character of Demosthenes.
B. §§5-176. The public career of Demosthenes examined and condemned.
(a.) First period of Demosthenes's career, from the war about Amphipolis, 358 b.c., to the peace of Phlocrates, 346 в. о. (§ $58-78$.
§§ $58-61$. Demosthenes is responsible for the peace of Philocrates. By precipitating the negotiations connected with this peace, without waiting for the return of our deputies, he prevented the allies of Athens from being parties to the peace, and betrayed Cersobleptes, king of Thrace, the friend and ally of this state, into the hands of Philip.
§§ 62-74. A more particular description of the manner and means by which these results were secured, through the cooperation of Demosthenes with Philocrates.
$\S \S 75-78$. The conduct of Demosthenes is disgraceful in flattering the envoys of Philip; is shameless in pretending that the death of Philip was foretold him by a divine vision; is heartless in so speedily forgetting his grief for the loss of a child.
(b.) Examination of the second period of the career of Demosthenes, from the beginning of the peace of PhiLocrates, 346 b. C., until its end, 340 b. c. ( $(\$ 79-105$.)
$\S \S 79-83$. The disastrous results of the peace of Philocrates are chargeable to Demosthenes, since he and Philocrates were the first movers and supporters of the peace. In order to free himself from this charge, he inveighs against his colleagues in the embassy and against Philip, thus procuring the condemnation of Philocrates, and gaining for himself the reputation of being a patriot.
Demosthenes is disposed to cavil about small points.
§ $84-105$. Examination of the boast of Demosthenes, that in gaining the Euboeans and Thebans as allies he surrounded the city as with walls of adamant. First, as to the alliance with the Euboeans. The generous conduct of Athens in freeing Eubrea from the occupation of the Thebans was basely requited by the inhabitants of Chalcis in attempting tc destroy the Athenian
forces that came to assist Plutarch, the tyrant of Eretria. This attempt was headed by Callias (whose praises Demosthenes is bribed to sing), and was a failure. Callias, with whom we became reconciled again, afterwards contrives (by lavishing gold on Demosthenes) to obtain an alliance for Chalcis with Athens, by which Athens was obliged to take up arms on every occasion in defence of the Chalcidians. Callias and Demosthenes contrive to defraud the state of the contributions from Oreus and from Eretria, amounting to ten talents. This fraud was perpetrated under pretence that great preparations were in progress for a general attack of all the Peloponnesian states upon Philip, and that these Euboan cities should use the tribute ordinarily paid to Athens to equip, themselves for participation in this attack. Bribes influenced Demosthenes to aid these schemes of Callias.
(c.) Third period of the career of Demosthenes examined, from the close of the peace, 340 b. c., to the battle of Cheronea, 338 b. c. (§§ $106-158$.)
$\S \S 106-136$. The offence of Demosthenes against the sanctuary of Delphi in the affair of the Locrians of Amphissa. (1.) The account of the destruction of the port of Cirrha and of the consecration of the Cirrhran plain. (2.) The Locrians of Amphissa restore the harbor and cultivate this district, in violation of the mandate of the god. Demusthenes is bribed by the Amphissians to defend their impiety before the Amphictyonic Council. (3.) In this Council the Amphissians charge Athens with sacrilege in depositing certain shields in a new temple at Delphi before it was finished. Eschines, as the Athenian deputy, defends the city, and in turn charges the Amphissians with sacrilege. (4.) The Amphictyonic Council resolves on the spot to punish the Amphissians. A conflict between them ensues, in which the latter come off victorious. A resolution is passed by the Council calling a special session to provide means for pun-
ishing them. (5.) Demosthenes contrived to have a decree passed by which the Athenian deputies were forbidden to meet with the Amphictyons at this extraordinary session. (6.) In this session it was resolved to undertake a war against the Amphissians. A fine was imposed upon them ; but since it was not paid, a second expedition was made, when Philip was on his return from the Seythian campaign. Of the leadership in this holy war Athens was deprived by the corruption of Demosthenes. (7.) Demosthenes has involved the state in numerous calamities. Since he first assumed the administration of affairs, Thebes has been destroyed, the Lacedæmonians brought under the subjection of Alexander, and Athens, once the common refuge of the Greeks, forced to contend for her existence.
$\S 137-158$. The alliance with Thebes considered. (1.) It was not Demosthenes, but the common danger from the proximity of Philip, that united Athens and Thebes. (2.) In the negotiations for this alliance Demosthenes committed three capital offences against the state: First, he made Athens assist in placing all Brootia in the power of Thebes, caused Athens to bear two thirds of the expenses of the war conjointly waged, gave the command of the land foree entirely to Thebes, and divided the control of the fleet. His second offence consists in taking away the proper authority of our Assembly and Senate, by giving the magistrates of Bootia a share in all councils. His third and most heinous offence is that, by opposing the Theban magistrates in their desire to conclude a peace with Philip, to which the latter was also himself inclined, he is responsible for the disastrous results of the battle of Chæronea. In crowning Demosthenes you crown the author of this slaughter, you perpetuate your own disgrace, and you recall to mind the misfortunes and sufferings of the wretched Thebans.
(d.) Fourth period of the career of Demosthenes exam-
ined, from Chferonea, 338 b. c., down to the present moment, 330 в. с. (§§ $159-176$.)
$\S \$ 159-167$. After the hattle I emosthenes fled from the city, under pretence of collecting taxes. Upon his return he was full of fear. While he was not allowed by you to append his own name to any decree, he procured through others the passare of decrees honoring the murderer of Philip. He rifliculed Alexander, when at a distance; but when sent on an embassy to him, while he was investing Thebes, afraid to proceed farther than Mount Cithæron, he ran back to Athens. And finally he sold himself $t$, Alexander, as is shown from his nerglect to improve three occasions for opposing him.
$\S 168-176$. Demosthenes is shown to be neither a friemd of free govermment nor a patriot, when the proper tests are applied to his life and character.
IV. Considerations drawn from precedents with reference to the crowning and to the mode of conducting this trial. (§ 177-214.)
$\S \S 177-190$. In the most illustrious period of the republic, when the citizens displayed the greatest merit, public honors were sparingly bestowed. To confer honors frequently is to make them cheap.
$\S \S 191$ - 214. In former times there was much greater reverence paid the laws, and much greater severity in trying indictments for illegal decrees. Precedents were not cited by way of justifying violations of law. Even friends brought prosecutions against friends, in every case in which the state was injured. The question how far a citizen may honestly and regularly plead for an offender is considered.

A law should be passed forbidding the employment of advocates in suits for illegality, as the merits of such suits are not vague. The jurors are cautioned against any attempt on the part of Ctesiphon to evale the real issue. Aischines reviews the order
he has pursued in his speech, and charges the jurors to oblige Demosthenes to follow the same method in his defence, and to hold him rigidly to the points in question. Demosthenes will wail, revile, and swear, and all for the sake of a crown and proclamation. Ctesiphon and Demosthenes, while pretending to have no concern for themselves, express grave apprehensions for each other, and ought therefore not to be acquitted by their common judges.
V. Anticipations of certain charges about to be made by His opponent. ( (§§ 215-229.)

Demosthenes will charge me with criminal silence, and with bringing a prosecution against his whole administration at this late day, after having neglected to impeach him at the time of his misdeeds. My silence has been owing to my life of abstinence, while, on the contrary, his speaking has been prompted by a desire for money to expend upon his extravagant indulgences. Besides, to speak occasionally is a proof that a man engages in public life as necessity requires; but to harangue from day to day shows that he makes debating a trade. As to the second charge, he cannot have forgotten how publicly I convicted him of impious conduct with respect to the Amphissians, and of corrupt practices in the affairs of Euboea; and we can never forget how, as was shown by me at the time, he defrauded the city of sixtyfive ships, when the armament of three hundred had been intrusted to him. His comparing me with the Sirens would come with better grace from a man whose only instrument is not his tongue.
VI. Recapitulation of the main points of the argument. (\$§ 230-259.)
(1.) $\S \S 230-235$. The illegality of the decree, the character of Demosthenes, the reputation of the judges fur consistency and honesty, and the safety of the republic, demand the conviction of the defendant.
(2.) $\$ 236-240$. Neither the clause that he surrounded the city with excellent fortifications, nor the general statement of his merits as a statesman, furnishes good reason for crowning him. For in building the fortifications he did the city no genuine service, since it was he who made them necessary. And the general statement is untrue; of which fact new proof is furnished by his diverting the seventy talents sent by Persia from the public service into his own coffers.
(3.) $\S 241-254$. Demosthenes should not be suffered to speak his own praises. To crown him would be to disgrace the brave men of old who were crowned for their valor, and the memory of those who fell in battle; and would corrupt the youth by setting a pernicious example before them. Men who are so ready to lay claim to loyalty and patriotism must be required to produce evidence of their merit. The republic is endangered by the pretensions of these men, which serve them as a cloak for their schemes.
(4.) $\$ 255-259$. The judges are exhorted to confer their honors with a serupuluus delicacy, and not to be influenced by the arrogant pretensions of Demosthenes. Solon, Aristiles, Themistocles, and the heroes of Marathon and Platea, are appealed to as arrayed against the villany of Demosthenes and of his associates.
ViI. Peroration. (§ 260.)

If I have not spoken as I wished, I have spoken as I could. Do ye decide what is just and beneficial to the state, not only from what has been said, but also from what has been left unsaid.
$3323$
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